

Helen Buyniski říká „Zvěrstva nejsou náhody“, Kevin Barrett / Truth Jihad – The Unz Review

[UR unz.com/audio/kbarrett_helen-buyniski-says-atrocities-arent-accidents](https://unz.com/audio/kbarrett_helen-buyniski-says-atrocities-arent-accidents)

January 10, 2023

← Ron Unz se ptá „Vaxxing Deaths or COVID D...Stanley Kubrick: Whistleblowing satiris... →

Kompletní archivyKevin Barrett podcasty

Helen Buyniski říká: „Zvěrstva nejsou náhody“

Sdílejte s Gabem

Přehrávač zvuku 

„Informační bariéra oddělující konzumenty médií z establishmentu od pandemických heretiků, kteří si provádějí vlastní výzkum, se neomylně bortí. Každý den více lékařů a více vědeckých prací připouští, že injekce genové terapie mRNA, které kdysi tak horlivě prosazovali, nejenže nechrání uživatele před nakažením Covid-19, ale mohou ve skutečnosti zničit jeho imunitní systém, zastavit jeho srdce nebo způsobit náhlou smrt. Statisticy mají nezpochybnitelné důkazy o nadměrném počtu úmrtíu dříve zdravých mladých lidí daleko předčících jakýkoli nárůst úmrtnosti během předpokládaného vrcholu pandemie – nebo kdykoli jindy v nedávné historii. Naprosto nevyhnutelný masakr je takový, že i kdybychom neměli rozsáhlý psychologický profil viníků, nebylo pochyb o tom, že to bylo předem promyšlené a záměrné.“

Je pozice Helen Buyniski obhajitelná? Všechny informované zdroje se shodují, že USA, nejrozumnější národ na světě ve výdajích na zdravotní péči a lídr v oblasti mRNA vakcín, měly 19. nejvyšší úmrtnost na COVID z 221 zemí a v současné době trpí nevysvětlitelným (zdánlivě) non-COVID. nadměrná úmrtnost, zejména u lidí v produktivním věku.

Reakce USA na COVID byla zjevně katastrofálním selháním. Nehoda nebo zvěrstvo? Poslouchejte Helen Buyniski a rozhodněte se.

(Opětovně publikováno z **Truth Jihad** se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

← [Ron Unz se ptá „Vaxxing Deaths or COVID D...Stanley Kubrick: Whistleblowing satiris... →](#)

• Kategorie: [Ideologie](#) , [Věda](#) • Štítky: [Anti-Vaxx](#) , [Konspirační teorie](#) , [Vakcíny](#)
Souvisejícího zájmu



[RSS](#)



Print

[Ron Unz](#)

[Mike Whitney a Ron](#)

[Unz](#)

[Ron Unz](#)

← [Ron Unz se ptá](#)

[„Vaxxing Deaths or](#)

[COVID D...Stanley](#)

[Kubrick:](#)

[Whistleblowing satiris...](#)

[→](#)

 BECOME A PATRON



Skrýt 18 komentářů

Zanechat komentář

Commenters to

Ignore...to

FollowEndorsed Only

Trim Comments?

1. See the bright side.

If it's true that the jabs are killers, many more globalists took the shot.

They will do the most dying. Good riddance

• **Agree:** Sollipsist,
Colin Wright


ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

2. Trust Pfizer

this is real pic.twitter.com/Uh1A4b4Fld

— Toby Turner (@TobyTurner) [January 10, 2023](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter



3. Thomas Faber says:

She is very frightened, and this makes her exaggerate, jump to conclusions, and make logical errors.

I agree with her that there is evil on the prowl, but one must keep a cool head to make sense of it. In this sense, Ron Unz's analysis is more plausible, even though he may be missing some small signals due to imperfect data sets.

It still seems that, so far at least, the overwhelming majority of injectees have not had trouble with the injections.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

4. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 11, 2023 at 9:22 pm GMT • 3.6 days ago ↑
man is a 'woman' and climate is killing athletes.

Jewish 'reality'.

That subheading is the cherry on top pic.twitter.com/4EkQkEs0MA

— End Wokeness (@EndWokeness) January 9, 2023

• **Replies:** @poupon marx

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

5. Lyrac says: • Website

January 11, 2023 at 11:43 pm GMT • 3.5 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Helen B. has much to say, true and important. I wish, though, she would talk a little more slowly. It's too rushed. But the real issue—why are people so passive about getting these injections? Why is the nation committing suicide?. This is a deep issue that touches on matters of spirituality, of thinking and meaning and life. I wish we had some courageous and visionary people to touch upon these issues.

• **Replies:** @Kevin Barrett, @Angharad

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

6. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 12, 2023 at 3:03 am GMT • 3.3 days ago ↑

Finally the answer.

People are dying from Coinciditis.

Coincidence Theorists pic.twitter.com/ZSK9nics5X

— David Wolfe (@DavidWolfe) January 11, 2023

• **Thanks:** Charles

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

7. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 12, 2023 at 9:41 am GMT • 3.0 days ago ↑

She's good on Wicked Pedia.



Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/G3LxXqV_4UU

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

8. Dumbo says:

January 12, 2023 at 1:29 pm GMT • 2.9 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Thomas Faber

“Hey the injections didn’t kill me, so everything is fine!”

A lot of people are missing the forest for the trees. The fact is that the System said, “hey, you’re gonna be injected with this experimental vaccine whether you like it or not, you’re gonna have to have a “vax pass” in order to work, travel or even have a coffee anywhere, you are not allowed to leave your home for x days in the meantime, and oh, if there are side effects, the manufacturers cannot be sued.”

Most people went along with that.

The vaxx itself might have been just a test run for the new mRNA tech which will be used for everything. Perhaps it didn’t damage as many people as they wanted — too bad, but the next vaccine will do the trick.

• **Replies:** @Thomas Faber

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. Dr. Rock says:

January 12, 2023 at 5:19 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 100 Words ↕

HoD, i.e. Helen of Destroy, a.k.a. Helen Buyniski, is pretty awesome.

But just like all of the people I follow, they're not perfect. Not every phrase, or word, or idea is ideal, so what?

She doesn't hold back, or impede her message with half-measures, she kicks ass, and that's just fine with me.

I could listen (or read) to her rant about just about any subject, in part, because I just love the "take no prisoners" delivery.

HoD!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

10. poupon marx says:

January 12, 2023 at 5:30 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago ↑

@Priss Factor

Toxic femininity. Western women are miserable and feeling abandoned. And men don't care. Having been shit on for decades by radical Jewish led social marxist communit led to the point of intent of destruction and dispossession. Reap what you sow.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/WIPPCAQzMSA>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

11. Kevin Barrett says:

January 12, 2023 at 6:10 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago ↑

@Lyrac

I've noticed that the older I get, the faster Helen and other young people talk and the harder I have to listen. In Helen's case, it's generally worth the effort.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

12. [Thomas Faber](#) says:

[January 12, 2023 at 7:47 pm GMT • 2.6 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@Dumbo](#)

I don't disagree with that.

But it is still prudent to keep ones head cool. And the fact remains that most people who have had the injections have not had problems with them. Saying otherwise will make whatever else one might say seem less credible (even if they are true).

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

13. [Angharad](#) says:

[January 12, 2023 at 10:39 pm GMT • 2.5 days ago](#) [↑](#)
[@Lyrac](#)

Why? Because we lost WWII.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

14. [Sollipsist](#) says:

[@Thomas Faber](#)

It's that "so far at least" that gets me. Compared to the usual duration of clinical trials, we're still only halfway through the discovery phase. Adjusting for lifespan, it's a bit like saying the overwhelming majority of lab rats are still doing OK the next morning.

• **Replies:** [@Thomas Faber](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

15. mulga mumblebrain says:

January 13, 2023 at 5:58 am GMT • 2.2 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Thomas Faber

‘...so far, at least.’ is the clincher. That, plus the incredible variability of the poorly manufactured ‘vaccines’, some of which appear not to have any or very little mRNA in them, and whether the injection hit a blood vessel or not. Give us regular CoViD 19 mRNA ‘boosters’, and mRNA vaccines for other conditions, and the picture may darken. It’s a gamble. And with safe and effective early treatments like IVM suppressed, its obviously a crime, lying behind a fortune in BigPharma profits.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

16. Thomas Faber says:

January 13, 2023 at 12:53 pm GMT • 1.9 days ago • 200

Words \uparrow

@Sollipsist

(this is also in answer to **Mulga Mumblebrain**)

I do not disagree with either of you. The whole thing stinks to high heaven. But if you want to convince people of this, I do not see how it is helpful to say things that there is no solid evidence for, nor to make logical errors. It makes what else one is saying less credible.

Even if we take the VAERS-data, and assume that only 1% report anything adverse – that would still be a small minority that were harmed (or had placebo/nocebo-related symptoms) – something like 1/300, last I checked. And certainly, that is too much, especially when there seems to be better and less harmful treatments (IVM, HCQ, VitD/Zinc-protocols, etc.) – but *it is still a small minority*. And I have seen no convincing evidence that the injectees will be worse and worse over time (I *have* looked at the arguments). If, however, they can be convinced that they “ought” to be sick, there will be many more, due to placebo.

Hope this clears it up – it may seem like sticklery, but I think it best to be precise in these matters, exactly because they are so serious.

• **Replies:** @Sollipsist

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

17. Sollipsist says:

January 13, 2023 at 4:19 pm GMT • 1.8 days ago • 200 Words ↑
@Thomas Faber

You have a point. But one interpretation of your assertion is that insisting that $2+2=4$ makes people less receptive, because of the insisting.

There's been so much egregious denial of evidence in the official policy and popular media that simply stating the truth and showing your work (no matter how calmly and soberly) makes you look like some kind of oppositional wacko. The fact that there are oppositional wackos on either side of the argument always works in favor of the mainstream delusion rather than the unfashionable truth.

The idea that the truth will ultimately win once the smoke clears is a relic of the days when basic science struggled against unsupportable superstition, and anybody with sufficient reason could grasp it. Now 'science' is much like history, in that it is written by the winners and taught like propaganda, or religious dogma. The measured, objective approach may only doom you to obscurity or outright ridicule.

It's nice to think that this is just a temporary Dark Age and there's a Renaissance and Age of Reason on the other side. If that's the case, then the truth has a chance... eventually, in a few generations, when you and I and everyone we care about is long gone. But it's also possible that the future is more like Orwell's "boot stamping on the human face forever."

• **Replies:** @Thomas Faber

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

18. Thomas Faber says:

January 13, 2023 at 7:34 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Sollipsist

I don't disagree.

But there is enough evil to go around without making stuff up. And there is also the question of confusing oneself, and others. If we are not careful, we can end up believing things that are wrong, sometimes very much so – and this is not helpful. I can say with 100% certainty that this is also something that the people behind the scenes are keenly aware of, and exploit to the fullest.

The more fearful, stressed out, hotheaded people are, the easier they are to manipulate. That was basically my point – that it is most prudent to stay calm (this does not exclude action, of course; but one can be calm while acting, too).

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

← Ron Unz Asks "Vaxxing Deaths or COVID D...Stanley Kubrick: Whistleblowing satiris... →

Zanechte odpověď -

Zapamatovat si moje informace Proč?

Emailové odpovědi na můj komentář

 Přihlaste se k odběru tohoto vlákna komentářů přes RSS 

Přihlásit se k odběru všech komentářů Kevina Barretta přes RSS