

The Banned FOX News Report on Israel's Role in 9/11, by Spencer J. Quinn

[UR unz.com/article/the-banned-fox-news-report-on-israels-role-in-9-11](https://unz.com/article/the-banned-fox-news-report-on-israels-role-in-9-11)

January 11, 2023

[← Recenze: Stalinova válka proti Židům...](#)

[Archiv Spencera J. Quinna](#)

Zpráva Banned FOX News o roli Izraele v 11. září

[Spencer J. Quinn](#) • 11. ledna 2023

• 2 300 slov • [95 Comments](#) • [Odpovědět](#)

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)



Jestli nás podvodné volby v roce 2020 něčemu naučily, pak tomu, že pokud chcete zjistit, čím jsou elity dané země nejvíce ohroženy, hledejte to, co se snaží potlačit.

Nedávno se na YouTube objevila čtyřdílná zpráva *FOX News* z prosince 2001, která získala miliony zhlédnutí. A pak se to najednou vyčistilo. Stále ho můžete najít na [Bitchute](#), [Rumble](#) a [Odysee](#) – ale už ne tak snadno na [YouTube](#). Jak lze hádat, toto téma je pro židovskou elitu našeho národa citlivé: role Izraele nejen ve špionáži Spojených států před 11. zářím, ale také v maření následného vyšetřování.

Možná, že vysoce postavení lidé v Mossadu věděli, že teroristické útoky jsou bezprostřední, a nedali svému americkému spojenci dostatečné varování. Zpráva, kterou předložil Carl Cameron, se velmi opírala o americké zpravodajské úředníky a další nejmenované vládní zdroje, kteří byli nespokojeni s tím, jak vyšetřování probíhalo po smrtících útocích – a všechny prsty frustrace směřovaly na Izrael. Je vidět, jak by se americko-izraelské jablečné vozíky mohly řítit jako domino, kdyby se tyto informace někdy dostaly do hlavního proudu, a proto byly potlačeny. Video jsem přepsal [zde](#), pro případ, že by se někdy znovu vymazalo.

Shrnout:

ČÁST 1: UCHOVÁVÁNÍ TAJEMSTVÍ

Krátce po útocích z 11. září bylo více než 60 Izraelců zatčeno nebo zadrženo buď na základě protiteroristických zákonů, nebo za porušení přistěhovalectví. Někteří byli aktivní izraelskou armádou a někteří selhali při testech na detektoru lži, když se jich zeptali na izraelské sledování proti Spojeným státům. Američtí zpravodajští pracovníci měli podezření, že tito zadržovaní věděli o 11. září více, než sdíleli. Když ale *FOX News* požádala „vysoce postaveného vyšetřovatele“ o důkazy, které spojují Izrael s 11. zářím, bylo jim řečeno, že tyto informace jsou tajné. Přesto se *FOX* podařilo získat dostatek utajovaných informací, aby si je mohli začít spojovat.

Před útoky bylo po celé zemi zatčeno asi 140 Izraelců za špionáž. V mnoha případech špehovali Arabové – kteří na tom samozřejmě také neměli nic dobrého. Vyšetřovatelé však také dospěli k závěru, že tito Izraelci se zapojovali do „organizované činnosti shromažďování zpravodajských informací“ na úrovni vyšší než jen blízkovýchodní intriky. Tito Izraelci, kteří často používali kiosky v nákupních centrech jako fronty, údajně interagovali s personálem americké vlády a infiltrovali vojenské základny, Agenturu pro vymáhání drog (DEA), FBI a další vládní zařízení. V Izraeli většina z nich „sloužila ve vojenské rozvědce, elektronickém sledovacím odposlechu a/nebo výbušných jednotkách“.

Jedno vyšetřování uvedlo, že Izrael „provádí nejagresivnější špionážní operaci proti USA ze všech amerických spojenců“. Další uvedl, že Izrael dychtivě vyhledával informace a byl „motivován silnými instinkty přežití, které diktuji každý aspekt jejich politické a hospodářské politiky“. Spojené státy, jak uvádí zpráva, „jsou cílem s vysokou prioritou“.

Izraelská ambasáda to vše samozřejmě popřela. Následující výměna názorů mezi Cameronem a Britem Humeem je docela výmluvná:

HUME: Carle, co tato otázka o předběžné znalosti toho, co se stane 11. září? Jak je vyšetřovatelům jasné, že někteří izraelští agenti mohli něco vědět?

CAMERON: No, jsou to samozřejmě velmi výbušné informace a existuje mnoho důkazů, které prý shromáždili, žádný z nich nezbytně nezvratný. Jde spíš o to, když to dají všechno dohromady. Větší otázka, říkají, je, jak to *nemohli* vědět?

Jak to, že to nevěděli?

Později ve zprávě Hume i Cameron přiznávají, že agenti Mossadu oslovili americké úředníky před 11. zářím, aby je varovali před útokem. Cameron však uvádí, že varování bylo příliš vágní a obecné na to, aby bylo užitečné, a měl podezření, že Mossad formuloval své varování takovým způsobem, aby ochránil své zpravodajské „zdroje a metody“ ve Spojených státech.

ČÁSTI 2 a 3: BRÁNÍ VYŠETŘOVÁNÍ

Američtí vyšetřovatelé si začali uvědomovat, že jistí izraelští podezřelí ze špionáže jsou o krok před nimi díky únikům dat z izraelské telekomunikační společnosti Amdocs Limited. V roce 2001 měl Amdocs smlouvy s 25 největšími telefonními společnostmi v Americe a bylo by téměř nemožné, aby kdokoli v zemi uskutečnil telefonní hovor a pro Amdocs by o tom neměl záznam. Možná ne náhodou Amdocs také zaměstnával šest z 60 Izraelců, kteří byli po 11. září zadrženi.

Další izraelská společnost, Comverse Infosys, mohla také zasahovat do úsilí USA o odposlechy. Společnost poskytla odposlouchávací zařízení pro vymáhání práva a díky zákonu CALEA (Communication Assistance for Law Enforcement Act) byla schopna udržet přístup k počítačům shromažďujícím informace o odposlechu – údajně proto, aby je mohli obsluhovat. To podle vysokých vládních úředníků učinilo celý systém odposlechů vážně zranitelným.

Generální prokurátor John Ashcroft a ředitel FBI Robert Mueller byli osobně varováni 15 místními a státními úředníky činnými v trestním řízení, kteří tvrdili, že díky této zranitelnosti CALEA ve skutečnosti snížila účinnost elektronického sledování.

Obavy spočívaly v tom, že programy vyvinuté společností Comverse měly zadní vrátka, „jejichž samotné odposlechy mohou být zachyceny neoprávněnými stranami“. Aby byla celá záležitost ještě podezřelejší, Comverse úzce spolupracovala s izraelskou vládou a izraelské ministerstvo průmyslu a obchodu jí občas proplatilo až 50 % nákladů na výzkum a vývoj.

Cameron hlásí:

A to, co vyšetřovatele, zejména v New Yorku, při protiteroristickém vyšetřování útoku na World Trade Center nejvíce trápí, je to, že v řadě případů podezřelí, že se snažili odposlouchávat a sledovat, okamžitě změnili své telekomunikační procesy. Začali se chovat úplně jinak, jakmile se objevily ty údajně tajné odposlechy.

FBI si byla vědoma podezření kolem Comverse, ale kdykoli se agenti pokusili vyšetřovat, jejich nadřízení zastavili jejich úsilí. Lidé z DEA, Imigrační a naturalizační služby (INS) a FBI řekli *FOX News*, že

„sledovat nebo dokonce navrhovat izraelskou špionáž přes Comverse je považováno za sebevraždu kariéry“.

Jak se dalo očekávat, Amdocs i Comverse trvaly na tom, že jejich data a operace jsou bezpečné.

ČÁST 4: PRECEDENT A ÚCHYTKA

V Los Angeles v roce 1997 došlo k velkému drogovému bodnutí, když podezřelí, kteří byli napojeni na izraelský organizovaný zločin, získali čísla pípáků, čísla mobilních telefonů a v některých případech domácí telefonní čísla policie. Ve skutečnosti sledovali sledující. Ti, které se policii podařilo zatknout, se přiznali k držení stovek těchto čísel a použili je, aby se vyhnuli dopadení.

Vyšetřovatelé v té době podezírali jak Amdocs, tak Comverse, ale když se po 11. září vrátili do těchto společností, byli zmařeni lidmi v jejich vlastních agenturách, kde se kvůli tomu zjevně vedly kruté války. Když se Bushova administrativa zeptala na potenciální izraelskou špionáž a podezření na spojení mezi těmito společnostmi a vyšetřováním 11. září, podle Camerona „zacházela s otázkami jako s horkým bramborem“ a všechny je poslala ministerstvu spravedlnosti. Cameron končí svou zprávu popisem „pandemonia“ ve FBI, DEA a INS jako agenti, kteří bojovali se svým kompromitovaným vyšetřováním a přitom se vyhýbali politickým nástrahám „výbušné povahy a velmi politickým důsledkům samotného příběhu“.

11. září mi Trutherismus vždy připadal spíše jako vedlejší projekt bílých nacionalistů než jako součást jeho ústřední platformy.

Důležité, ano, a skvělý příklad nebezpečí multirasismu – zvláště když se týká Židů. Ale rozhodně to není *jediný* důvod, proč si myslíme, co si myslíme, a děláme to, co děláme. V těchto kruzích najdeme směsici amerických vlastenců, konspiračních teoretiků, otevřených inženýrů, stále truchlících rodinných příslušníků a antisionistů, kteří jsou ochotni se stále nořit do této fascinující králičí nory pro onen pověstný hrnec zlata. To samozřejmě zůstává zcela užitečné i 20 let po faktu – podle mého názoru více než nekonečné vyšetřování atentátů na Kennedyho. Kromě Floydského léta a ukradených

prezidentských voleb v roce 2020 bylo 11. září nejzásadnější událostí, která změnila historii od pádu Sovětského svazu. Měli bychom být samozřejmě vděční, že se stále mnoho lidí snaží přijít na kloub.

Ani ve své nejkontroverznější podobě však zprávy *FOX News* neuvádějí Izrael jako viníka útoků. Místo toho nejhorší, co navrhuji, je, že před 11. zářím Izrael špehoval podezřelé arabské teroristy ve Spojených státech a také cíle v rámci samotné vlády USA. Izraelci nebo lidé jednající v zájmu Izraele dále bránili následnému vyšetřování terorismu pomocí sofistikovaných špionážních technik nebo tím, že pro americké zpravodajské důstojníky udělali „kariérní sebevraždu“, aby tato vyšetřování pro začátek plně provedli.

To neznamená, že Izrael nebyl jedním z viníků za útoky z 11. září nebo že 11. září nebylo v žádném případě vnitřní prací. Klidně mohla nastat jedna nebo obě z těchto možností. To také nenechává Al-Káidu nebo muslimské extremisty vyvést z míry. Přesto zůstávají otázky.

Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth tvrdí, že zničení budov Světového obchodního centra připomínalo spíše řízenou demolici než zhroucení v důsledku nárazů letadla. Existuje také mnoho dalších anomálií, které zpochybňují oficiální popis útoků, jako je roztavený kov objevující se v troskách a úplná ztráta černých skříněk letadel. Jak to všechno může vysvětlit oficiální narativ?

Pokud jde o možnou vinu Izraele – nebo přesněji Mossadu – Laurent Guyénot je vynikajícím zdrojem. Nabízí slavný případ „tančících Izraelců“, kteří byli převlečeni za Araby a kteří útoky zjevně oslavovali tak, jak k nim docházelo. Zdálo se, že předem přesně věděli, kde a kdy letadla zaútočí. Ve vězení také propadli testům na detektoru lži. (Těchto pět mužů bylo mezi 60 Izraelci zadrženými po 11. září zmíněným výše.) Ještě více matoucí je náhlý nezájem FBI o tyto muže pouhé dva týdny po útocích. Všichni byli propuštěni po 71 dnech.

Navíc existují podivné souvislosti, které žadoní o vysvětlení, jako je přítomnost 30 ze 140 Izraelců, kteří byli zadrženi před 11. zářím ve městě Hollywood na Floridě, kde také pobývalo 15 z 19 únosců z 11.

září. . Je tu také Ali al-Jarrah, bratranec jednoho z únosců z 11. září, který strávil 25 let jako špión Mossadu.

Nepřímé důkazy se jen hromadí ve prospěch Židů a nikdy naopak.

Guyénot hlásí následující:

- Bohatý sionista – a finančník George W. Bush – Maurice Greenberg, který vlastnil firmu, která měla na starosti bezpečnost Světového obchodního centra, byl také jedním z pojistitelů Twin Towers – kromě toho, že 24. července 2001 nechal smlouvu zajistit. ze strany konkurentů. Jaká náhoda.
- Na jaře 2001 si realitní magnát Larry Silverstein pronajal Dvojčata, která musela být dekontaminována kvůli azbestu – práce za miliardu, přinejmenším. Okamžitě znovu sjednal pojištění budov na krytí teroristických útoků, čímž zdvojnásobil jejich krytí. Po 11. září Silverstein, který měl úzké vazby na Benjamina Netanjahua, vložil do kapsy 4,5 miliardy dolarů. Jaká náhoda.
- Ráno 11. září, místo aby snídal v Severní věži jako vždy, Silverstein náhodou navštívil svého dermatologa. Jaká náhoda.
- Letadla, která narazila do věží, odstartovala z bostonského letiště Logan, které svou bezpečnost zadalo izraelské firmě – stejné firmě zodpovědné za bezpečnost na letišti v Newarku, odkud odstartoval let, který havaroval v Shanksville. Jaká náhoda.
- Americká pobočka izraelské lodní společnosti Zim Israel Navigational týden před útoky přesunula své kanceláře ze Světového obchodního centra. Jaká náhoda.
- Ani nevím, co si o tomhle myslet: Dvě hodiny před nárazem prvního letadla dostali někteří zaměstnanci Světového obchodního centra okamžité zprávy od izraelské společnosti jménem Odigo, která oznámila „téměř na minutu“ čas prvního letadla. stávkovat. Jaká náhoda.
- Vedoucím trestního oddělení ministerstva spravedlnosti, který propustil Izraelce zatčené před a po 11. září, včetně „tančících Izraelců“, byl Michael Chertoff – Žid. Jaká náhoda.

- Jednou z největších záhad té doby byly 3,4 bilionu dolarů, které zmizely z ministerstva obrany v roce 1999 a 2000 (pod dohledem ministra obrany Billa Clintona Williama Cohena, Žida). Ráno 11. září 2001 finanční analytici z Resource Services ve Washingtonu pracovali na lokalizaci těchto peněz – dokud let AA77 nenarazil přímo do jejich kanceláří v Pentagonu a všechny je nezabil. Jaká náhoda.

Případ viny Mossadu z 11. září je samozřejmě velmi přesvědčivý – ale přesvědčivý způsobem, jakým je přesvědčivý Graham Hancock. To znamená, že případ je krátký na přímé důkazy a je bohatý na otázky, které jsou buď nezodpovězené, nezodpověditelné, nebo nepřesvědčivě zodpovězené převládajícím vyprávěním. A jakákoli cenzura nebo potlačování informací jen propůjčuje větší naléhavost samotným otázkám. Pokud by převládající narativ byl pravdivý, proč jeho zastánci ztěžují hledání informací a znečišťují protichůdné hlasy jako práškače? Proč Carl Cameron's *FOX News* budou segmenty odstraněny z YouTube? A proč se Židé stále objevují v klíčových bodech vyprávění o 11. září, když oficiální zdroje popírají židovskou vinu za cokoli? Takové nesrovnalosti jen málo vzbuzují důvěru a v důsledku vzniklé skepse začnou vzkvétat konkurenční teorie. Některé teorie jsou samozřejmě lepší než jiné a nechám na čtenáři, aby si udělal vlastní průzkum a došel k vlastním závěrům. Jedna věc, kterou však můžeme říci s jistotou, je, že prostřednictvím potlačování informací jak Spojené státy, tak izraelské vlády nejsou upřímné ohledně toho, co se stalo 11. září 2001. Možná je to proto, že tyto dvě entity jsou ve skutečnosti jedna a ta samá. .

Navštivte stránku spisovatele Spencera Quinna na Counter-Currents: <https://counter-currents.com/author/squinn/>

(Opětovně publikováno z Counter-Currents Publishing se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

[← Recenze: Stalinova válka proti Židům...](#)

[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

• Kategorie: [Zahraníční politika](#) , [Historie](#) •
Štítky: [9/11](#) , [Americká média](#) , [Konspirační teorie](#) , [Mossad](#)



[RSS](#)



← [Recenze: Stalinova válka proti Židům...](#)

Skrýt 95 komentářů [Zanechat komentář](#)

Komentující ignorovat ... sledovat [Endorsed Only](#)

Oříznout komentáře?

1. [Verymuchaliveříká](#):

[14. ledna 2023 v 6:16 GMT](#) • [před 5,5 dny](#) ↑

Neříkat většině čtenářů UR to, co ještě neví. Nechte to na M Guyenotovi, pane Quinne.

[Odpovědět](#) [Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

2. [Paul Smithříká](#):

[January 14, 2023 at 6:44 am GMT](#) • [5.4 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

I would argue that 9/11 was the first secret use of military drone technology. The planes command were likely disabled, planes were controlled remotely and crashed into buildings. Pilots were unable to regain control of the planes. What has happened to flight recorders ? Have they ever been recovered? This is no longer conspiracy theory.

• **Replies:** [@Zane](#), [@Contraviews](#)

[Reply](#) [Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

3. Trinity says:

@Vermuchalive

Disagree, there are always those who happen upon various websites. ALL people the world over, not just Americans, need to know about the:

5 Dancing Shlomos

• **Agree:** Colin Wright, One Nobody, Z-man

• **Replies:** @animalogic

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

4. Princeone says:

Unfortunately, 9/11 was another Pearl Harbor, inflaming passion and setting the stage for war in the Middle East. It is the same ole story, Americans used to do Isreal's dirty work.

• **Agree:** Ummmpph!

• **Replies:** @Shamu, @GW

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

5. Mr. Anon says:

January 15, 2023 at 3:35 am GMT • 4.6 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Yet, questions remain. Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth maintains that the destruction of the World Trade Center buildings resembled more of a controlled demolition than a collapse due to plane impacts.

As Ryan Dawson pointed out, Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth didn't even understand the architecture of the Pentagon. I don't know why people say that the destruction of WTC 1 and 2 looked like controlled demolitions. They didn't look like that at all. The collapse of WTC 7 did look like a controlled demolition – I'll give you that. But that is all beside the point. Buildings that weaken and fail fall straight down because that's the way that the gravitational force points. Buildings are not trees.

For those interested, I suggest checking out the work of Ryan Dawson at Anti-Neocon Report. He has done some excellent work on what he calls "9/11 Truth for Grownups". He's made a couple of documentaries on the topic "War by Deception" and "The Empire Unmasked". He has amassed a great deal of information, some of which you may have heard but forgot, some of which you probably never heard before.

James Corbett at The Corbett Report has also done some great work on 9/11. In particular his documentary on the US Government's 9/11 Commission Investigation is very good.

And , yes, the official 9/11 narrative is a lie. There was a wider conspiracy behind 9/11, as Mr. Quinn surmises.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

6. lavoisier says: • Website

January 15, 2023 at 5:59 pm GMT • 4.0 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Mr. Anon

Buildings that weaken and fail fall straight down because that's the way that the gravitational force points.

But they don't fall at free fall speed unless a controlled demolition has taken out simultaneously the support structures below.

And if the Jewish bastards blew WTC 7, which for sure they did, they blew them all.

The ARABS did not have access to these buildings to wire them for controlled demolition. Our Jewish friends did—they owned the buildings and controlled the security!

The fact that on 9/11 the buildings collapsed due to controlled demolition points the finger directly at the usual suspects.

• **Agree:** Iris, WorkingClass, Chuck Orloski, mark green, TheTrumanShow

• **Replies:** @Mr. Anon

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

7. Iris says:

January 15, 2023 at 11:55 pm GMT • 3.7 days ago • 200

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

Buildings that weaken and fail fall straight down because that's the way that the gravitational force points. Buildings are not trees.

And yet it is a very simple point to understand.

Any such high-rise buildings cannot collapse at free fall/ quasi free fall, unless a significant number of its lower floors have suddenly, symmetrically and simultaneously collapsed, removing in a perfectly timed and orderly fashion the support that bears the upper floors.

High structures are designed so the lower parts incrementally support everything above it. It is obvious in old structures such as the Eiffel Tower for instance, largest at the base and increasingly narrow going up to the top. The Eiffel Tower is a visual illustration of this universal civil engineering principle.

But in modern structures, this design feature is hidden, because it is performed by playing on the sizing of the steel structure, which is not visible to the viewer.

Falling at free fall means that all the significant lower supporting, dozens of columns, was removed in a planned and synchronised fashion, at the same time. This is the definition of controlled demolition.

- **Thanks:** Chuck Orloski
- **Replies:** @One Nobody

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

8. Mr. Anon says:

January 16, 2023 at 1:26 am GMT • 3.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@lavoisier

But they don't fall at free fall speed unless a controlled demolition has taken out simultaneously the support structures below.

That “free fall speed” nonsense is based on analysis of grainy youtube videos.

Show me one controlled demolition that looks like WTCs 1 and 2, with the outer layers of the building peeling outward like a banana as the core collapses.

Truthers are always going to be irrelevant as long as they harp on their stupid “controlled demolition” schtick. Dawson emphasizes this point – the Truth movement got sidetracked arguing (ignorantly for the most part) about physics. Who cares. Follow the people who were involved.

- **Agree:** Wizard of Oz
- **Disagree:** lavoisier
- **Replies:** @Fidelios Automata, @Liosnagcat

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. lavoisier says: • Website

January 16, 2023 at 12:08 pm GMT • 3.2 days ago • 100

Words ↑

The collapse of WTC 7 did look like a controlled demolition – I'll give you that. But that is all beside the point.

THAT IS THE POINT. It is the foundation on which the questioning of the official story of 911 rests.

And if WTC 7 was a controlled demolition, the other buildings fell in this manner too.

The official explanation that fires caused by airplane crashes brought down these buildings is nonsense.

You cannot even begin to question who did the crime without first understanding how the crime was done. It is this understanding that turns the focus away from just Islamic terrorists.

• **Agree:** Liosnagcat

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

10. Fidelios Automata says:

January 16, 2023 at 5:01 pm GMT • 3.0 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Mr. Anon

I agree with Mr. Anon. As suspicious as the collapse was, Occam's razor says, "Why go through the extra trouble, expense, and risk of detection when it wasn't necessary?" Even if the planes had missed the towers entirely, it would have angered the American people enough to attack Afghanistan and Iraq, both totally innocent and uninvolved (Bin Laden, too. After he died in 2022 he was portrayed by an actor.)

The more productive line of questioning would be "who knew?" and "who benefited?" These questions point to Israel so the Truthers stick to their nonsense about nano-thermite.

• **Agree:** Mr. Anon

• **Replies:** @lavoisier, @Liosnagcat

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

11. Fidelios Automata says:

@lavoisier

I disagree. Building 7 is important but not critical to uncovering the conspiracy.

• **Agree:** Mr. Anon

• **Disagree:** lavoisier

• **Replies:** @Wizard of Oz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

12. Mr. Anon says:

January 16, 2023 at 6:31 pm GMT • 2.9 days ago • 200 Words ↑
@lavoisier

| And if WTC 7 was a controlled demolition, the other buildings fell in this manner too.

No, that does not follow. And if they fell from the same cause, why did they look so different?

The more important point is.....why is it so important to you? Why is it so important to so many people **how** a crime was committed – even to the point of reading people out of their movement if they disagree – rather than **who** committed it.

Truthers have spent the last 20 years arguing about physics. Well? Where has that gotten you? Have you gotten any action from that? A sweeping congressional investigation? A landmark court case? A major Hollywood movie exposing the plot? A majority of the American public agreeing with you? No. Truthers have achieved exactly nothing.

Or look at it another way. You can watch the 9/11 truther film *Loose Change* on YouTube. Go to YouTube, type in “loose change 911” and it pops right up, top of the list. Type in “ryan dawson 911” and you get nothing. He’s banned from YouTube. You can spend hours on YouTube watching videos by Judy Wood (directed energy weapons) or about anything to do with nanothermite, or a missile hitting the Pentagon, or any of the other Truther obsessions.

Serious discussions about 911 are banned from prominent websites. Crazy, ridiculous theories are thick as crabgrass on those same sites. Ask yourself why that would be.

• **Agree:** Happy Tapir

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

13. [lavoisier](#) says: • [Website](#)

[January 16, 2023 at 6:44 pm GMT](#) • [2.9 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@Fidelios Automata](#)

Truthers as you refer to them are the reason there is doubt about the official narrative. No way anyone would be going down this rabbit hole based on the dancing Israelis or the attack on Iraq.

I disagree that the narrative would ever have been questioned simply on the basis of asking who benefited from the attack on 911.

Watching WTC 7 fall is the smoking gun. Without this the narrative would continue to stand strong.

As it is now, the narrative is as shaky as the world trade center towers were on 911.

• **Disagree:** [Wizard of Oz](#)

• **Replies:** [@JM](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

14. Mr. Anon says:

@Mr. Anon

And I would add, does this look like any controlled demolition you've ever seen?



Watch Video At: https://youtu.be/3HbD_Q6kmh8

• **Thanks:** Wizard of Oz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

15. [lavoisier](#) says: • [Website](#)

[January 16, 2023 at 6:51 pm GMT](#) • [2.9 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)
[@Mr. Anon](#)

You make some very important points that I agree with. Outlandish theories are put out there to discredit the truther movement. This is an interesting subject that I think RU addressed as well.

For me watching WTC7 fall down was like a flash of light. Subsequent scientific and engineering inquiries thoughtfully presented by professionals convinced me that the narrative was false.

I do not doubt that there is an attempt to discredit the truther movement positing absurd theories.

But that WTC7 was a controlled demolition is as obvious to me as is the idea that Earth revolves around the Sun.

That understanding, in turn, leads me to want to know who did it.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

16. Iris says:

January 16, 2023 at 11:38 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 300

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

The more important point is.....why is it so important to you? Why is it so important to so many people how a crime was committed

It is important, the SCIENCE is important, because it is based on an array of facts and universal knowledge that are outside yours, mine and anybody's opinion or judgement. Hard science is universal and undeniable.

And you are beating around the (wrong) bush and wasting your time: science has already proven without any possible contestation that WTC7 came down by controlled demolition.

This was the conclusion of the University of Alaska WTC7 civil engineering study completed in 2019.

So the subject is moot: science has proven that the "conspiracy theorists" were right, not NIST.

<https://ine.uaf.edu/projects/wtc7/>

Finally, if WTC7 was brought down by controlled demolition, then so were the Twin Towers.

WTC7 proves that there exists of a REAL-LIFE demolition method* capable of bringing down a steel-framed high rise building in seconds. Since this method exists, it could and was applied onto the Twin Towers.

*Hint: it is an old obsolete technique that was very, very, very popular in the 1960's, called underground nuclear detonation. Hundreds of such detonations were executed in the past decades by France, the USA, the USSR.

The WTC demolition was just a replica of the Sedan detonation, part of Project Plowshare, executed in the Nevada Desert.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Plowshare

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedan_\(nuclear_test\)#:~:text=Se%20dan%20%28nuclear%20test%29%20Storax%20Sedan%20was%20a%20shallow,for%20mining%2C%20cratering%2C%20and%20other%20civilian%20purposes.%20](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedan_(nuclear_test)#:~:text=Se%20dan%20%28nuclear%20test%29%20Storax%20Sedan%20was%20a%20shallow,for%20mining%2C%20cratering%2C%20and%20other%20civilian%20purposes.%20)



We all pretend not to know what was happening in the 1970's because we are lobotomised morons, too afraid to speak the truth.

The WTC came down by underground nuclear detonations, with Mossad opportunistically and single-handedly using a pre-existing installation, and shredding alive 3000 innocent people in the process.

- **Agree:** [One Nobody](#)
- **Replies:** [@Mr. Anon](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

17. Mr. Anon says:

@Iris

*Hint: it is an old obsolete technique that was very, very, very popular in the 1960's, called underground nuclear detonation.

That's ludicrous. As I said, it is people like you who are responsible for "Trutherism" having become an irrelevant ghetto of people screaming nonsense at one another.

• **Agree:** Wizard of Oz

• **Replies:** @Iris, @One Nobody

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

18. Iris says:

January 17, 2023 at 4:40 am GMT • 2.5 days ago • 200 Words ↑
@Mr. Anon

| That's ludicrous.

Why? Just because YOU don't understand it?

It is perfectly realistic and true: they are dozens of open source official government documents available for free on the Internet, describing the technique of underground nuclear detonations, which phenomenology entirely match what happened at the WTC.

| it is people like you who are responsible for "Trutherism" having become an irrelevant ghetto of people screaming nonsense at one another.

You have this very simple thing wrong, too.

The "official" Truth movement, that is, leading organisations like A&E9/11Truth, do NOT support the nuclear demolition hypothesis.

They are extremely careful just to ask questions, but to never provide answers, as a way to maintain the largest possible public consensus. Still, their extremely precise, high-quality SCIENTIFIC findings, such as the UAF WTC7 study, are ENTIRELY IGNORED by the media and government.

So the reason the Truth movement has failed in America is NOT for lack of informed and rational Truthers, but because the cover-up is too powerful, too implacable and too comprehensive. There is no way to progress an issue which is never allowed to be discussed.

Since **9/11 was a nuclear Holocaust committed by Mossad against the American civilian population**, it qualifies as one most abject crime against humanity to have ever

been committed. No surprise there, that they have all their guard dogs out to cover it up.

• **Thanks:** [Chuck Orloski](#)

• **Replies:** [@Mr. Anon](#), [@Irina](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

19. [Mr. Anon](#) says:

[January 17, 2023 at 5:44 am GMT](#) • [2.5 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↕

[@Iris](#)

Why? Just because YOU don't understand it?

It is perfectly realistic and true: there are dozens of open source official government documents available for free on the Internet, describing the technique of underground nuclear detonations, which phenomenology entirely match what happened at the WTC.

I understand nuclear explosions just fine. Better than you, I'd wager.

Underground nuclear explosions were done as tests, to test bunker busting techniques or simply to conceal them from adversaries.

What evidence do you have for your contention?

• **Replies:** [@ivan](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

20. One Nobody says:

January 19, 2023 at 5:52 am GMT • 11.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Did you know two planes hit and 3 buildings collapsed in their footprints. WTC1, WTC2 & WTC7?

What type of energy was used to pulverize 212,500 cubic yards of concrete in each building? While not including Steel.

Why was a private security company called ICTS, owned by an Israeli, Ezra Harel, and registered in the Netherlands, was employed at all four locations from which the hijackers boarded. Those are ignored questions by our Israeli Occupied Congress, where members sign pledges of allegiance to Israel, even before they take the oath of office.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

21. One Nobody says:

January 19, 2023 at 6:22 am GMT • 10.8 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Iris

Iris... Let us get our definitions correctly.

Controlled Demolition is the Engineering Design required to collapse a building into its footprint.

This is done by sequentially timing the removal of mostly VERTICAL supports or columns. This removal is done by shearing the Vertical support using explosive shape charges.

The detonation of explosive charges are done in a pre-designed sequence. that follows the intended results. This involves, installing charges at every column, stringing wires from every column, floor to floor and testing the firing sequence.

So when they day that WTC7 caught fire at 9am and was wired by and tested to be “pulled” around 5pm is a crock.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. Chuck Orloski says:

January 19, 2023 at 6:23 am GMT • 10.8 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

I appreciate and thank Mr. Quinn especially for this major point: “One of the biggest mysteries of the day was the \$3.4 trillion that had disappeared from the Department of Defense in 1999 and 2000 (under the watch of Bill Clinton’s Defense Secretary William Cohen, a Jew). On the morning of September 11, 2001, financial analysts at Resource Services Washington were working on locating this money — until Flight AA77 slammed directly into their Pentagon offices and killed them all. What a coincidence.”

Was no ” coincidence,” and of course the late-Secretary of Defense, Donald Rumsfeld, went public about the \$3.4 trillion disappearance. Evidently, not having furthered the investigation’s indicates that the Zionist Rumsfeld was more concerned about losing his life than committing “career suicide.”

In addition, no airplanes “slammed” into the Pentagon and the 3 WTC Towers.

Lastly, am anxious to read more from the very intelligent commenters, Iris and ideally, Mike Fridelle, on the above deceptions. And perhaps, Laurent Guyenot.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

23. Zane says:

There were no ” terror ” attacks on 911.

• **Agree:** TheTrumanShow

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

24. Zane says:

@Paul Smith

No planes crashed into any buildings on 9-11.

• **Agree:** Chuck Orloski

• **Replies:** @Anonymous

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

25. WorkingClass says:

January 19, 2023 at 6:25 am GMT • 10.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

The events of 9/11 were a false flag attack attributed to Arabs. The purpose was to strengthen authoritarian governance in the United States and to justify the murder of perceived enemies of Israel. Both objectives were achieved. The perpetrators were the governments of the United States and Israel.

This is what happened with or without controlled demolitions.

What have we learned from it?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

26. One Nobody says:

January 19, 2023 at 6:35 am GMT • 10.6 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

Mr. Anon... the science of nuclear demolition of buildings has existed since the early 1970. Do you have an education in physics, structural or Architectural engineering? I think not. So don't allow yourself an absolute opinion based on nothing but ignorance.

Now. as far as the Truth movement being hijacked and sapped of strength by organized peripheral arguments, you are quite correct.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

27. Dumbo says:

January 19, 2023 at 7:10 am GMT • 10.0 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

It would be really stupid to wire WTC for controlled demolition but leave the others to random chance. If one was wired, then all were wired. There are so many things wrong with the idea of planes bringing down buildings. First of all, this had never happened before. Many planes crashed on buildings but they never made them fall, especially not in this symmetrical manner. Then on 9/11 this happens not once, but twice, perfectly. It's like the moon landings, perfect the first time.

Honestly, I am leaning towards the “no planes” theory. Some footage looks like bad early 2000s CGI or pasting images. But even if there were real planes, it is extremely unlikely that they were the sole cause of the buildings falling. Why would they plan everything, then leave this most important aspect to random chance?

• **Agree:** Commentator Mike

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

28. Zachary Smith says:

January 19, 2023 at 7:15 am GMT • 9.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Having just examined the neighboring “Sheila Jackson Lee” essay, my thoughts immediately turned to a potential coordinated attempt to tighten the screws on US citizens.

I'll have to keep an eye peeled to see if there are any other new proposals from DC to crack down on “hate speech” both on and off the internet tubes.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

29. Paul Greenwood says:

January 19, 2023 at 7:19 am GMT • 9.8 hours ago ↑

Seems hard for Americans to accept they are living in a colony

• **Replies:** @Shamu

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

30. MarLuc7 says:


January 19, 2023 at 7:25 am GMT • 9.7 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

This is what I find encouraging:

Think Back to 2001. Some 22 Years Ago. Very few households even had internet. How sophisticated were Americans? How naïve were you to Conspiracy Theories??? How trusting of your Government were you?

What did your cell phone look like in 2001?



What was the resolution of your cellphone in 2001? **.35 MP** And Today?? **108 MP**.

1998 – Google Starts —Users Now? >1 Billion

2004 – Facebook —User’s Now? 2.96 Billion

2005 – YouTube ——User’s Now? 2.6Billion

2006 – Twitter————57 Million Users

2016 – TikTok —Downloaded 210 Million Times in the United States.

2022 Personal Internet Websites in 2022 = **133** Million

It means the number of people that actually understand **Video Editing** today versus back in 2001 is ASTOUNDING.

What it means is that the murdering bastards that pulled off 9/11 by selling this idiot film to the American population would have been immediately exposed as criminals if they tried this shit in 2023.

What a damn joke of a film. How incredibly stupid and gullible we were:



What is encouraging to me is the American people are much much more sophisticated now. Millions of videos are posted to Facebook, Youtube, Instagram, TikTok and Twitter EVERY DAY. And the designers of these videos are using software video editing tools —tools once only available to the Military, Hollywood or Media Agencies are now available to everyone.

The Perps will be hard pressed to sell their HORSESHIT EVER AGAIN.

- **Agree:** [Iris](#), [Chuck Orloski](#), [TheTrumanShow](#)
- **Replies:** [@TheTrumanShow](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

31. Biff says:

January 19, 2023 at 7:34 am GMT • 9.6 hours ago ↑

Saw it all twenty years ago. All three Buildings were obviously demo-ed to the ground by explosives installed by experts who had security clearances.

• **Agree:** Iris

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

32. Brad Ambro says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:18 am GMT • 8.8 hours ago • 500

Words ↑

This post is to “Mr. Anon” especially, and to all others. First of all, I am not a physicist, an architect or a person with a college degree. I am a 71-year-old retired industrial electrician, who has done a LOT of studying about the events of September 11, 2001. I could easily come up with 50 reasons as to why the OFFICIAL GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY THEORY is incorrect, but I will list only a few. And if anyone can show that any of these facts are in error, please do so.

Before 9/11 and since then, there have been ZERO instances of skyscrapers having collapsed due to fire alone. One can find documentation of many instances in which fires burned far longer and far hotter than what occurred on 9/11. There are videos available, which show the truly massive amounts of steel that went into the construction of the framework of the twin towers. One of the architects who actually helped design the buildings was ON CAMERA, as stating that the buildings probably could have withstood impacts of MULTIPLE jet aircraft and remain standing.

One can clearly see in many of the videos of the “collapse” of the twin towers that they did not “collapse” – they exploded while coming down and the concrete, furnishings & human bodies were completely pulverized in the process. Many first-hand witnesses commented on the lack of debris that resulted after the “collapse.” There were fires burning under the debris, which were extremely hot, “burning” at temperatures that could NOT have been obtained from the combustion of jet fuel (kerosene) or office furnishings, which COULD NOT BE EXTINGUISHED.

There is a group of lawyers (The Lawyers’ Committee for 9/11 Inquiry) who cannot obtain legal standing in ANY court, much as they have tried. Why have they not been successful in their

pursuit of truth and justice? For the simple reason that JUDGES DO NOT WANT TO DIE.

Not to belittle anyone, but what good does it do to understand how the buildings came down or who was responsible for this heinous crime, when there has never been, and never will be, an impartial investigation, complete with SUBPOENA POWERS? After I had first come to the realization of the actual events of 9/11, I became a volunteer with Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth, doing what little I could for that organization. But after I found out that they had contacted EVERY U.S. Senator and Representative and that no one would touch the subject, I asked myself why I was a part of this organization, “banging my head against a brick wall?” So, I politely bowed out of their organization, NOT because I did not believe in their cause.

Being a somewhat “elderly person,” I doubt very much that I will ever see a REAL INVESTIGATION of the events of September 11, 2001. As the saying goes, “It’s very difficult to know something, when your livelihood (or your LIFE) depends upon you NOT knowing it.”

Thank you.

- **Agree:** [Son of a Jedi](#), [Bro43rd](#)
- **Thanks:** [Commentator Mike](#)
- **Replies:** [@Iris](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

33. JR Foley says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:30 am GMT • 8.6 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Vermuchalive

All I know was Monday September 10 —action in the square circle —The Towers of Doom were tangling against Mankind and Diesel (or was it the Big Boss Man?) and Marvin Bush was the referee.

Towers were dropped and another Tower fell down outside the ring —someone said HonkyTonk Man was responsible for the last dropping tower.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

34. Liosnagcat says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:31 am GMT • 8.6 hours ago ↑

@Mr. Anon

Show me one controlled demolition that looks like WTCs 1 and 2, with the outer layers of the building peeling outward like a banana as the core collapses.

Show me one other building that was designed and assembled like WTC1 & 2.

• **Replies:** @ACE economist

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

35. Liosnagcat says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:39 am GMT • 8.5 hours ago • 100

Words 

@Fidelios Automata

Occam's razor says, "Why go through the extra trouble, expense, and risk of detection when it wasn't necessary?"

Because two planes crashing into WTC 1&2 would have done nothing more than damage the facades of each of those two buildings. The failure of those buildings to suffer total collapse would have greatly diminished the spectacle while depriving Mr. Silverstein of his insurance money, not to mention all of the other beneficiaries of the total collapse. With Silverstein, et.al. in on the deal, "the extra trouble, expense, and risk of detection" were not a concern.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

36. Anon[682] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 8:41 am GMT • 8.5 hours ago](#) • 100

Words ↑

[@Mr. Anon](#)

The way I frame the ‘question’ to normies is :

...It’s theoretically possible that one of the WTC collapsed as described in the 9/11 report, but the probability is slim. That both Towers collapsed in such a fashion is a 1 in a million probability. That’s my conclusion as a (retired) NYC architect who is very familiar with the design of the WTC.....

Whenever I tell this to normies, I get a avalanche of questions mostly asking what really did happen ? I simply state that I have no expertise in what really happened, just that in my expert opinion’ buildings don’t fall down that way’.

All one wants to do is open the door for normies to start the long process of thinking.

• **Replies:** [@Old Brown Fool](#), [@Mis\(ter\)Anthrope](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

37. [Liosnagcat](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 8:44 am GMT • 8.4 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Mr. Anon](#)

| And if they fell from the same cause, why did they look so different?

Because they were entirely different buildings. That said, the collapse of all three had one thing in common: they all happened at free-fall speed.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

38. ivan says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:51 am GMT • 8.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

Although it may not be their intention these “9/11 Truthers” with their controlled demolition nonsense and stuff like “thermites” and assertions that buildings cannot burn at 1,600 degrees C and such like, have as you say provided major interference for the Mossad guys, simply by serving up distraction and nonsense. All day these fellows play with this. Whereas the important question of what the Israelis knew, their foreknowledge and whether they made a best effort to warn their hapless ally the US is the main only question that has to be answered.

• **Agree:** Sam Hildebrand

• **Replies:** @Sam Hildebrand, @Wizard of Oz

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

39. j2 says:

January 19, 2023 at 8:58 am GMT • 8.2 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

My suggestion how the WTC1 and 2 buildings fell is here:

<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365433732> Yet another solution to the 911 attack

It was not a normal demolition, these buildings also did not fall in free-fall speed, unlike WTC7. I suggest that the central core was taken off by explosives in the cellar and when the central core went down, floor trusses, which were bolted to the central core, pulled the external columns so that they buckled. In order to get the collapse start at any chosen floor, bolts connecting floor trusses to that floor were removed. Therefore the columns in that floor were not pulled from both sides, but only from either up or down side and therefore they were moved to a non-vertical position and they buckled. This initiates a cascade of bucking external columns and the whole building does come down by gravity. There are also clear indications pointing to the usual suspect.

• **Thanks:** Ulf Thorsen

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

40. Alfred says:

January 19, 2023 at 9:03 am GMT • 8.1 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

Contents

- 1 Official narrative
- 2 Control of World Trade Center Complex
 - 2.1 Four key Zionist Network assets
 - 2.2 World Trade Center Security
 - 2.2.1 Who Killed John O’Neil?
 - 2.3 Airport Security
- 3 Prior Knowledge
 - 3.1 Gomel Chesed Cemetery Incident
 - 3.2 Israeli Citizens Get Tipped Off
 - 3.3 ZIM forewarning
- 4 Mossad connections
 - 4.1 U.S. Army Study: On Mossad
 - 4.2 Israeli espionage around 9/11
 - 4.3 After 9/11 – More detentions of Israelis
 - 4.4 Five Dancing Israelis
 - 4.5 “We are not your problem”?
 - 4.6 Truck Bomb Destined for George Washington Bridge
 - 4.7 Mural Van
 - 4.8 Urban Moving Systems
 - 4.9 Ptech Software systems – Computer control backdoor
 - 4.10 MITRE corporation (computer software)
 - 4.11 Precision guided plane?
 - 4.12 Rabbi Dov Zakheim and Systems Planning Corporation
- 5 Cover Up
 - 5.1 9/11 “Investigations”
 - 5.2 Chief judges
 - 5.3 9/11 Commission
 - 5.4 Crime Scene Evidence Quickly Removed and Destroyed
 - 5.5 Cass Sunstein ‘s “Conspiracy Theories”
- 6 Other circumstances

6.1 Job holders on 9/11

6.2 Who was on board flight 11?

6.3 Bush Speech Writers Grossly Inflate Number of Israeli Casualties

6.3.1 Who was Bush's Speechwriter?

6.4 Mohammed Atta Leads Us Directly To Jewish Crime Network Doorstep

6.5 Al Qaeda = Mossad Playing Dress Up

6.6 Netanyahu Openly Gleeeful of 9/11 Terror Attacks

7 Connected Events

7.1 Zionist would-be terrorist incident at the Mexican Congress Building

7.2 2001 Anthrax attacks

8 Advocates

8.1 Hamid Gul

8.2 Victor Thorn

8.3 Francesco Cossiga

8.4 Kevin Barrett

8.5 Alan Sabrosky

8.6 Kees van der Pijl

9 US Deep state involvement

10 See Also

11 Related Quotations

12 Related Documents

13 References

Israel Did It

• **Agree:** GMC

• **Thanks:** Commentator Mike, Ummmpph!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

41. Anon[275] • Disclaimer

says:

January 19, 2023 at

9:21 am GMT • 7.8

hours ago ↑.

The Pentagon was hit
by an Israeli Cruise

Missile on 9/11,

launched from an

Israeli Type 212

German-built

Submarine.

NO aircraft parts were
ever seemingly found

on the site.



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

42. JM says:

January 19, 2023 at 9:26 am GMT • 7.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑.

@lavoisier

You're right. "Mr Anon" is an airhead or worse. Why? Because he implies that free fall cannot be assessed from "grainy films". Lol!

This nonsense indicates that he has a story (like a cultist) and he's sticking to it and will disregard any contrary evidence.

The view held by masses of people is largely derived from the careful scientific work of the "9-11 Truth" Committees. Without it there is just empty accusation. "Who benefits" can never be anything more than a starting point for careful forensic investigation. This "Mr Anon" seeks to cover up.

• **Replies:** @DrWatson

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

43. Ulf Thorsen says:

January 19, 2023 at 9:43 am GMT • 7.4 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

I agree about Dawson's work. I have all those you mentioned. Dawson, I believe, was one of the first if not the first to really dig into 911. You mentioned controlled demolition. In fact in several iterations of Dawson's "War By Deception" and possibly "The Empire Unmasked", he shows numerous instances of witnesses speaking about explosions going off in the buildings. Also a number of news reports from that day talking about explosions as well as actual sound of explosions in background while filming on the street. Call it what you will, "controlled demolition" etc, but I believe the evidence is damning that pre set explosives were in fact used to help bring those buildings down. Nanothermite was also found in large quantities. The Israelis even had an 'art' group in the buildings called "Gelatin" which is darkly ironic since it was likely some sort of 'gelatin based explosives that were used. Employees of various offices in those buildings also spoke about hearing drilling for weeks in sectioned off portions of the WTC1 and WTC2 buildings. Here is but one example of a gelatin based explosive

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gelignite>

• **Replies:** @Iris

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

44. Ray Caruso says:

January 19, 2023 at 9:54 am GMT • 7.2 hours ago ↑

It would be very much out of character for Antichristians *not* to be involved in something like 9/11.

• **Agree:** A. Clifton

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

45. pq says:

January 19, 2023 at 10:18 am GMT • 6.8 hours ago • 600

Words ↑

There were no planes in New York. This is clearly evident from the footage.

The main evidence is the absence of evidence.

a) the hour of footage between the explosions and the collapse where you have hundreds of people wandering around right underneath and outside the towers asking each other: what happened?

Like, a huge airliner (x2) just slammed into a huge steel framed skyscraper (x2) right above your head and nobody has any clue... A huge airliner slams into a building (x2) and there isn't a scrap of rubble or a wing or two on the ground below. All the "plane" parts claimed to have been found were found many days later. A huge airliner hurtling to low altitude at (an impossible) top speed would have been HEARD by EVERY PERSON within a 5 km radius at least and they would have run the hell away from the noise even if they did not have a clear view from ground level.

b) the first seven minutes of live footage including and following the second explosion do not show any plane. None of the reporters watching the towers from a helicopter mentioned the plane. The only mention of a plane in the first seven minutes was from a CNN producer who claimed to be in the tower right opposite the twin towers and he said he had a good view. Youtube used to have extensive video footage of live coverage of various reporters covering the second explosion which I had naively bookmarked. Someone at youtube went to the effort of disappearing all those videos over the years, maybe from around 2018 or 2019 onwards.

It was the studio reporters who suggested the “plane” idea to the helicopter reporters and the helicopter reporters said no, they had not seen any plane.

c) After seven minutes, the live footage on that day was replayed with the silhouette of a plane disappearing behind the towers, followed by an explosion. Despite the fact that every news agency in New York had their cameras on the towers after the first explosion, somehow not a single one managed to be on the side of the tower from which the “plane” approached. There was the building ALWAYS between the camera and the “plane” in the footage on the day of September 11.

d) From September 12 onwards, many amateur videos emerged showing a plane disappearing like a ghost into a concrete building (from which the photo above was taken) without shearing or breaking apart at all on impact.

That is Roadrunner Cartoon Physics 101.

I have no idea what happened but the simplest hypothesis is explosions followed by controlled demolition. It is known that the towers were closed to workers the weekend before.

The seven minute time lag is more than enough to add a plane into the real footage of the explosion with the technology of the time. After the spectacular collapse, the “plane crash” footage took back seat. There was a film producer who made vidoes on this soon after 9/11 showing exactly how it would be done with the technology at the time. He later was made to retract and shut up.

I do not know about the Pentagon or the fourth one but there were no planes at the towers. It is also not possible to

a) fly a Boeing at low altitude at the speeds claimed in the official reports, which ironically cite CNN footage as “proof”.

b) for pilots with 20 hours on puddle jumpers to hop into a Boeing and fly it. If the planes had simply fallen out of the sky and crashed, that at least would be a believable “hijacking”.

If there were no planes, there clearly were no hijackers. There is no need to speculate about what MIGHT have happened. It's better simply to focus on what clearly DID NOT HAPPEN.

• **Agree:** [profnasty](#), [Iris](#)

• **Replies:** [@profnasty](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

46. [DrWatson](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 10:24 am GMT • 6.7 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Mr. Anon](#)

I don't know why people say that the destruction of WTC 1 and 2 looked like controlled demolitions. They didn't look like that at all.

Are you out of your mind? Bombs were going off inside the buildings before they collapsed.

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/9-11/WTC_Controlled_demolition

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

47. Iris says:

January 19, 2023 at 10:27 am GMT • 6.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Ulf Thorsen

In fact in several iterations of Dawson’s “War By Deception” and possibly “The Empire Unmasked”, he shows numerous instances of witnesses speaking about explosions going off in the buildings.

The discussion on whether controlled demolition was undertaken at the WTC on 9/11 is moot.

Controlled demolition of WTC7 was scientifically proven by the University of Alaska WTC7 civil engineering study, issued in late 2019.

<https://ine.uaf.edu/projects/wtc7/>

Since it is **PROVEN** that WTC7 came down by controlled demolition, then it becomes extremely likely that the Twin Towers did, too.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

48. Old Brown Fool says:

January 19, 2023 at 10:35 am GMT • 6.6 hours ago • 100

Words [↑](#)

@Anon

I show some videos of controlled demolitions; then some more videos of controlled demolition gone wrong; then some more videos of things falling – irregularly, keeling over and not on their footprints; then finally I introduce WTC 7 collapse to them, and show various videos; then I ask them how the collapse of WTC 7 appears to them.

They admit it does look like controlled demolition.

Then I tell them:

A collapsing building that falls on its own, without controlled demolition, has to fall haphazardly, because not all columns fail at once.

Even controlled demolitions often go wrong. Then those buildings collapse to their side – keel over.

Therefore it is impossible for a building that falls on its own, to fall exactly on its own footprints.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

49. Contraviews says:

January 19, 2023 at 10:48 am GMT • 6.3 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Paul Smith

Close scrutiny of the pictures show that the plane's were added later. The Israeli filmed the buildings from a rooftop but the planes were later added. Have a good look. No plane can crash right rhtough a concrete and steel building. If that were the case the pictures would have been very different.

• **Replies:** @Chuck Orloski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

50. DrWatson says:

January 19, 2023 at 11:01 am GMT • 6.1 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@JM

Yes, he could be hasbara. Look at this article about “Lucky Larry” – he designed a replacement for WTC 7 in 2000! The opening photo is also quite telling: Bloomberg’s gloomy facial expression – in sharp contrast with the jovial attitude of Silverstein. He is trying to schmooze with Bloomberg but he has none of it. And who can blame him? With a murderer of 3000 people?

<https://www.mintpressnews.com/911-larry-silverstein-designed-new-wtc-7-one-year-attacks/214821/>

• **Agree:** profnasty

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

51. [animalogic](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 11:20 am GMT • 5.8 hours ago](#) • 100

Words [↑](#)

[@Trinity](#)

Question — weren't the Jewish spies there the moment of the crashes AND the day before. I have a memory of such & of a photo they took of a cigarette lighter with the flame "up against" the WTC (the same way as using perspective to make it look like you are holding the Eiffel Tower on your hand)

• **Replies:** [@Trinity](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

52. [Shamu](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 11:21 am GMT • 5.8 hours ago](#) • 100

Words [↑](#)

[@Princeone](#)

So Pearl Harbor was America being used for Israel's dirty work? Israel did not then exist.

The proper, the precise, way to label the pair of world altering events is: America being tricked and seduced to do more dirty work for the Anglo-Zionist Empire, which first meant the British Empire and only the British Empire. And while the actual capital of the Anglo-Zionist Empire remains The City of London, its actual center of major operations is the USA.

The Mossad and the CIA are both creations of British secret service. All 3 were part of 9/11. Just as all 3 were part of the war to destroy Trump that was waged from the time he won the Republican nomination.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

53. Shamu says:

January 19, 2023 at 11:26 am GMT • 5.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Paul Greenwood

Yep. The American elites kept wanting ton be part of the British Empire, and so eventually they made certain that the US wouldn't serve what it had rebelled against as evil back in 1776.

America was led by its Yankee WASPn elites to return to then service of the Anglo-Zionist Empire.

And yes, in 1776, Jewish financiers, most of them then still not UK citizens, were indispensable to the British Empire.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

54. anon[152] • Disclaimer says:

January 19, 2023 at 11:44 am GMT • 5.4 hours ago ↑

VT has exposed what happened that day, the who, what and how.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

55. Z-man says:

January 19, 2023 at 11:54 am GMT • 5.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Thanks for that Fox clip. I remember seeing it back then...when I was much younger.

As a Port Authority cop, who was probably a bit younger than me, told me back then, 'The Mossad did it'. A wise young man. Ironically, the anchor to that investigative report was Brit Hume who was/is a typical pro Izraeli Republican.

Note: The Port Authority Police Department lost, proportionately, the most police officers of any police department in the history of the United States in a single event... 911.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

56. ACE economist says:

January 19, 2023 at 12:12 pm GMT • 4.9 hours ago ↑

@Liosnagcat

WTC was of Japanese design with supporting beams on the surface sides of the building like a cage. There should be plenty of sky scrapers in Tokyo of similar design.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

57. Chuck Orloski says:

January 19, 2023 at 12:12 pm GMT • 4.9 hours ago ↑

@Contraviews

Hi, Contraviews!

The “Dancing Israelis” were arrested, and had their film confiscated by the Bergen County Police.

Had justice prevailed, the film would provide proof of what really happened, but of course the evidence was never shown to fellow “dumb goyim” Americans.

And by law, Americans have “The Right to Know.”

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

58. Jeffrey A Freeman says:

January 19, 2023 at 12:31 pm GMT • 4.6 hours ago ↑

The next time something like this happens the “terrorists” will already be in the cockpit because they are pilots assigned to their positions by the enemies of America.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

59. TheTrumanShow says:

January 19, 2023 at 12:44 pm GMT • 4.4 hours ago ↑

@MarLuc7

Hard pressed, indeed. But that hasn’t discouraged them in the least from trying again with their dual idiotic weather-based global warming/climate change & runny nose and sniffles deadly virus scams.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

60. profnasty says:

January 19, 2023 at 1:10 pm GMT • 4.0 hours ago ↑

“The planes that crashed into the towers took off from Boston’s Logan Airport.”

Any imbecile can see, the first “plane” video is a cheap fake.

Watch it again. That is foolishly cheap CGI fake.

Bldg 7--FAKE.

1+1=3.

Bldg 2--FAKE!!

Open your eyes man!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

61. Anonymous[943] • Disclaimer says:

January 19, 2023 at 1:14 pm GMT • 3.9 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Zane

Exactly. The flying aluminum cans were part of, literally, a “Made for TV” disaster.

I recall back then reading posts by a fellow on a forum that claimed, repeatedly, that they used the – already in place – nuclear demo charges to do this. He mentioned witnessing the testing of these devices in Iowa. Another poster who addressed him like he knew him tried very hard to stop him from making these claims.

Look, the builders would never get approval to build these buildings without a demo plan. No tnt (nor jet fuel) would be acceptable as a method of dropping this type of building structure. Period.

The perpetrators fooled most everyone, and they fooled the city officials who were forced to use the demo devices.

If you haven’t already read it, then go here and get some understanding as to why the “terrorists”, whoever they were, were not in any airplanes:

<http://www.911thology.com/nexus1.html>

• **Replies:** @skrik

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

62. profnasty says:

January 19, 2023 at 1:24 pm GMT • 3.7 hours ago ↑

@pq

Thanks.

Now let's talk about: Spanish/American war, WWI-II, USS Liberty, Vietnam, Watergate, Iraq, Libya, Syria, Marine Barracks bombing, Russiagate, Covid, fake elections, Ukraine and.....
WWIII.

It's all fun and games until someone loses an I.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

63. Bookish1 says:

January 19, 2023 at 1:50 pm GMT • 3.3 hours ago ↑

Ok I would love to implicate Israel but let's see the proof. 9/11 was murder and let's investigate it as a murder. The answer was Is who the pilates were.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

Subscribe to New Columns

64. NobodySAIDboo boo says:

January 19, 2023 at 1:54 pm GMT • 3.2 hours ago ↑

the Israelis are still doing 911 they never stopped, they will never stop peacefully.

• **Agree:** Ummmpph!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

65. Desert Fox says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:05 pm GMT • 3.1 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Israel and traitors at the highest levels of the ZUS government did the attack on the WTC on 9/11 and blamed the attack on the muslims to give the excuse to destroy the middle east for Israels greater Israel agenda. Congress knows the truth and every thinking American knows the truth, but just as in the case of the Israeli attack on the USS Liberty , it has all been covered up, America is under zionist control and has been since 1913, when the zionists saddled America with their privately owned FED and IRS, and then came the wars and deaths and debt all to benefit the zionist bankers.

To see how the attack was done go to drjudywood.com and wheredidthetowersgo.com.

• **Thanks:** Chuck Orloski

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

66. Anon[349] • Disclaimer says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:12 pm GMT • 2.9 hours ago ↑

Oh Fukk, Give Me a Break!

Jews did 9/11. 100%.

The bastards are trying now to destroy Russia and steal the resources that are there.

Obstruct?

The LEAST of their crimes.

• **Agree:** Ummmpph!

• **Thanks:** Trinity

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

67. Trinity says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:20 pm GMT • 2.8 hours ago • 100

Words 

@animalogic

I believe they were there the day before and more than likely they were there right before the crash. The thing is this, lets say Jews like Larry Silverstein and company, along with the 5 Dancing Shlomos were totally not involved in 911. The fact that people representing “America’s bestest best ally in the whole world” can be seen celebrating America being attacked, and 3 thousand Americans dying horrible deaths speaks volumes. (((They))) were caught red handed just like (((they))) were in the eerily similar ,” Lavon Affair. ” Jews/Israelis already had a rap sheet of this type of behavior in The Lavon Affair and the attack on the USS Liberty. Odds are clear as day that Jews/Israel was involved.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

68. Ummpph! says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:26 pm GMT • 2.7 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

I hate to throw cold water on you. We're enslaved by the Zionist in every way possible whether you believe it or not. Or whether you can see your chains or not. Now, what are you going to do about it? What can you do about? It's best to close ranks with family and friends and prepare for the worst because its coming. Do you honestly think we, the American people, can do anything now to stop the Zionists from completely destroying our world? Nope! We're fukked! Knowing what you know don't mean dhtly! >><https://donthavetolikeyou.com> – I hate to admit it, but the Zionist have been winning and from what I see, they'll continue to whoop our asses and steal your money, and soil our national honor. So sad because there is only a couple of thousand of them that exercise control over us. Why can't we round them up – we know who they are – and begin the process of extracting answers and more names from these

• **Agree:** Bro43rd

• **Replies:** @Bro43rd

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

69. Iris says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:31 pm GMT • 2.6 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Bookish1

| The answer Is who the pilotes were.

There were NO PLANES, and therefore NO PILOTS involved in the WTC attack.

Everything happened as shown on TV, everything was real, except that computer-generated images of “planes” were added onto the footage to convince us of an attack from OUTSIDE.

The Twin Towers came down because they were blown up from INSIDE by the people who controlled them, namely, Larry Silverstein acting on behalf of Mossad.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

70. Happy Tapir says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:33 pm GMT • 2.6 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Mr. Anon

I agree, if one watches the collapses, they clearly collapse FROM WHERE THE PLANES HIT. Now, I suppose it's possible that if they have technology most people don't even know about, like the nanothermite, that they could remotely detonate it that way, but let's simplify assumptions. I too like Ryan Dawson. I think he and Justin raimondo, whose work he sees himself as continuing, basically have it all figured out.

My own whacky conspiracy theory about 9/11 is, I think, more chilling. I think this was all planned way back, even before the towers were built, that perhaps the world trade towers were built to that purpose. They were built to give us a new Pearl Harbor. They were built to collapse when the planes hit. I believe there is a symbology in the mass media which presages certain major world events, a la Michael Hoffman. The Empire State Building was hit by a plane but didn't collapse. Other buildings have burnt for hours and not collapsed.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

71. Doug Ryler says:

@Vermuchalive

It's been a dark age of terror since Apartheid Israhell was born in 1948.

<http://biblicisminstitute.wordpress.com/2014/09/18/israels-dark-age-of-terror/>

But that Fox censorship is nothing new. There are many more things about Jews they don't want us to know.

<http://biblicisminstitute.wordpress.com/2016/01/05/jews-and-history-lies-galore/>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

72. Anonymous[176] • Disclaimer says:

“Make people believe absurdities and they will commit atrocities”. Like, say, masking toddlers?

• **Agree:** Trinity

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

73. Abdul Alhazred says:

January 19, 2023 at 2:47 pm GMT • 2.4 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

What one really needs to know about 9/11 which goes far beyond crime scene minutia, but rather how is the crime actually situated, strategically and within the political economic situation. And thus the competent forecast was from Lyndon LaRouche and in confronting the British who were really behind this, the Israeli's being merely a beachhead of known and encouraged terrorists doing work that they excel at and setting up the right patsies But to understand the operation, one needs to understand that this is a bout the very World War III we are so confronted, for a drills relative to a nuclear first strike war with Russia, were warned that the drill was going to go live if President Bush did fall into the demands of he hijackers which was full spectrum domination of the United States via the Pentagon. Larouche in the late 1990s did an important film called Storm Over Asia, which was a forecast of perpetual warfare in Asia, and that we have thus experienced as being true. Lyn was right and the issue thus was to reach sane leadership, knowing that the fuel for war was because the economic system post Bretton Woods with quadrillions in derivative bubble.

But it was Lyn's understanding that the appointment of John Ashcroft as Attorney General that Lyn predicted would lead to Reichstag fire situation leading to a dictatorship. And this is what happened. one did not need to read the rubble, to know that the crimes scene was sealed the fix was in and upon the same approach as the Warran Commission .

And we must note that the attack on the World Trade Center was an in your face attack that laid bare America's tower of babble materialism, but did represent a productive economy to be ripped away from the american farmers and working class...now devastated by the drug sex counterculture war against freedom

and civic education, into race baited cages of seething hatreds cultivated by mass media indoctrination as we go through the hunger games or do we take the path the higher hypothesis...!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

74. Irina says:

@Iris

Thanks Iris, and I'm going to use you fraise "lobotomies morons"

. It's so precise and self explanatory.

As far as a subject of the discussion, agreed completely.

• **Replies:** @Iris

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

75. Iris says:

January 19, 2023 at 3:01 pm GMT • 2.1 hours ago • 400

Words ↑

@Brad Anbro

Being a somewhat “elderly person,” I doubt very much that I will ever see a REAL INVESTIGATION of the events of September 11, 2001.

You make all excellent points, but **don't lose the hope of a 9/11 reckoning.**

The 9/11 Truth movement has failed inside America, because the censorship, threats, oppression exerted on the Truthers by the Zionist perpetrators is humanly unbearable.

But 9/11 can be REMOTELY inquired and understood WITHOUT subpoena powers or investigation in the field. Its VISIBLE physical manifestations, which are public knowledge, have proven to be a treasure trove of clues and information for scientists, and were sufficient to demonstrate what happened.

Based on open source information, physicist and engineers have already established undeniable scientific proofs that:

– **WTC7 came down by controlled demolition.**

It is the conclusion of the 2019 UAF civil engineering PhD WTC7 study; and if WTC7 underwent controlled demolition, then so did the Twin Towers.

<https://ine.uaf.edu/projects/wtc7/>

– **The energy at play in the demolition of the WTC was of a nuclear nature.**

<https://hal.science/hal-02004696>

The molten-steel temperatures in excess of 1000°C, lasting for extremely long durations (over 3 months) could never have resulted from office fires.

In view of this blatant nature-defying anomalies, a low estimate

of the thermal energy released by the WTC after its collapse was calculated by standard heat transfer equations. It returned the stratospheric value of 1 Peta Joule, a quantity of energy:

– in the order of the monthly production of a 900 MW nuclear reactor

– in the order of only 63% of the energy provided by a 150 kiloTon nuclear weapon (that is 10 times the 15 kiloTon detonated at Hiroshima).

– 24,000 tons of crude oil, equivalent to the volume of 10 Olympic-size swimming pools.

Considering how small the WTC footprint and basement were, **only nuclear energy-carriers could have been used, for only they were compact enough to be concealed.**

So there is no mystery as to what actually happened at the WTC. If free and open discussions were authorised between scientists, the correct and consensual conclusion would be reached within 10 minutes, for it is the only one that matches the facts.

Such discussion is not authorised in NATO-controlled countries, and the rest of the world keeps quiet for fear of US retaliation.

But when the US domination has waned, and it is well on the way, scientists from all those Global South innocent countries martyred in name of 9/11 will publicly discuss how Silverstein and Mossad carried out the nuclear demolition of the WTC with 3000 innocent Americans deliberately trapped inside.

• **Replies:** [@GW](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

76. 9/11 inside job says:

@Desert Fox

Joe Biden says he's a Zionist!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

77. Mis(ter)Anthrope says:

@Anon

Thanks for your comment. I find the opinion of a retired NYC architect to be very compelling. I don't know what happened on 9/11, but the official narrative seems pretty dubious. Sadly, I doubt we will ever know the entire truth.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

78. TKK says:

I just received a job posting asking for lawyers to come to D.C. to help "process claims from 9/11".

You could not make up the horrifying incompetence of the US Government- tax payer funded black adult day care.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

79. HT says:

The easiest solution to the Israel problem is just cut off all aid and military support let them fend for themselves. No more Middle East wars. I still have not figured out why we supported this fake country from its inception.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

80. Nat X says:

The hebes at it agin. Aint dey yt tho???

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

81. Wizard of Oz says:

@Fidelios Automata

It's not even important. Would clever plotters arrange to demolish a building that couldn't be blamed on jihadi fanatics?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

82. Iris says:

January 19, 2023 at 3:36 pm GMT • 1.5 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Irina

Thanks Irina. There is no need to imagine any fancy weapons, or cutting edge technology

What was used to demolish the WTC was **an obsolete technology**, which is so old that everybody has forgotten that it ever existed.

Peaceful underground nuclear detonations were very popular in the 1960's , when the Twin Towers were designed, for their capacity to quickly achieve gigantic civil works.

Project Plowshare, the US programme to harness nuclear detonations for peaceful purposes, lasted as long as 20 years, from 1957 to 1977.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Plowshare#:~:text=Project%20Plowshare%20was%20the%20overall%20United%20States%20program,nuclear%20warheads%20were%20detonated%20in%2027%20separate%20tests.

This programme of underground nuclear detonations covered a large range of civilian applications, from stimulating deep oil wells to digging a second Panama Canal !!

Building Regulations mandate to provide a demolition method alongside any construction project. So, right in the middle of Project Plowshare in the mid-1960's, underground nuclear detonation was proposed as a future demolition method.

This WTC embedded demolition scheme was designed in the 1960's by professional engineers, without malice or bad intentions, and was meant to safely demolish empty building once they had become obsolete.

It was opportunistically and deceitfully used by the people controlling the WTC, Silverstein and Mossad, to carry out the nuclear Holocaust of 3000 American civilians, deliberately trapped inside the Twin Towers.

• **Thanks:** [Chuck Orloski](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

83. [Sam Hildebrand](#) says:

[January 19, 2023 at 3:37 pm GMT • 1.5 hours ago](#) • 100

Words [↑](#)

[@ivan](#)

175,000 lb jet flying 400 mph with 7000 gallons of diesel fuel would cause a hell of a mess hitting a building. Of course the floors above the impact would give way. All the weight of the above floors giving way at once and immediately overwhelming the support structures below causing the building to collapse floor by floor as the weight and momentum increased, seems obvious.

Israel knew 911 was going to happen, the dancing jews knew the day and time. The real questions that need to be answered are:

Did Israeli spys actually aid the Muslims in the planning of 911?

Did Israel try to prevent the attack by informing the Bush administration?

Were the neocons in the Bush administration blindsided by the attack or did they let it happen?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

84. Wizard of Oz says:

@ivan

Agree

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

85. Sir Launcelot Canning says:

@HT

| I still have not figured out why we supported this fake country from its inception.

Not to be snarky, but you must be new to the subject. Well, dive in. You have a lot of material to cover in ZOG 101.

• **Replies:** @HT

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

86. Trinity says:

January 19, 2023 at 3:44 pm GMT • 1.4 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@HT

Because Jews already had enormous power in the West, particularly America and Great Britain as well as the (((Soviet Union))) in 1948. In 2023? JewSA-JewSA-JewSA....

IF America were not CONTROLLED by Zionist and their whores, America would have dumped Israel after The Lavon Affair. Hell, America would not have entered WWI or WWII if not for the Jew. The Lavon Affair happened in the 1954, nearly 70 years of Jews backstabbing America with the Rosenbergs, Lavon Affair, USS Liberty, (((the Swindle Whites Movement))), Immigration Reform Act, 911, Epstein Pedophile Sex Ring, etc., etc., etc. Federal Reserve goes back 110 years. America has been under Jewish rule for over a century? So America has been screwed by hostile Jews for over a century.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

87. Slay says:

@Bookish1

There were no pilots. Either drones or some fake footage and no planes at all. I believe a drone theory, something like operation Northwoods updated.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

88. tanabear says:

January 19, 2023 at 3:46 pm GMT • 1.4 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

Yet, questions remain. Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth maintains that the destruction of the World Trade Center buildings resembled more of a controlled demolition than a collapse due to plane impacts

World Trade Towers 1,2 and 7 were destroyed via demolition. This is a resolved scientific and engineering matter. If anyone still thinks this is an open debate then you simply don't understand the issue well enough.

The founder of AE911Truth, Richard Gage, was on the popular PBD podcast back in September. The video was quickly removed from Youtube. Now Patrick Bet-David(PBD) interviews some interesting people like Whitney Webb, but the only video of his that Youtube removes is his interview with Richard Gage regarding 9/11. If our commissars want to censor something then that is a good indication that the subject matter is both true and important.

Richard Gage: [*They called it, once again, "hate speech"!? PBD is contesting it so I'll leave this YT message up in case the actual video becomes active again. It had almost a half-million views before it was taken down!*]

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

89. GW says:

January 19, 2023 at 4:00 pm GMT • 1.1 hours ago • 200

Words [↑](#)

@Princeone

This theory doesn't really work. There's no evidence that the post-9/11 conflicts did anything to enhance Israel's interests; and if anything it turned public sentiment against hawkish neocon behavior. Furthermore, ever since these post-9/11 wars, neocon (really neoliberal) American foreign policy has been to undermine nationalist-type governments around the world in order to align foreign governments to the globalist American-ran agenda. Thus we've seen color revolutions, proxy wars against Russia (globalism's biggest threat), and a continued consolidation of political decision-making in areas such as Covid lockdowns/forced vaccination schemes. Much of this has little to nothing to do with Israel's interests as a Middle-Eastern power. Further, many wealthy liberal American Jews with power have little love for Israel, as they see it as too right-wing. George Soros is one such example.

Perhaps the best way to conceive of this is that there are various factions and ideologies in Washington which seek to use the might and weaponry of the United States military to advance their interests. Zionists are but one. They've certainly been successful using the Republican Party's love of Israel as a way to receive billions in military aid. There's no denying that. But if American foreign policy was solely being dictated by Israel, it would look far different from what it does.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

90. skrik says:

January 19, 2023 at 4:04 pm GMT • 1.1 hours ago • 300

Words ↑

@Anonymous

Attention all 9/11 nukers!

Here is a link to my extensive debunk of Khalezov/nukes;

| 1589.skrik says:

| September 18, 2018 at 9:51 am GMT • 500 Words

Kindly note that that is the 29th of my 94 comments, out of a total of total 2385 to that article – a mammoth effort by unz-commenters. Thnx to all.

A key point is the Containment of Underground Nuclear Explosions.

Near the end:

| **PS Any/all primary sources pushing ‘new physics’ idiocy, like Khalezov (nukes, ‘dustify’) and Judy Woods (DEW, ditto) are cranks**

My 9/11 debunk-formula = No**4;

1. No nukes
2. No DEW
3. No ‘dustify’
4. No planes (yes, controversial but ‘pushed-propaganda’ planes only needed for the ‘blame Arab/Muslim’ narrative to trigger the GWoT and a ‘proof’ = WTC7, no plane).

It suffices to assert, based on over 20 years of research into much of the ‘findable’ evidence our tyrannical rulers + corrupt&venal MSM allow us, that ‘conventional’ explosives were all that were required to fell WTC1, 2 & 3; some sort of ‘cutting’ charges (possibly nano-thermite or something else) to do the initial, full-floor 45° severance of all internal load-bearing

columns (i.e. WTC1 &2 core columns) plus WTC1 &2 external columns, those all at or near the 'putative' plane-impact floors (thus 'unloading' the down-going columns, and putting the floors above into free-fall), then shaped charges to 'flip' columns towards the horizontal, working both downwards and upwards (the latter explaining how the upper-section 'tilted' block seemed to 'disappear' into the growing pyroclastic debris-cloud), and det-cord threaded into the floor cable-conduits to pulverise the concrete floor-slabs and vaporize the thin steel floor pans and light-weight floor-support trusses. Note that the bulk-collapse of WTC1 &2 was near but not actually at free-fall; one can see items falling past the descending explosive-destruction 'front.' rgds

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

91. Bro43rd says:

January 19, 2023 at 4:09 pm GMT • 59 minutes ago • 100

Words ↑.

@Desert Fox

And it's been a century plus of war. Not your pre-industrial revolution war either, human meat grinders are more like it. Governments collude with industry to drive the economy into a militaristic mode. The people, many living wk2wk or mth2mth, are drug along attempting to live a decent life. Voting is not useful to effect real change. What is to be done about it?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

92. Bro43rd says:

@Ummmpph!

Thanks for the link, bookmarked!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

93. GW says:

January 19, 2023 at 4:19 pm GMT • 49 minutes ago • 200

Words ↑

@Iris

This over-determinism theory always makes me laugh. If Building 7 were truly taken down by explosives as part of a grand conspiracy, why didn't the conspiracists who devised the 9/11 attacks (and had the power to shape the narrative) just have the terrorists set the bombs to take down said building? Why deny the bombing of Building 7, which is said to occur under this scenario, just blame bin Laden as was the plan all along! Assigning a Rube Goldberg-device level of planning to the part of the conspiracists knocks such a theory flat on its face.

The only plausible conspiracy re: 9/11 is that certain Mossad agents financed/organized some actions taken by the terrorists in order to entrap them. A better one is even more cautious. Just as Mossad's knowledge was ahead of the FBI's in the lead-up to 9/11, it was nevertheless behind that those actually pulling off the attack. The hidden scandal isn't that half of Jewry conspired to create and then blame Muslims for a gruesome attack; it's that many different intel agencies from multiple allied governments knew about guys like Mohammad Atta, were actively monitoring them, yet still failed to stop the attacks.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

94. HT says:

January 19, 2023 at 4:28 pm GMT • 40 minutes ago • 100

Words ↑

@Sir Launcelot Canning

Not to be snarky, but you must be new to the subject. Well, dive in. You have a lot of material to cover in ZOG 101.

No, but I have never actually heard a valid reason for supporting Israel since 1948 in such sacrificial fashion when we get nothing in return. I do completely understand Jew power and their control of our institutions which today is 100 times more so than in 1948.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

95. rabbit redux says:

@Bookish1

Funny, you don't sound bookish.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

← Review: Stalin's War Against the Jews...

Leave a Reply -

Remember My Information Why?

Email Replies to my Comment

Submitted comments have been licensed to *The Unz Review* and may be republished elsewhere at the sole discretion of the latter

 Subscribe to This Comment Thread via RSS  Subscribe to All Spencer J. Quinn Comments via RSS

