

Jak nás plánují zavřít, Jared Taylor – The Unz Review

UR unz.com/jtaylor/how-they-plan-to-shut-us-up

January 20, 2023

[← Princ Harry: Vyskočil bílý odpad](#)

[podcastyArchiv Jareda Taylora](#)

Jak nás plánují zavřít

[Jared Taylor](#) • 20. ledna 2023

• 2 300 slov • [42 Comments](#) • [Odpovědět](#)

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)

Kampaň za nezákonné to, co říkám.

[Odkaz na video](#)

Kredit miniaturny: Gerald Praschl , prostřednictvím Wikimedia Commons

Toto video je dostupné na [Rumble](#) , [BitChute](#) a [Odysee](#) .

Tlak je na – těžší než kdy jindy – nejen zakázat lidem, jako jsem já, z Twitteru a YouTube, ale také učinit to, co říkáme, nezákonné. Sheila Jackson-Lee, kongresmanka z Texasu posledních 28 let, celý život bojuje s „rasismem“ – zeptejte se jí – a minulý týden v tom byla znovu.



Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (kreditní obrázek: © Michael Brochstein/ZUMA Press Wire)

9. ledna představila v Kongresu zákon „Předcházet a stíhat zločiny z nenávisti inspirované nadvládou bělochů a spiknutí za účelem spáchání zločinů z nenávisti inspirovaných nadvládou bělochů.“

118TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 61

To prevent and prosecute white supremacy inspired hate crime and conspiracy to commit white supremacy inspired hate crime and to amend title 18, United States Code, to expand the scope of hate crimes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 9, 2023

Ms. JACKSON LEE introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

Levice to ignorovala, ale konzervativci věnují pozornost. Breitbart News říká, že návrh zákona by kriminalizoval „kritiku nebílých lidí“.

REP. SHEILA JACKSON LEE INTRODUCES BILL CRIMINALIZING 'CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT WHITE SUPREMACY,' CRITICISM OF NON-WHITE PEOPLE



by JOSHUA KLEIN | 16 Jan 2013 | 3:49

Jsem si jistý, že by to paní kongresmanka ráda udělala, ale to není tak úplně to, co její návrh zákona dělá.

Vymýšlí novou kategorii zločinů z nenávisti: ty, které jsou inspirovány nadvládou bílé rasy. Co je to bílá nadvláda? Dostáváme toto: „antagonismus založený na „teorii nahrazení“ nebo „nenávistné projevy, které hanobí nebo jsou jinak namířeny proti jakékoli jiné než bílé osobě nebo skupině“.

(B) at least one of whom published material advancing white supremacy, white supremacist ideology, antagonism based on “replacement theory”, or hate speech that vilifies or is otherwise directed against any non-White person or group, and such published material—

Návrh zákona říká „nenávistné řeči“, ale Nejvyšší soud existenci takové věci neuznává, takže nový zločin z nenávisti by byl zločinem inspirovaným řečí – prostou, starou řečí – namířenou proti nebělochům nebo zločinem motivovaným záští proti bílé náhradě. Tohle je dost široké. Když řeknu „Sheila Jackson-Lee je zatracený blázen“, je to řeč namířená proti nebělošské osobě. Pokud řeknu: „Nechci se stát menšinou“, je to odpor vůči teorii náhrady. Pokud mě některý z těchto pocitů motivuje k tomu, abych vysypal odpadky na Sheliin trávník – což je zločin – jsem vinen zločinem z nenávisti, a proto dostávám další tresty. Bláznivé věci.

Pokud se dva nebo více lidí „spiknou“, aby vysypali odpadky na její trávník z některého z těchto důvodů, jsou vinni ze „spiknutí“ za účelem spáchání „zločinu z nenávisti inspirovaného nadvládou bílých“.

Co však konzervativce nejvíce rozzlobilo, je toto: Myslí si, že když jsou dva lidé a jeden mluví o Velké náhradě nebo o tom, jaká je Sheila idiot, a pak druhý vyhazuje odpadky, jsou vinni oba. Zdá se, že si myslí, že mluvčí by mohl být vinen, kdyby vše, co udělal, bylo zveřejnit nápady na internetu, viděl by je cizí člověk a *zcela nezávisle* vysypal odpadky.

Jsem si jistý, že by se to Sheile líbilo, ale účet není tak jednoduchý.



Kreditní obrázek: © Rod Lamkey/CNP přes ZUMA Wire

Mezi mluvčím a sklápěčem musí dojít k *nějakému* „spiknutí“. Mluvčí může být zcela proti vyhazování odpadků, ale je vinen pouze v případě, že došlo ke spiknutí.

Takže, co je to spiknutí? To je ta strašidelná část a my ani nepotřebujeme tento praštěný nový zákon, abychom si s tím dělali starosti. Pamatujete si na shromáždění Unite the Right v Charlottesville ve Virginii v roce 2017. Policii se nepodařilo oddělit rasové disidenty od protidemonstrantů a došlo k pěstním násilnostem.



Kreditní obrázek: © Albin Lohr-Jones/Pacific Press prostřednictvím ZUMA Wire

Nejhorší ze všeho bylo, že muž jménem James Fields najel svým autem do skupiny demonstrantů a zabil ženu. [[3:50 – 3:56]]
Pořadatelé a řečníci na shromáždění byli později žalováni podle virginského zákona proti spiknutí za účelem „spáchat rasové, náboženské nebo etnické obtěžování nebo násilí“. Obžalovaní si nemysleli, že existují nějaké důkazy pro spiknutí, ale jak vysvětlil předsedající soudce Norman Moon, „spiknutí je často tajné a skryté, takže často vede k malému přímému důkazu o takové dohodě“.



required, and circumstantial evidence may be used to establish a conspiracy. *E.g.*, *United States v. Burgos*, 94 F.3d 849, 857 (4th Cir. 1996) (en banc) (explaining that a conspiracy is often “clandestine and covert, thereby frequently resulting in little direct evidence of such an agreement”); *Floyd v. Commonwealth*, 249 S.E.2d 171, 174 (Va. 1978) (explaining that “a conspiracy may be proved by circumstantial evidence,” and that “from the very nature of the offense, it often may be established only by indirect and circumstantial evidence”); Dkt. 1461 at ECF 7, 21–22, 40 (jury instructions). Ample evidence supported the jury’s conclusion that

To, co řekl, myslel vážně. Řekl porotě, že se lidé ani nemusí navzájem znát, aby byli spoluspiklenci, a skutečně: „Porota vrátila verdikt, že Žalobci prokázali . . . že každý obžalovaný byl členem tohoto spiknutí.“

Jury Verdict

The jury returned a verdict finding that Plaintiffs had proven each element of their Virginia state law civil conspiracy claim against all Defendants, including that each Defendant was a member of that conspiracy, and therefore finding all Defendants liable for Virginia state law civil conspiracy (Count III). Dkt. 1478 at 3. The jury did not reach a verdict on Plaintiffs’ claim that Defendants conspired to commit racially motivated violence in violation of 42 U.S.C.

Někteří z obžalovaných jistě plánovali *shromáždění* společně, ale nikdo nikdy neslyšel o Jamesi Fieldsovi. A přesto se provinili tím, že se s ním spikli.



Kreditní obrázek: © Michael Nigro/Pacific Press prostřednictvím ZUMA Wire

Hádám, že to znamená, že pokud mají lidé některé stejné nápady, mohou se telepaticky konspirovat, takže pokud se podíváte na toto video, souhlasíte s ním a vysypete odpadky na Sheilině dvoře, mohli bychom být spoluspiklenci. Kdyby to byl opravdu zákon, tak bych skončil. Nemohl jsem riskovat, že nějaký cvok, který se mnou náhodou na něčem souhlasí, udělá něco nezákonného.

Je to stejné jako pokusy zakázat zbraně tím, že je ve skutečnosti nezakážete: Udělejte odpovědnost výrobců, pokud je zločinec použije k zabití někoho. To by je vyřadilo z provozu.

Jsou i jiné přístupy, jak mě umlčet. Tomuto incidentu se nedostalo takové pozornosti, jakou si zasloužil, ale Kevin McAleenan, který byl úřadujícím ministrem vnitřní bezpečnosti za Donalda Trumpa, hovořil na semináři o „boji proti terorismu a cílenému násilí“.



Kevin McAleenan (kreditní obrázek: © Jerry Glaser/Planet Pix přes ZUMA Wire)

Řekl, že „násilná bílá nadvláda je odpornou urážkou národa“, a pak dodal něco přitaženého za vlasy. Řekl, že Trumpova administrativa proti této urážce bojuje „zapojením se do soukromého sektoru“. . . , jako to udělal Cloudflare bezprostředně po útoku v El Pasu, aby se rozhodl nepodporovat web, který se stal žumpou nenávisti.“

But specifically, and again the action plan is going to lay all of this out: what steps are we going to take? One, is the engagement with the private sector, again, not policing ideology, but the private sector has the ability, as Cloudflare did in the immediate aftermath of the El Paso attack, to make a decision to not support a website that's become a cesspool of hate, for instance.

That's the kind of decision-making we want to see out there in the private sector. The task force that you're working on: how do we prevent and protect violence against faith-based organizations and houses of worship? And that has a direct correlation with getting out in front of a White supremacist extremist attack.

Cloudflare je společnost, která chrání internetové stránky před útoky denial-of-service, což jsou zločiny. Když Cloudflare přestal chránit web 8chan, zmizel. Pan McAleenan si myslí, že nelegální internetové útoky jsou v pořádku, pokud jsou proti stránkám, které nazývá „žumpy nenávisti“. Pan McAleenan pokračoval: „To je druh rozhodování, který chceme vidět v soukromém sektoru.“

But specifically, and again the action plan is going to lay all of this out: what steps are we going to take? One, is the engagement with the private sector, again, not policing ideology, but the private sector has the ability, as Cloudflare did in the immediate aftermath of the El Paso attack, to make a decision to not support a website that's become a cesspool of hate, for instance.

That's the kind of decision-making we want to see out there in the private sector. The task force that you're working on: how do we prevent and protect violence against faith-based organizations and houses of worship? And that has a direct correlation with getting out in front of a White supremacist extremist attack.

První dodatek brání vládě umlčet disidenty, takže pan McAleenan může jen říct soukromému sektoru, aby to udělal. Kdyby si myslel, že můj dům je „žumpa nenávisti“, požádal by policii, aby se podívala jinam, zatímco ho antifa vyplenila? Nevidím žádný rozdíl. Samozřejmě, díky souborům Twitter víme, že vládní zaměstnanci běžně říkali Twitteru, aby cenzuroval lidi.

Posted on January 6, 2023

Is America a 'Free Country?'

Gregory Hood, *American Renaissance*, January 6, 2023



Museli to dělat i s Facebookem a YouTube. Je nezákonné, aby vláda žádala ostatní, aby dělali to, co jí první dodatek zakazuje: cenzurovat lidi. *Wall Street Journal* říká, že byste mohli "podat hromadnou žalobu proti federálním agentům, kteří chtějí soudní příkaz proti snahám o cenzuru sociálních médií."

WSJ | OPINION

Home World U.S. Politics Economy Business Tech Markets Opinion Books & Arts Real Estate Life & Work Style Sports 

OPINION | COMMENTARY [Follow](#)

How to Take the Twitter Files to Court

File a class action against federal agents seeking an injunction against social-media censorship efforts.

By Jed Rubenfeld

Jan. 4, 2023 12:49 pm ET

Ale to samozřejmě předpokládá, že stále máme první dodatek, a lidé, kteří mě chtějí zavřít, na to koukají.

New York Times na to přišel už v roce 2019: „Svoboda slova nás zabíjí.“



Autor vysvětluje, že „brutalita, která klíčí na internetu, může skočit do světa z masa a kostí“.

Having spent the past few years embedding as a reporter with the trolls and bigots and propagandists who are experts at converting fanatical memes into national policy, I no longer have any doubt that the brutality that germinates on the internet can leap into the world of flesh and blood.

Ano, můžete se podívat na toto video a vyhodit odpadky – nebo udělat něco horšího. Autor dodává, že odvolat se na První dodatek je „výpadek“.

Senátor Ben Cardin z Marylandu je daleko před ním. Říká: "Pokud zastáváte nenávist, nejste chráněni Prvním dodatkem."



Web Exclusive December 28, 2022 8:14 AM CLIP

Sen. Cardin says 'if you espouse hate' you're not protected by the First Amendment

Sen. Ben Cardin, D-MD, argued during a Senate hearing that the First Amendment protections do not necessarily apply to people who "espouse hate" online.

Poznamenal, že Evropa má zákony o nenávisných projevech a „Myslím, že se musíme jeden od druhého učit. V prvním dodatku se mýlí – alespoň prozatím.

Senátoři každý den říkají otřesné věci. Je to vážnější, když to dělají soudci. Co třeba toto: „Soudce devátého obvodu naléhá na Nejvyšší soud, aby neposkytoval žádnou ochranu prvního dodatku pro rasistické nenávisné projevy.“

The Volokh Conspiracy

Mostly law professors | Sometimes contrarian | Often libertarian | Always independent

About The Volokh Conspiracy 

FREE SPEECH

Ninth Circuit Judge Urges Supreme Court "Not to Give Any First Amendment Protection for Racist Hate Speech"



Soudce Ronald Gould. Poděkování: Pathways to the Bench Video Series, Public domain, prostřednictvím Wikimedia Commons.

To se samozřejmě v Evropě děje neustále. Posledních osm let mi byl zakázán vstup do Británie, protože to, co říkám, by tam bylo nezákonné.

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Our Ref: T3016419

Mr Samuel Jared TAYLOR

USA

22 March 2016

Dear Mr Taylor

I am writing to inform you about the British government's measures for excluding or deporting extremists under the Unacceptable Behaviour policy. The list of unacceptable behaviours covers any non-UK national whether in the UK or abroad who uses any means or medium including:

- writing, producing, publishing or distributing material,
- public speaking including preaching,
- running a website,
- using a position of responsibility such as a teacher, community or youth leader

A někteří Evropané jsou přesvědčeni, že se od nich poučíme. Zrovna tento týden se na Světovém ekonomickém fóru konal panel na téma „Jasně a současně nebezpečí dezinformací“.



Tou dámou je Věra Jourová, místopředsedkyně Evropské komise pro „hodnoty a transparentnost“. Mluvila o tom, „co se kvalifikuje jako nenávistné projevy, jako *nezákonné* nenávistné projevy, které brzy budete mít také v USA“



V porotě byl také Arthur Sulzberger, vydavatel *New York Times* .



Namítal? Ani kousek. Řekl, že dezinformace jsou „existenční“ hrozbou pro společnost. V panelu byl také demokratický kongresman Seth Moulton a souhlasil s tím, že Evropané jsou v regulaci „mnohem před námi“.



Barack Obama na to přišel. Pro něj je „internet největší hrozbou pro naši demokracii“.

Vox

Obama: The internet is “the single biggest threat to our democracy”

President Obama loved the internet. Now he has second thoughts.

By [Peter Kafka](#) | Nov 16, 2020, 3:30pm EST

f SHARE



Pan Obama vysvětlil Jeffreyemu Goldbergovi z *Atlantiku* , „proč se bojí o ‚naši‘ demokracii.



WHY OBAMA FEARS FOR OUR DEMOCRACY

In an exclusive interview, the former president identifies the greatest threats to the American experiment, explains why he's still hopeful, and opens up about his new book.

By Jeffrey Goldberg

Musí existovat „kombinace vládní regulace a podnikové praxe“, aby se ovládl internet, protože: „Pokud nejsme schopni rozlišit, co je pravda od toho, co je nepravdivé, pak z definice trh myšlenek nefunguje. A z definice naše demokracie nefunguje.“

Obama: If we do not have the capacity to distinguish what's true from what's false, then by definition the marketplace of ideas doesn't work. And by definition our democracy doesn't work. We are entering into an epistemological crisis.

Co tím myslí, je, pokud *nedokážete* rozeznat pravdu od lži. On a jeho kamarádi ve vládě mohou – snadno – a zajistí, abyste neslyšeli nic, o čem by si mysleli, že byste neměli.

Ale pokud federálové řeknou, co je správné a co špatné, ten otravný První dodatek se musí ostříhat a Harvard University Press vysvětluje, proč by měl. Tato kniha *The Harm in Hate Speech* říká, že pokud říkáte věci, které podkopávají „důstojnost“ „zranitelných menšin“, je to stejně špatné jako fyzické napadení a mělo by to být nezákonné. To je běžný pohled. Průzkum organizace First Liberty z roku 2019 zjistil, že 51 procent Američanů si myslí, že první dodatek by měl být přepsán tak, aby zakazoval „nenávistné projevy“.

Scrap and Rewrite the First Amendment?

The CFS survey asked Americans if they thought the First Amendment, written over 200 years ago, goes too far in allowing “hate speech” and if it should be updated to reflect today’s cultural norms.

Shockingly, more than half of Americans (51%) responded that the First Amendment is outdated and should be rewritten.



Support for Jail Time, Tickets and Fines for “Hate Speech”

Padesát čtyři procent těch, kteří si mysleli, že „nenávistné projevy“ by měly být nezákonné, uvedlo, že by měl být možný pobyt ve vězení. Zbytek řekl, že by stačila pokuta. Nakonec to bude na tomto losu.



Nemám nejmenší pochyby o tom, že Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagen a Ketanji Brown Jackson by mě rády vyřadily z obchodu, a u některých ostatních si nejsem jistý. Ale s pouhými dvěma dalšími „progresivisty“ na lavičce by mohl být První dodatek dostatečně elastický, aby vyhovoval šílenému návrhu zákona Sheily Jackson Lee. Jsou to zvláštní časy. Jsou to nebezpečné časy. A částečně je to proto, že Elon Musk koupil Twitter a věří ve svobodu slova.

[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)



Kreditní obrázek: © Adrien Fillon/ZUMA Press Wire

To nemůžeme mít, že? Takže jsou ještě zoufalejší než obvykle utahovat šrouby lidem, jako jsem já.

Kdysi jsem si myslel, že i kdyby mě Twitter a Facebook a YouTube a Instagram a TikTok zakázaly – i kdyby AmRen přišel o své webové stránky – alespoň bych nešel do vězení. Teď si nejsem tak jistý.

Říkali tomuto místu země svobodných a domov statečných. Začíná to znít jako špatný vtip.

(Opětovně publikováno z American Renaissance se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

← Princ Harry: Vyskočil bílý odpad

Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců

• Kategorie: Ideologie • Štítky: Antirasismus ,
Cenzura , Svoboda projevu , Soudní systém ,
Rasismus , Bílí Američané



RSS



Print

← Princ Harry: Vyskočil bílý odpad

Skrýt 42 komentářů Zanechat komentář

Komentující ignorovat ... sledovat **Endorsed Only**

Oříznout komentáře?

1. folkvangrříká:

21. ledna 2023 v 4:08 GMT • před 3,3 dny • 200 slov ↑

To, co řeknu, může znít skandálně a rozčilovat několik lidí, ale přesto to řeknu.

„Liberté, égalité, fraternité“ je podvod a podvod a historie to mnohokrát dokázala. Francie, Rusko, Čína, usw. usw. Všude se prohlašovalo, že věci šly od zlého k horšímu a vždy to skončilo genocidou a bratrovraždou. V Americe všechno směřuje stejným směrem.

O jaké svobodě to mluvíš, když nemůžeš říkat ani neškodné věci na nějakém twatteru plném botů a trollů?

O jaké rovnosti to mluvíte, když vám miliardáři létají každý týden do Paříže nebo žijí jako bohové, když miliony lidí žijí v přívěsech, krytech nebo pod mosty?

O jakém bratrství to mluvíme, když už ani není bezpečné nakupovat v sobotu ráno ve Walmartu, aniž by na vás zaútočil nějaký nemocný Somálec?

Rozmanitost je podvod, ale zdá se, že většině bílých už je to jedno. Jsou příliš posedlí „tolerance“ a „milí“ k těm, kteří chtějí, aby byli včera mrtví. Buď zemi máte, nebo ne. Rozhodni se, bělochu.

• **Souhlasím:** WSG, Non PC Infidel, Realist, Ulf Thorsen, ruralguy

• **Odpovědi:** @JR Foley

Odpovědět Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

2. 21. ledna 2023 v 6:18 GMT • před 3,2 dny ↑

I bez takového zákona to, že Taylor nepromluvil na JQ, znamená, že už je v autocenzuře.

Neschopnost identifikovat hlavní zdroj protibělošské agendy byla prokletí bělochů a Taylor je v tomto ohledu neméně vinen.

Odpovědět Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

3. Exileříká:

21. ledna 2023 v 6:59 GMT • před 3,2 dny ↑

Jared v tomto díle nepoužívá slovo „bílá“. Odvolává se na to, většinou v zastoupení prostřednictvím šmouh v příslušném návrhu zákona, ale nikde neobhájí bílé zájmy. Vidím výzvy k sympatii ke „konzervativcům“, ale už ne k bílým lidem.

Je našťvaný, že „mě“ zavírá jako konzervativce, ale už ne jako bílého muže.

co se změnilo?

Odpovědět Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd. This Commenter

4. Franzříká:

21. ledna 2023 v 8:12 GMT • před 3,1 dny ↑

| Rozmanitost je podvod, ale zdá se, že většině bílých už je to jedno.

Že jo.

Měli někdy? Bílí lidé se v boji opravdu nepostaví na svou stranu.

Odpovědět Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd. This Commenter

5. Jim Richardříká:

21. ledna 2023 v 9:59 GMT • před 3,1 dny ↑

Brzy někde bude někdo stát u soudu kvůli obvinění, že se na někoho díval nenávistným způsobem.

Odpovědět Souhlasím/Nesouhlasím/atd. This Commenter

6. anonymous[126] • Zřeknutí se odpovědnosti říká:

21. ledna 2023 v 10:04 GMT • před 3,1 dny • 100 slov ↗

Jsem rád, že výše zmíněná zmínka o americkém politickém vězni Jamesi Fieldsovi Jr., duševně zranitelném mladém muži, který byl vystaven přímému životu nebezpečnému útoku v těch úzkých ulicích Charlottesville, výše uvedená fotografie ukazuje jednoho z leváků, jak nabourává auto... porovnej Jamese Fieldse „úsudek“ k bělošské pohrdavé Lizzie Grubmanové:

Panicked and fleeing an ANTIFA mob attacking him with baseball bats, his car pushed into a crowd, an obese woman fell over and died; and he deeply apologised

James Fields Jr



SENTENCED TO
**LIFE IN PRISON
PLUS 419 YEARS**

Yelled "**FUCK YOU, WHITE TRASH**" as she rammed her Mercedes SUV into a crowd, injuring 16 people...




SERVED **38 DAYS** IN JAIL
Lizzie Grubman

And regarding the Supreme Court, here is Chief Justice John Roberts' name on the Jeffrey Epstein Lolita Express flight log:

Date	Aircraft Make and Model	Aircraft Identification Mark	Points of Departure & Arrival		Miles Flown	Flight No.	Remarks, Procedures, Maneuvers, Endorsements	Number of Landings	Aircraft Category...		
			From	To					AIRPLANE	GLIDER	HELICOPTER
2010 24	G-1159B	N909JE	TEB	TIST	2132		LV 1/1	3	5		
27	B-727-31H	N908JE	PBI	PBI	442		EV 3/3	1	7		
29	G-1159B	N909JE	TIST	EWR	2131		LV		3	6	
29	"	"	EWR	CPS	2132	EMPTY	LV		2	2	
NOV 30	"	"	CPS	TEB	2133	EMPTY	LV 1/1		2	0	
DEC 7	"	"	TEB	ABQ	2134		LV		4	0	
13	"	"	ABQ	TEB	2135		LV ✓		3	7	
19	"	"	TEB	TIST	2136		LV		3	8	
30	"	"	TIST	TEB	2137		LV 1/1		4	0	
2011 JAN 17	"	"	TEB	TIST	2138		LV		3	7	
18	"	"	TIST	PBI	2139		LV 1/1		2	9	
FEB 2	G-1159A	SEMINOLE	DFW	DFW		HOLDING			2	4	
2	"	"	"	"					2	0	
3	"	"	"	"		HOLDING	3/3		2	0	
3	"	"	"	"					2	0	
6	G-1159B	N909JE	TEB	TIST	2141		LV ✓		3	5	
10	G-1159B	N530GA	PBI	TEB		JOHN ROBERTS			2	4	
13	G-1159B	N909JE	TEB	BEJ	2143		LV 1/1		9		

Pilot's Signature: *David Redge*

Page Total	15/10	52	3	
Amount Forward	6931	679		
Total to Date	6944	1026	33	1738
	6935	1029	33	1738



• **Thanks:** Patrick in SC, Ulf Thorsen, Beavertales, Almost Missouri

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

7. Realist says:

The biggest problem for the United States is it is full of goddamn nutless idiots.

As long as Whites allow the bugging, it will continue.

• **Agree:** Ulf Thorsen

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

8. Realist says:

January 21, 2023 at 3:09 pm GMT • 2.8 days ago • 100 Words ↑
@Priss Factor

Even without such a law, the failure of Taylor to speak on the JQ means he's already into self-censorship.

The failure to identify the core source of anti-white agenda has been the bane of whites, and Taylor is no less guilty in this regard.

That sounds great...what's the solution?

• **Replies:** @Priss Factor

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

9. anarchyst says:

January 21, 2023 at 5:25 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Wait till “**holocaustianity™**” is declared the new “*state religion*” from which no dissent or questioning will be allowed.

Many other western countries have already deified

“**holocaustianity™**” and made any criticism or questioning of its founding principles and dogma a crime.

Ask **Ursula Haverbeck, Monika Schaefer, Sylvia Stolz, Ernst Zundel** and others about their being criminalized on the altar of “**holocaustianity™**”. They have all done “hard time” in maximum-security prisons for questioning “*that which may not be questioned*”.

Don't think it can happen here?

Ask **Fred Leuchter**, America's foremost expert on execution machinery who lost all of his federal and state contracts for proving that the Auschwitz “*gas chambers*” are fake and could not have been used to execute anyone. **Leuchter** was effectively put out of business by jewish interests, He was also prosecuted in Massachusetts for “*practicing engineering without a license*”, a law that hand never been used against anyone previously.

The **First Amendment to the Constitution of the united States** may prevent a direct assault on true “*free speech*” but there are workarounds that have been perfected by the jews to get around that pesky **First Amendment**.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

10. Justvisiting says:

January 21, 2023 at 5:38 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑

| what's the solution?

There is no “solution”.

Once you recognize that then you learn the “hack” of history—
stop worrying about the rules of the game and change the game.

Discovering how to do that is the job of a new generation—if they
can't figure it out, then the generation after them....

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

11. Mac says:

January 21, 2023 at 5:42 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 300 Words ↑
Think we should focus as if light beam, take real outside view on how we focus, separate from 'state', ask ourselves what is this threatening us or attempting to dictate, what am I and others doing for future, who has the water, or drones, is how framing should be in thinking.

The cons schemed long time to bait assuming phony 'normal', which no such thing. As an example zeroed in on article, see how wrong it is other's 'deciding' incursion on us,

' Fifty-four percent of those who thought "hate speech" should be illegal said there should be possible jail time. The rest said a fine would be sufficient. '

' Eventually, it will be up to this lot.'

– then a phot of supreme cons under it.

Argument, it is not up to them or any other, they're smiley cons in costumes. Kelo v. New London and a hundred others.. Should not assume strangers decide what we say nor not. Have to make self-determination. Supposed paper law has been a con, scrawled con-stitution con, then turned around with 'sedition bs to threaten, and which obviously made supposed 'first amendment' zero, and because we don't shove back, now pushing no speech, 'b cuz 'hate'. point is see whats in front of us, as it is, cons in robes, and be own dictators, while able. Supposed courts prey on people. Have to talk among ourselves, share local, sort people etc, and shut. down. tv. Side mention, good to use paper and pen to make notes, increases sense of sorting.

Btw comment isn't knock on article or part, rather just show thinking focus, and how we have to practice, because no one taught us to see past con appearances, to scheme core, instead of assume less. . Appreciate the article Jared.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

12. Old Brown Fool says:

January 22, 2023 at 12:07 am GMT • 2.5 days ago • 100

Words ↑

I find it ridiculous that somehow one man should be obeyed because he spent his life in hairsplitting reasoning about the laws. Collectively the society should be obeyed, and those who differ with the decisions of the society should be allowed to leave if they wish. One man to decide the fate of another man's life with a bullet is illegal, but if it is a pen, it is legal and obeyed. People should have enough skepticism about the judiciary and the judicial process. American founders assumed every generation will have the same intelligence and wisdom; and now we know it is not correct.

• **Agree:** JR Foley

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

13. Michael Fury says: • Website

January 22, 2023 at 3:40 am GMT • 2.3 days ago • 300 Words ↑

Their sons were agile in the digital sea

Of their interconnected medium,

And they used the last days of its freedom

As pirates waging information war

Against his agents in the anticulture.

By monopoly he had maneuvered

Greedily to own it, and as it proved

A true threat he installed his acolytes

And gave them power to snuff the rights

Of Aryan citizens to freely speak.

When a single mercenary freak

Could with his finger consign to oblivion

Free conversation that had been haven

For the dissident sane, or identify

The heretics for attack or to deny

Their livelihoods, they dared to persevere,

Finding in themselves something severe

They had thought lost. Even as they improvised

To safeguard memory, they realized

Any worthy future called them to be hard

In ancient ways, in body and regard

For the iron disciplines of spirit
That over ages they did inherit.
Not as individuals, selfish and vain
As he wanted them, but to regain
As men together this potential
Simply they began by standing tall,
By setting jaws and leveling eyes
To recognize their own or the Enemy's
Hate in the gaze of his most abject,
As brother one, the other as object
Of adamant contempt, not fear.
To meet the incomprehensible stare
Of violent accusation, they needed
The confidence of undefeated
Men, and this they found in finding
They were living testaments, binding
Once and future greatness of the nation
Whose blood drove them, as by divine action,
To become who they were, and are:
Sons of the sun, fathers of another star.
Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

14. Robert Dolan says:

January 22, 2023 at 4:13 am GMT • 2.3 days ago ↑

Thanks jews.

• **LOL:** Realist

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

15. HammerJack says:

January 22, 2023 at 7:00 am GMT • 2.2 days ago ↑

If anyone would like to read the entire WSJ editorial referenced by Mr Taylor, it's available here: <https://archive.ph/2246X>

Excerpt follows:

[MORE]

• **Thanks:** Almost Missouri

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

16. Che Guava says:

January 22, 2023 at 12:00 pm GMT • 2.0 days ago • 100

Words ↑

The quote is interesting. Just about everywhere has a 'free speech' clause in the constitution. The U.N., too, in the 'Universal Declaration'. Everywhere, though, it's fake in one way or another. It's one thing still to admire about the U.S.A., even if it was long used mainly by pornographers.

One would hope that a class-action based suit really goes ahead. I don't Twit, but read about the bullshit. It would be a great development.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

17. [Verymuchalive](#) says:

January 22, 2023 at 12:55 pm GMT • 1.9 days ago • 100

Words ↑

Taylor:

How I plan to shut you up.

Don't mention the Jews, the State of Israel, Zionists, Neocons, Zionist control of the American Government.....

Otherwise I will censor and ban your comments in AR and other websites I control. I won't reply to your comments on websites I don't control. (as here)

The inescapable conclusion is this. Any AR- controlled US Government would ban and censor any comments critical of Jews in any media under its control. The question must be this: would they send these commenters to gaol for so doing ?

Not that Taylor would ever answer these questions.

• **Replies:** [@Vergissmeinnicht](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

18. [Vergissmeinnicht](#) says:

January 22, 2023 at 10:00 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago ↑

[@Verymuchalive](#)

Just because Jared Taylor's AmRen **cancel** some speech, doesn't follow that *if* Jared Taylor was President he'd 'tweak' the **current** Supreme Court's interpretation of Freedom of Speech.

• **Replies:** [@Exile](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

19. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 23, 2023 at 1:44 am GMT • 1.4 days ago ↑

@Realist

That sounds great...what's the solution?

Start with the obvious. Don't just complain about BLM, globo-homo, or Antifa but spread the word that those are funded and exploited by Jewish Master Racism.

The current order is about Jewish Master Racism and White Servant Racism.

Jewish Master Racist view is “We superior Jews deserve to rule over inferior goyim’, and White Servant Racism amounts to, “Yes, Master Jews, you are the greatest. Please allow us white brown-nosers to be your favorite servants, oh pretty please.”

Jared Taylor's reluctance to touch on the JQ shows that he's less a white advocate than a white servant racist. No matter how much Jewish Power disabuses whites, Taylor only bitches about blacks and etc. while muting himself on JQ, presumably in the hope that the Jewish Master Race will finally see the light and favor white servant race as its best and most loyal dog.

• **Replies:** @Realist

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

20. Exile says:

January 23, 2023 at 3:55 pm GMT • 19.4 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Vergissmeinnicht

Jared's revealed preference is to ban criticism of Jews on a site he already controls.

It's entirely legitimate and advisable to suspect his bona fides re: free speech on the JQ. Can anyone here even imagine Presidential candidate JT saying the word "Jew" on the campaign trail – much less defending the free speech rights of anti-Semites?

Get real.

• **Thanks:** Verymuchalive

• **Replies:** @Vergissmeinnicht

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

21. Vergissmeinnicht says:

January 23, 2023 at 8:31 pm GMT • 14.8 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Exile

I do think it's **legitimate** to interrogate Mr Taylor *if* he supports the Free Speech of anti-Semites.

The evidence, the snippets of it that I've seen, indicates he **does!** My memory isn't eidetic, but, for instance, I do recall when Le Pen was sentenced due to "Holocaust Denial"... Jared wasn't happy!

.
. .

This 'logic' ("he censors on *his* property, therefore he wants the Gov't to censor as well") reminds me of those **silly** Political Ideology tests... *i.e.*, "Sex *before* marriage is immoral", they ask, **as if** agreeing with *that* implies such a person wants to make fornication illegal!

• **Replies:** @Vergissmeinnicht

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. Vergissmeinnicht says:

January 23, 2023 at 9:07 pm GMT • 14.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Vergissmeinnicht

If I was, say, the CEO of Twitter, I'd **ban** some speech that, in America, is legal. Otherwise, it becomes 4chan, too toxic, people would leave in droves. Not a sustainable business.

At the same time, I want the websites that hold a monopoly on the “marketplace of ideas” (*e.g.*, Twitter, Facebook *etc.*) to adhere to the 1st Amendment (!), *i.e.*, can't ban “sincerely-held beliefs”. But “Die! You ugly motherfucker!” insults *should* still be **bannable**.

•
•
•

I think it's **stupid** how copiously AmRen **bans** speech. Of course, it's clever to desire a clean place **without** insults, spam, trolling *etc.* which'd attract ‘normies’ – agreed! But **high-brow** critiques of Jews (*à la* Kevin MacDonald) *should* be, if not welcomed, at least **tolerated**.

• **Replies:** @Vermuchalive

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

23. Realist says:

January 23, 2023 at 9:41 pm GMT • 13.6 hours ago ↑

@Priss Factor

Start with the obvious. Don't just complain about BLM, globo-homo, or Antifa but spread the word that those are funded and exploited by Jewish Master Racism.

That's step one. What is step two...and what are the subsequent steps? To what end?

• **Replies:** @Priss Factor

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

24. Verymuchalive says:

January 23, 2023 at 10:36 pm GMT • 12.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Vergissmeinnicht

You

I do think it's legitimate to interrogate Mr Taylor if he supports the Free Speech of anti-Semites.

Except, of course, Taylor won't let you interrogate him on these matters.

You

I think it's stupid how copiously AmRen bans speech.

So it's not just *some speech*, it's copious censorship.

You

Of course, it's clever to desire a clean place without insults, spam, trolling etc. which'd attract 'normies' – agreed! But high-brow critiques of Jews (à la Kevin MacDonald) should be, if not welcomed, at least tolerated.

But Taylor doesn't permit any criticism of the Jews whatsoever, not even from highly regarded evolutionary psychologists.

As **Exile** wrote: Get Real.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

25. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 23, 2023 at 11:07 pm GMT • 12.2 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

@Realist

Step one naturally leads to step two, which leads to step three.

The thing is... it makes no sense to fret about step 2 when most people won't even take step 1.

It's like if you want to learn to swim, first get in the water and get wet.

As they say, don't try to do everything at once. Push the first domino and see what happens.

• **Agree:** Chuck Orloski, Ulf Thorsen

• **Replies:** @Almost Missouri

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

26. Ghali says:

January 24, 2023 at 5:25 am GMT • 5.9 hours ago ↑

Jared Taylor seems to be obsessed to death by the **Black on Whites** narrative. However, he has NO courage and dares NOT say anything about Jews who controlled everything American, including American rights to free-speech, what Jews called "antisemitism" and criticism of Neo-Fascist Israel.

• **Replies:** @Priss Factor

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

27. Priss Factor says: • Website

January 24, 2023 at 5:25 am GMT • 5.9 hours ago ↑

Typical useless Taylor garbage where he goes on and on about a puppet of the Jews but doesn't mention Jewish Power.

Even after Jews deplatform and debank him, he still chooses not to discuss the JQ.

As useless as Pat Condell who rants about Moooooslims but never about the Jewish Power that pushed 'diversity' on UK.

Anglos are useless.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

28. Chuck Orloski says:

January 24, 2023 at 5:27 am GMT • 5.9 hours ago ↑

Wisely, and to the surreal Realist, Priss Factor wrote: "As they say, don't try to do everything at once. Push the first domino and see what happens."

Thanks so much,PF!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

29. loner feral cat says:

January 24, 2023 at 5:36 am GMT • 5.7 hours ago ↑

Hypocrisy (noun): the practice of claiming to have moral standards or beliefs to which one's own behavior does not conform; pretense.



source: <https://grrrgraphics.com/>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

30. JR Foley says:

@folkvangr

California 7- 11 shootings but NRA says “Guns don’t kill people!”
—add this to the list of what is screwed up in America —NO
Jesus did not carry a Luger or AK47.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide
Thread

31. [January 24, 2023 at 5:46 am GMT](#) • [5.6 hours ago](#) • 100

Words [↑](#)

Poetic justice?

Blue City Chicago scum elected a black lesbian as mayor and called for BLM-led defunding of the police, and guess what? Black thugs are running wild and murdering what seems like ‘woke’ white idiots.

Don’t waste your sympathy on white ‘woke’ traitors.

Video Player [↓](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

32. [@Ghali](#)

If a Jew dons a black boxing glove and punches Taylor in the face, he will blame the boxing glove but not the Jew.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

33. [Dube](#) says:

[@Priss Factor](#)

Here’s the solution. Jared writes and speaks as himself, discussing a certain point in his interesting manner. You footnote immediately, skewing his point to the side in your tedious manner.

That way, all of us here who aren’t so smart get full instruction.

Problem solved, no changes needed.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

34. spacewanderer says:

jared taylor, the epitome of anglo mediocrity. 2,300 words of rambling, nothing interesting or insightful whatsoever. Even without considering the fact that he never says anything about the jews at all, this anglo is already too boring and useless in its own.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

35. USA1943 says:

January 24, 2023 at 6:46 am GMT • 4.6 hours ago • 100 Words ↑

The Supreme Court would vote 9=0 AGAINST Sheila Lee Jackson's Bill it CLEARLY goes against the Constitution and the 1st Amendment and it is NOT Close, A Justice does NOT do what they personally want, they have Guidelines they have to follow and this is a Non Brainer, It clearly has a Bias Double /Standard based on Race, which is NOT allowed, They are supposed to go by what The Founding Fathers wanted and The Founding fathers were not part of the Anti-White Crowd, but it would NEVER get to the Supreme Court, It would not get past any court, they would look at it laugh, say this can't be real and throw it in the garbage. The Supreme Court also does not want to start a Civil War that would Dwarf the First USA Civil War. NOT GOING TO HAPPEN

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

36. Almost Missouri says:

January 24, 2023 at 6:47 am GMT • 4.5 hours ago • 200

Words ↑

@Priss Factor

Isn't this what everyone is complaining about Jared Taylor not doing: not taking Step 2 when most people won't even take Step 1?

Taylor is openly, publicly, under his own name, trying to keep free speech free. Commenters here complain he won't address the JQ. Okay, but if you don't have free speech, you can't address the JQ (nor can you address much of anything else).

Taylor is doing Step 1: keeping free speech free. He or someone else may do Step 2: address the JQ. Kevin MacDonald, Jung-Freud/Priss Factor, etc. already do Step 2. Maybe Taylor will too someday or maybe not. But it seems illogical (as well as impolite) to complain about the guy holding the door open for you at Step 1 when his door-holding is allowing anyone to go to Step 2.

Everyone should be glad, even grateful, for Taylor's efforts. Instead he just gets attacked for not doing everyone else's dirty work for them.

It should be no enemies on the right. Instead it's crabs in a bucket.

Incidentally, I note that though Mr. Taylor presumably has veto power over comments here, he follows Unz's light moderation policy and permits all of the comments critical of him as well as all of the JQers. I would think a little gratitude would be in order.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

37. CelestiaQuesta says:

They even got the queen of country to submit to their Wakanda new world white enslavement.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/XP5oHL3zBDg>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

38. Doug Ryler says:

@Priss Factor

CENSORSHIP is nothing new.

There are many things no one can talk about... still. And with these things began the censorship in the West.

<http://biblicisminstitute.wordpress.com/2016/01/05/jews-and-history-lies-galore/>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

39. Anon[935] • Disclaimer says:

Time to vote with your feet. Our forefathers left their homeland for better pastures, we can too. Better a few years too early, than 1 day too late.

Your descendants will bless you for your choice.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

40. Johnny LeBlanc says:

Mr. Taylor, they want to do more than shut us up. They want to kill us.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

41. Dr. Doom says:

January 24, 2023 at 9:24 am GMT • 1.9 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

That african retard is just a fool and a tool.

The Bagel Boyz write these stupid things.

Time to choose White Men.

You outnumber the meat puppets.

30 to 1. 6 million cancerous cowards.

You don't negotiate with cancer.

War is here. Started in 1933.

You are the "nazis" now.

Embrace the idea. Eliminate the Synagogue.

Dese nuts are not gawds.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

42. GMC says:

January 24, 2023 at 9:37 am GMT • 1.7 hours ago • 100

Words ↑

Great article Mr. Taylor – in the article, the EU was mentioned to be further into protecting the ” minorities , than the Americans , sooo the Americans should learn from them – lol

Well, if you look further East , the Russians have learned very quickly when it comes to those kinds of minorities. They’ve learned so much , that I have seen only 3 of those Minorities in the past 5 – 7 years. I must also add that these Russian blacks were working and could speak the Russian language- properly. America’s TV is a great Brainwashing tool , but it also can open your eyes to all the BS that your country does not Need.....

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

← Prince Harry: Jumped-Up White Trash

Leave a Reply -

Remember My InformationWhy?

Email Replies to my Comment

 Přihlaste se k odběru tohoto vlákna komentářů přes RSS 

Přihlaste se k odběru všech komentářů Jareda Taylora prostřednictvím RSS