

# Pohlaví, "identifikující jako" a identita, Richard Knight

UR [unz.com/article/gender-identifying-as-and-identity](https://unz.com/article/gender-identifying-as-and-identity)

Richard Knight

June 1, 2023

Archiv Richarda Knighta

Sdílejte s Gabem



Podle Helen Joyce je její bestseller *Trans* o genderové sebeidentifikaci: myšlenka, že „lidé by se měli považovat za muže nebo ženy podle toho, jak se cítí a co deklarují, místo své biologie“. Ale neříká, co je pohlaví.[1] Když lidé mají tyto pocity nebo činí tato prohlášení, co cítí a prohlašují? A co to znamená identifikovat se jako něco? Poukazuje na to, že národní zákony, firemní zásady, školní osnovy, lékařské protokoly, akademický výzkum a průvodce mediálním stylem jsou všechny „přepisovány tak, aby upřednostňovaly samozřejmou genderovou identitu před biologickým pohlavím“, ale neříká, co je genderová identita. , nebo, pojďme k tomu, co je identita.[2]

Podívejme se na tyto otázky, abychom zjistili, zda můžeme získat trochu jasnosti. V předchozím článku jsem tvrdil, že základní termín transgender ideologie, totiž „gender“, je pouze matoucím eufemismem pro sex. Pokud to neznámá sex samotný, ale je to vzato jako odkaz na shluky rysů, které mají tendenci jít s jedním nebo druhým pohlavím, jsou to jednoduše shluky rysů, které mají tendenci jít s jedním nebo druhým pohlavím. Považovat je za oddělené věci zvané pohlaví, které „máme“ způsobem, jakým máme sex, je mylné.

Lepší způsob, jak se na to podívat, je z hlediska archetypů Carla Junga animus a anima, z nichž každý, jak jsem pochopil, si myslí, že je přítomen v různé míře u obou pohlaví. Navíc mohou koexistovat v různé míře u dvou příslušníků stejného pohlaví. Takže si myslím, že by řekl, že v ženě jako Margaret Thatcherová, která byla vůdčí a pevná, bylo animus silnější než u většiny žen, zatímco anima byla slabší, zatímco někdo jako Theresa Mayová, následná britská premiérka, byl více ženský. To, co by neřekl, je něco tak banálního, jako že paní Thatcherová měla „pohlaví“ muže.

V mém případě jsem se jako chlapec nikdy příliš nezajímal o fotbal nebo vojenskou historii; Preferoval jsem umění a vaření. Bez ustání jsem kreslil na tabuli, kterou můj otec namontoval nad nepoužívaný krb, a upekl jsem svůj první bochník chleba asi v devíti letech. Nikdo mi nenaznačil, že mám „pohlaví“ dívky, ani neviděl své umění nebo vaření jako problém. Náhodou mě také bavilo podnikat dobrodružství s podomácku vyrobeným oštěpem a vracet se s pohledem, jako bych byl tažen živým plotem dozadu. Myšlenka, že lidé mají pohlaví, pokud tím není myšleno jejich pohlaví, je hloupým zjednodušením reality, kterou, i když je pestrá, může každý pochopit, ani skutečnost, že jednomu chlapci se líbí jedna věc, zatímco jinému se líbí jiná, nevyvolává žádnou otázku ohledně jejich pohlaví.

Když se ptáme, co to znamená říkat, že se někdo identifikuje jako něco, narazíme na rozdíl mezi americkou a britskou angličtinou, protože v britské angličtině, správně řečeno, nelze říci, že se někdo identifikuje jako něco, ale musí říci, že se jako něco *identifikuje*. Jinými slovy, v britské angličtině je sloveso „identify“ tranzitivní. Protože je trochu snazší vysvětlit odpověď na naši otázku v britské angličtině, použiji to pro začátek a později přejdu na americkou angličtinu.

To, co znamená říci, že se někdo identifikuje jako něco, je to, že se touto věcí nazývá nebo se tak popisuje. Muž, který se blíží k místu nehody, se tak může identifikovat jako lékař. Ale není to jen sebe, koho lze identifikovat jako něco; může to být cokoliv. Někdo by mohl tu ženu identifikovat jako Francouzku, ptáka patřícího k určitému

druhu nebo drahý kámen jako smaragd. Můžeme tedy říci, že v sexuální kontextu se muž, který se identifikuje jako žena, nazývá jím. Nyní je jasné, že identifikace nemusí být nutně správné. Muž, který se identifikuje jako lékař, jím být nemusí; ta žena nemusí být Francouzka a tak dále. Muž, který se identifikuje jako žena, se špatně identifikuje.

Zatímco to, čím se člověk identifikuje, jak se nazývá, jeho identita je tím, čím je. Je to vlastnost, kterou člověk má. Každý má mnoho atributů, a proto má každý mnoho identit, tři v případě herečky se dvěma dětmi jsou to například herečka, žena a matka. Ale v dnešním politickém použití má slovo „identita“ užší význam. K dispozici je pouze několik politických identit, které jsou definovány odkazem na politicky význačné rysy osoby, jako je její rasa nebo pohlaví. Člověk tedy může mít politickou identitu být černý nebo bílý nebo být mužem nebo ženou.

Jakákoli politická identita kontrastuje s jednou nebo více jinými politickými identitami a v určitých párech jsou lidé s jednou politickou identitou konvenčně popisováni jako utlačovaní lidmi s tou druhou. Černoši jsou tedy konvenčně popisováni jako utlačovaní bílými a ženy jsou konvenčně popisovány jako utlačované muži. To pochází přímo z kulturního marxismu a nemá to nic společného s tím, zda je někdo skutečně utlačován. Je to jen teorie. Teorie je však aplikována tak, že „utlačované“ skupiny jsou upřednostňovány před svými „utlačovateli“, což jim dává pocit, že mají nárok na sociální statky, jako je lítost, moc a preferenční zacházení. Boj upřednostňovaných „identifikačních skupin“ o získání takového zboží na úkor jejich opačných skupin je známý jako politika identity.

Když teď přejdeme na americkou angličtinu, ten typ lidí, kteří říkají, že se identifikují jako něco, mají také tendenci mluvit o svých „identitách“ a obecně je spojují. Tedy muž, který říká, že se identifikuje jako žena, řekne, že být ženou je jeho identita. Je to „kdo je“. Ale tím, že to říká, přidává jednu chybu ke druhé. Nejen, že se nazývá ženou, když jí není; trvá na tom, že jím skutečně je. Je to,

jako by někdo, kdo si říká Napoleon, trval na tom, že je ve skutečnosti Napoleon. Obě chyby musí být odstraněny, než uvidíme, že je to opravdu jen Fred Bloggs.

Po genderu je nejdůležitějším konceptem transgender ideologie koncept genderové identity, který definuje jako hluboký, vnitřní smysl člověka pro jeho pohlaví, což znamená jeho hluboký vnitřní smysl pro jeho pohlaví. „Genderová identita“ transgender osoby však není její identitou, ale jejím rozporem. Muž, který si říká žena, má stále identitu muže, protože je stále mužem. Pojem „genderová identita“ tedy neodkazuje ani na pohlaví, ani na identitu; odkazuje na představu osoby o tom, jakého pohlaví je. Když tedy slyšíme, že někdo „zpochybňuje svou genderovou identitu“, je to pouze někdo, kdo si klade otázku, zda je muž nebo žena.

Jak zdůrazňuje Helen Joyce, transgender ideologie tvrdí, že všichni máme „genderovou identitu“, která se ve většině případů shoduje s naším pohlavím, ale v případě transgenderů tomu tak není. Ale je pravda, že všichni máme hluboký vnitřní smysl pro svůj sex? Mám podezření, že většina lidí nic takového nemá, než že mají hluboký, vnitřní smysl pro to, kolik paží mají. Pro většinu lidí je jejich sex tak samozřejmou a známou součástí, že o něm nikdy nepřemýšlejí, a ještě méně ho vidí jako věc, na kterou by mohli mít názory. Pokud je to správné, jediní lidé, kteří mají „genderovou identitu“, jsou transgenderové, jejichž hluboký vnitřní smysl pro jejich pohlaví je klame.

„Genderová identita“ těchto lidí může být hluboká a vnitřní, ale pokud se identifikují jako příslušníci opačného pohlaví, je to něco vnějšího, protože nazývat se něčím je akt, který vyžaduje publikum. Pokud zajdou tak daleko, že se budou prezentovat jako příslušníci opačného pohlaví, v tom, co transgenderová ideologie nazývá jejich genderovým vyjádřením, je to rozhodně veřejný akt.

Lidé, kteří se prezentují jako příslušníci opačného pohlaví, se dostávají do problémů, pokud očekávají, že je ostatní identifikují tak, jak se oni identifikují. Stejně jako Fred Bloggs, který se prezentuje jako Napoleon, bude s větší pravděpodobností považován za

pomýleného Freda Bloggse než jako Napoleona, tak muže, který se prezentuje jako žena, bude pravděpodobněji považován za muže, který se prezentuje jako žena, než jako za muže. Žena, ani s ním není mnohem pravděpodobnější, že s ním bude zacházeno jako se ženou, než s Fredem Bloggsem, že s ním bude zacházeno jako s císařem. Ale zatímco Fred Bloggs ví, že se musí smířit s tím, že ostatní lidé nepodporují jeho představu o sobě samém, je to víc, než mohou transgenderové udělat. Příkladnějším je to víc, než mohou udělat, když budou následovat příkladu svých aktivistů, kteří nemohou tolerovat, aby někdo nepodporoval jejich sebepopisy. Když se před lety transgender aktivisté sami sebe ptali, co by se s těmito nespolupracujícími lidmi dalo dělat, dostal nápad. Proč je *nepřinutit*, aby podpořili jejich sebepopisy? Začali tedy získat vliv na tvůrce politik a přimět je, aby zavedli pravidla zakazující odkazy na cokoli, o čem nechtěli, aby se zmiňovalo. To by znamenalo, že když by lidé viděli muže, který se prezentoval jako žena, museli by mu říkat žena, nebo alespoň „trans žena“. Všimněte si, že ho nemohli nazývat „trans mužem“. Jako termín pro transgender muže by tento termín byl příliš popisný. Záměrem bylo zatajit skutečnost, že se jedná o muže, nikoli ji prozradit. „Trans-muži“ byly tedy ženy, konkrétně ty, které se prezentovaly jako muži.

Lidé by také museli označovat transgender muže jako „ona“. Pokud by chtěli konkrétně odkazovat na pohlaví takových lidí, jako když mluvili o jejich účasti na ženských sportech, nemohli by je nazývat muži, ale museli by přijít s výroky, jako jsou „lidé zrození mužským biologickým tělům“ Pierse Morgana. Proč nevyžadovat od lidí, aby ze sebe dělali hlupáky a ztráceli čas všech používáním dvanácti slabik místo jedné?

Když k tomu dojde, proč nepřimět tvůrce politik, aby zabránili lidem používat slova „máma“ a „táta“ a také „manžel“ a „manželka“, jak řekl Qantas svému palubnímu personálu, aby v roce 2018 přestal?

[4] Proč nezakázat termín „nastávající matka“, jak to předloni učinila Britská lékařská asociace, která požadovala, aby byly takové ženy nazývány těhotnými?[5] Proč nezakázat termín „kojení“ jako

výhodnou nabídku? Proč z jazyka nevymazat všechny odkazy na sex, sexuální role a rodinné vztahy na základě toho, že by mohly „učinit skupiny lidí neviditelnými“ (Qantas) nebo „urazit transgender lidi“ (BMA)? Bez dovolených odkazů na základní přírodní fakta by lidé mohli nakonec zapomenout, že to byla základní přírodní fakta. Politici si mysleli, že je to tak skvělý nápad, že nechápali, proč je to nenapadlo je samotné, a tak došlo v roce 2015 k tomu, že v roce 2015 mohli být dva texaští pečovatelé propuštěni za to, že odmítli zavolat šestku. -letá dívka „John“ poté, co si její „dva mužští rodiče“ stěžovali.[6]Skutečnost, že ženy, jak vysvětlila jedna z nich, měly „starosti o zmást holčičky“, nebyla ani tady, ani tam. V roce 2016 byl jeden Brit odsouzen za zločin z nenávisti za to, že pozdravil muže, kterého znal od vidění, slovy „V pořádku, hajzle? poté, co se posledně jmenovaný, veterán z Afghánistánu, ukázal jako žena. [7]Během odvolacího jednání v následujícím roce stěžovatel údajně vzlykal, když soudu řekl, že ho pozdrav „velmi rozčiloval“. To „popřelo jeho lidskost“.[8]

V roce 2018 přišel americký učitel o práci, když na svou třídu sedmiletých dětí zavola: „Nedovolte, aby vstoupila do zdi!“zapomněl, že dotyčná dívka si nyní říkala chlapec. Žena zastupující školní obvod ilustrovala mentální kalibr těch, kteří pravidla uplatňovali, když prohlásila: „To bylo ve skutečnosti diskriminační, protože všichni ostatní studenti používali zájmena a tento student nepoužíval zájmena“.

Všichni jsme ty příběhy slyšeli. V roce 2018 byl učitel v Indianě nucen rezignovat poté, co odmítl přijmout politiku své školy oslovovat transgender děti jejich křestními jmény, která si sami zvolili.[10]Nejprve ho škola nechala používat jejich příjmení, pak si to bez vysvětlení rozmyslela. Na schůzce, kde mu bylo sděleno nové rozhodnutí, shledal vedení školy „velmi vyhrožující a šikanující“. Zdá se, že postava transgenderových aktivistů má způsob, jak se přenést na jejich zástupce. V roce 2021 byl Kanadčan poslán do vězení za to, že svou čtrnáctiletou dceru nazval svou dcerou a označoval ji jako „ona“.[11]Soudem pohrdal, už mu bylo řečeno, aby s tím přestal.

Zrovna onehdy byl v Británii učiteli zakázáno vykonávat povolání za to, že žák „zkreslil nesprávné pohlaví“ slovy „Výborně, děvčata!“ Jeho třída obsahovala dívku, která se identifikovala jako chlapec. Údajně také ukázal třídě video, které odkazovalo na muže, kteří přebírají odpovědnost. Popřel, že by to udělal, ale obvinění stačilo. [12]

Na důkaz toho, že je nyní nepřijatelné odkazovat na pohlaví samotná a nejen na kvality s nimi obecně spojené, bylo ve stejný den oznámeno, že americká studentka dostala nula bodů za úkol, ve kterém použila termín „biologická ženy“. Její profesor popsal její práci jako „pevnou“, ale výraz „vylučující“ považoval za tak urážlivý, že její úkol nemohl být uznán jako přínosný.

A tak vidíme logické pokračování zákazů slov jako „předseda“ a „hasič“, které byly zavedeny na příkaz feministek před desítkami let. Následovaly takové věci, jako zákaz Cardiff Metropolitan University z roku 2017 pro výrazy jako „udělaný člověkem“, „pravá ruka“ a „gentlemanská dohoda“. [14] Vyloučena byla také slova „předci“ a „sportovní chování“. „Lidská síla“ se neměla používat, alternativami byly „personál“ nebo „lidské zdroje“, což znamená, že studenti píšící o bitvě u Agincourtu by museli říct, že Angličané vyhráli, když Francouzům došel personál nebo lidské zdroje. Podobná pravidla zavedlo mnoho dalších univerzit. [15]

To vše platí pro teorii Newspeaku George Orwella, že pokud nám chybí slovo, bude nám chybět koncept a bez konceptu se budeme chovat, jako by věc neexistovala. Koncept, který by měl být vypuštěn, je mužský, stejně jako ve všech příkladech v předchozím odstavci, ale jak jsme viděli, naši lingvisté to mají také v konceptu žen. Co je skutečně pod útokem, je jakékoli povědomí o skutečnosti, že existují dvě pohlaví. Stejně jako v Newspeaku je naše slovní zásoba neustále redukována, aby se „zmenšil rozsah myšlení“, a právě na tento nejzákladnější fakt života nesmíme myslet, nebo v ideálním případě umět přemýšlet.

To nás poněkud vzdálí od otázek, se kterými jsme začali, co jsou pohlaví, co jsou identity a co znamená identifikovat se jako něco, ale zopakujeme si odpovědi: gender jako vlastnost lidské bytosti neexistuje, pokud tím není myslen jen jejich pohlaví; identita člověka je něco o něm; a když se někdo jako něco identifikuje, nazývá se tou věcí, což by docela dobře nemusel být.

Z toho jsme se dostali do neutěšené situace dneška prostřednictvím megalomanského nutkání transgenderových aktivistů, které neznáme proč tvůrci politik odevzdali, ovládat řeč ostatních, aby jejich bludy byly podporovány a nikdy zpochybňovány. Ukázalo se však, že jejich agenda sahala daleko za hranice toho, aby je někdo rozčiloval, a zahrnoval přetvoření jazyka tak, aby se vyloučil jakýkoli odkaz na pohlaví nebo jejich role ve společnosti nebo reprodukci. K naplnění se tak blíží to, co Shulamith Firestone v roce 1970 specifikovala jako konečný cíl feministické revoluce, totiž odstranění samotného rozdílu pohlaví.

## **Poznámky**

[1] Helen Joyce, 2022 (2021), *Trans: When Ideology Meets Reality*, London: Oneworld, str. 1.

[2] Tamtéž, s. 2.

[3] Tento článek používá termíny „transgender osoba“ a „transgender“ (jako podstatné jméno) k označení někoho, kdo se nazývá příslušníkem opačného pohlaví. Aby to bylo jednoduché, nebere v úvahu lidi s jinou „genderovou identitou“, jako jsou ti, kteří se nazývají příslušníky obou pohlaví nebo ani jednoho.

[4] Nový deník, 5. března 2018, „Qantas zakazuje zaměstnancům používat slova „nevhodná pro pohlaví“, <https://thenewdaily.com.au/life/travel/2018/03/05/qantas-ban-gender-nevhodná-slova/> .

[5] Telegraph, 29. ledna 2017, „Neříkejte těhotným ženám ‚nastávající matky‘, protože by to mohlo urazit transgender lidi, říká BMA“, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/01/29/nevolej-těhotným-ženám-nastávajícím-matkám-může-urazit-transgender/> .



[6] WND, 6. listopadu 2015, „Zaměstnanci denní péče propuštěni za odmítnutí transgenderových požadavků“, <http://www.wnd.com/2015/11/daycare-workers-fired-for-refusing-transgender-demands/> .

[7] MailOnline, 4. února 2017, „Podnikatel postaven před soud za zločiny z nenávisli poté, co řekl ‚v pořádku, panečku‘ transgender válečnému veteránovi“, <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4190064/ Podnikatel-vytáhl-soud-nenávist-zločiny.html> .

[8] Odsouzení bylo zrušeno.

[9] Kevin's Corner, 15. prosince 2018, „Učitel vyhodil 4 pomoci nesprávného zájmena“, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xQbjNPjizY0&t=0s> .

[10] Konzervativní Daily Post, neznámé datum v roce 2018, „Učitel byl nucen odstoupit po transgenderových požadavcích nařízených školou“, <https://conservativedailypost.com/teacher-forced-to-resign-after-transgender-demands-ordered-by-škola/> (stránka už tam není).

[11] Breitbart, 18. března 2021, „Kanadský muž uvězněn poté, co ‚zmýlil‘ svou dceru, <https://www.breitbart.com/tech/2021/03/18/canadian-man-jailed-after-misgendering-his-dcera/> .

[12] Christian Concern, 23. května 2023, „Učitel se zlomeným srdcem zakázán vykonávat povolání pro žáka s nesprávným pohlavím“, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tm3dKtjN6SQ> .

Učitelem byl Joshua Sutcliffe, který řekl: „Výborně holky! v roce 2017. Klub studia bible, který založil, byl již uzavřen. Agentura Teaching Regulation Agency trest podpořila (Christian Concern, 26. května 2023, „Učitel zakázán za 'misgendering' | Kulatý stůl“, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q-53JYC1Pgg&t=130s> ). Viz také Telegraph, 23. května 2023, „Rozhovor s Joshuou Sutcliffem: Bylo mi řečeno ‚říkej jí ho‘. Nemohl jsem se s tím smířit“, [https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2023/05/23/teacher-sacked-misgendering-pupil/?utm\\_source=email](https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2023/05/23/teacher-sacked-misgendering-pupil/?utm_source=email) .

[13] Anthony Brian Logan, 23. května 2023, „Studentka dostane nulu na projektu přes termín biologické ženy!“, [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9I57tp\\_9JWQ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9I57tp_9JWQ) .

[14] Independent, 3. března 2017, „Univerzita zakazuje fráze jako ‚lidstvo‘ a ‚gentlemanská dohoda‘ ve prospěch genderově neutrálních výrazů“,

<https://www.independent.co.uk/student/news/university-cardiff-metropolitan-bans-frames-mankind-gentleman-s-agreement-genderneutral-terms-free-speech-a7609521.html> .

[15] Další příklady viz BirminghamLive, 7. července 2022, „Univerzita zakazuje výrazy ‚lidstvo‘ a ‚pracovní síla‘ kvůli obavám z urážky“, <https://www.birminghammail.co.uk/news/midlands-news/university-zakazy-podminky-lidstvo-lidska-sila-24421851> .

[16] George Orwell, 1989 (1949), *Nineteen Eighty-Four* , London: Penguin, s. 313 (z Přílohy: „Principy Newspeaku).

[17] *The Dialektika sexu* od Shulamith Firestone : The Case for Feminist Revolution cituje Ryan T. Anderson, 2019 (2018), *When Harry Became Sally* , New York: Encounter Books, str. 151.

(Opětovně publikováno z [The Occidental Observer](#) se svolením autora nebo zástupce)

[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

• Kategorie: [Kultura/Společnost](#) , [Ideologie](#) •  
Štítky: [Americká média](#) , [Politická korektnost](#) ,  
[Transgenderismus](#)

Skrýt 91 komentářů [Zanechat komentář](#)

Komentující ignorovat ... sledovat [Endorsed Only](#)

**Trim Comments?**



1. Dr. Robert Morgan says:

June 5, 2023 at 6:30 am GMT • 12.2 days ago • 600 Words ↑

Richard Knight: “Thus what Shulamith Firestone specified in 1970 as the end goal of feminist revolution, namely the elimination of the sex distinction itself, approaches fulfilment.”

Thus?! By saying “thus” Knight dishonestly misrepresents Firestone’s entire argument, since in the referenced quote she isn’t talking about achieving revolution through language reform at all. She’s talking about how technological “progress” will assist women to gain equality with men and only “thus” will they ever be able to eliminate sex distinctions. She describes an incremental process that has been going on for decades, and is continuing at an accelerating pace. In fact, her words are eerily prescient considering she wrote them more than half a century ago.

I’ll reproduce the quote here, my additions bolded in brackets:

[J]ust as to assure elimination of economic classes requires the revolt of the underclass (the proletariat) and . . . their seizure of the means of production, so to assure the elimination of sexual classes requires the revolt of the underclass (women) and the seizure of control of reproduction **[The widespread availability of scientific birth control and abortion technologies has already given women this.]**: not only the full restoration to women of ownership of their own bodies, but also their (temporary) seizure of control of human fertility **[And only “temporary” because, presumably, she foresees a day when artificial wombs will make the real thing obsolete.]**—the new population biology as well as all the social institutions of childbearing and childrearing. **[Nothing new here; women have always dominated these institutions]** And just as the end goal of socialist revolution was not only the elimination of the economic class privilege but of the economic class distinction itself, so the end goal of feminist revolution must be, unlike that of the first feminist movement, not just the elimination of male privilege but of the sex distinction itself: genital differences between human beings would no longer matter culturally **[Birth control tech now allows women to have sex with the same abandon that formerly was a privilege only of men, so this part of the feminist revolution is already accomplished.]**. (A reversion to an unobstructed pansexuality—Freud’s “polymorphous perversity”—would probably supersede hetero/homo/bisexuality.) **[Plausible as a commentary on today’s culture, especially transgenderism. It seems things are headed in this direction, although the whole society’s not quite on board with it yet.]** The reproduction of the species by one sex for the benefit of both would be replaced by (at least the option of) artificial reproduction: children would be born to both sexes equally, or independently of either, however one chooses to look at it **[This, too, is already happening, again only thanks to technological “progress”.]**; the dependence of the child on the mother (and vice versa) would give way to a greatly shortened dependence on a small group of others in general **[Presumably here she refers to children being raised by the state in public schools, which is essentially already the case in the USA.]** and any remaining inferiority to adults in physical strength would be compensated for culturally **[Could be she means technologically, since the role of technology in equalizing differences in physical strength between individuals, regardless of sex, age, or race is easy to demonstrate.]**. The division of labor would be ended by the elimination of labor altogether (through cybernetics) **[Robots will do all the work in Firestone’s transhumanist vision of the future; a vision shared by many today.]**. The tyranny of the biological family would be broken. **[Yes, that’s exactly how it will happen/is happening. All hail the feminist revolution! Chalk up another victory for technological “progress”!]**

2. [June 7, 2023 at 1:06 am GMT • 10.4 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

[@Dr. Robert Morgan](#)

A somewhat tangential point, but if a “tournament” system is more natural to humans, yet agricultural-era females are the ones wearing exquisite clothing, doesn’t it mean that patriarchy is unnatural? From this view, wouldn’t the uglification of modern females be in line with a return from arranged marriage monogamy to female choosers? In more prosaic terms, a tatted hole is still a hole. (Although our pal Autisticus Spasticus may disagree, with his point about his posited ugliness of pre-industrial females; I lack the critical information here, however.)

But regarding your technology-first view, the easiest counter-argument would lie in the absence of nuclear clouds on the horizon – since 1945. The presence of a technology does not predispose its use. The Aryan race might have invented innumerable devices, yet funnelled all its strength into helping the other continents instead of otherwise. In this vein, female birth control is an effect, not a cause.

The fears of technological singularity seem premature. The transvestite phase of Christendom is merely forcefully invoking a much farther future – akin to the way the 1920s USSR is haunting the dreams of modern Ziophobes.

Reply [Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

3. Dr. Robert Morgan says:

June 7, 2023 at 4:25 am GMT • 10.3 days ago • 300 Words ↑

Adûnâi: “The fears of technological singularity seem premature.”

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-12161741/Worlds-baby-born-transplanted-uterus-removed-implanted-using-robot-surgery.html>

This first successful birth of a child via a transplanted uterus portends exactly what Firestone predicted in 1970 — the elimination of the sex distinction itself! For how long do you think it will be before this technique is used on a transgender “man”, to enable him to give birth? Not very long, I would think.

Technically, it’s not going to be much of a challenge. And that’s the end of the sex distinction, the culmination of the feminist revolution, delivered via technology. No more male and female! Only “souls”; or, only “life units” to borrow a phrase from dystopian science fiction writer Fred Saberhagen.

Adûnâi: “The presence of a technology does not predispose its use.”

Yes and no. While it is true that (for example) the mere existence of an atomic bomb doesn’t imply it will be used, as a practical matter the existence of ANY technique guarantees it will be used provided that using it is the best way to achieve a desired result. This is because not to use the most efficient technique is only choosing to fail. There’s a good expression of this truth to be found in the foreword to Ellul’s *The Technological Society*:

As the political form best suited to the massive and unprincipled use of technique, dictatorship gains in power. And this in turn narrows the range of choice for the democracies: either they too use some version of effective technique—centralized control and propaganda—or they will fall behind.

4. June 7, 2023 at 8:27 pm GMT • 9.6 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Dr. Robert Morgan

My counter-argument would run like this. The transhumanist future would indeed destroy the very notion of a human being, with fetishists being able to grow fur and bang scalies. Men giving birth would too be a possible experience. The crucial difference with the modern time, however, is that that degeneracy would stem from the “satedness of life” due to a zero-scarcity civilisation conditions – something that is specifically lacking in the modern world.

Sure, I myself am constantly drawing attention to how advanced our stage of development is compared to the chronic Holodomor conditions coupled with smallpox in that illustrious 18th century, but this is one time where I will talk about modern suffering, especially economic. And from that follows my idea that modern transvestites are more akin to a stuffed bird than to an aircraft. This is not even degeneracy in its *décadent* meaning of the world. This is Christian ethics on hormones.

Granted, I may be underestimating as to how central “sexual identity” is to the normies. Maybe huge swarms of men have always fantasised about giving birth, and I’m too autistic to internalise the sentiment? Either way, I will double down and proclaim that they are only interested in it due to a century of Christian propaganda of female rights and male self-humiliation. One is not seeing the same public vigour with anthropomorphic zoophiles (“furies”), even though they are as passionate in private – simply because theirs is a more natural trajectory of fetishists left to their own devices (and fursuits). Whereas the transvestites have the immediate instinctive support of Christendom’s masses and establishment. Because the transvestites are in the Bible (Galatians 3:28), whereas the furies are not.

• **Agree:** AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



5. JWalters says:

June 10, 2023 at 5:35 am GMT • 7.2 days ago • 500 Words ↑

We observe the features of objects (including living objects), and categorize objects by their features. Dogs have dog features and cars have car features. We use words to label the features of objects (leg, wheel), and to label the categories (dog, car).

It appears that a person can have a body of one gender (male, female) and a mind of the other gender. A *complete* description of such a person would include *both* their body gender and their mind gender.

Analogously, a bike might have both wheels and a motor. Not all bikes have a motor, but for those that do a typical linguistic solution is to combine the general category term “bike” with the optional feature term “motor”, and get “motorbike”. A particular type of bike.

One parallel linguistic solution in the case of a person with a male body and female mind would be to consider the person a particular type of male (based on body type), and describe them as a “female minded male”. Or simply a “female male” for short. Or perhaps a “chick dude”.

Alternatively, we could consider the person a particular type of female (based on mind type), and describe them as a “male bodied female”. Or simply a “male female” for short. Or perhaps a “dude chick”.

This approach retains the information for both body type and mind type, *both of which exist*.

A person with the same gender on both the body and mind features would be categorized and labeled as “male male” or “female female”. Normal linguistic evolution would shorten these to simply “male” and “female”. This matches the longstanding use for these terms.

Retaining all the gender information would clarify discussions about sporting events, which are principally body competitions. Therefore the body gender would be the relevant gender. In a poetry competition the mental gender could be the more relevant gender.

This approach would still respect a person's right to have a mental gender that is different from their body gender.

In terms of labeling, which gender (body or mind) would be used as the primary gender category, and which as the modifying (adjective) gender? Because of society's longstanding use of body gender for categorizing and labeling gender, the simplest choice for society as a whole would be to use the body gender as the primary gender, and the mind gender as the modifier. So a person with a female body gender and a male mind gender and would be categorized and labeled as "male female".

Natural linguistic evolution tends to shorten labels, so we might expect that to happen in this case. Other creative variations using different terms, but maintaining this principle, would also likely arise, such as "dude chick".

- **LOL:** [Gvaltar](#)
- **Replies:** [@Sparkon](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

6. Anonymous[302] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[June 10, 2023 at 6:12 am GMT](#) • [7.2 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

I have a doctor's appointment coming up. They emailed me a form to fill out prior to the appointment. Some of the questions: Am I food insecure? My preferred pronouns? Et al.

I think I'm gonna list my preferred pronouns as: 'Thee, Thy, Thou, Thine, Ye' and wear my Medieval knight costume I bought for last year's Renaissance faire.

• **Thanks:** [JR Foley](#)

• **LOL:** [RadicalCenter](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

7. anon[152] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

Oy Vey!!!

• **Agree:** [Zane](#)

• **Replies:** [@Sir Launcelot Canning](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

8. [Norm6](#) says:

Most USians identify as brain damaged hustlers and hucksters who lust after war mongering imperialism.

• **Disagree:** [Gvaltar](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

9. Ayax says:

June 10, 2023 at 8:12 am GMT • 7.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Calling someone something other than his/her Biological gender is not only absurd and ridiculous, but is also of little importance, because at the end of the day, you are your Biology.

Having said that, I have an idea that may clear up some of the confusion relating to mis-gendering.

Let the Trans-Woman marry Trans-Men, and let them call each other whatever they want to be called as per their feeling that day.

I am sure that in the heat of the moment these Trans-x couple can act naturally and commit an act of procreation leading to having babies.

• **Agree:** Zane, Justrambling

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

10. Anonymous[363] • Disclaimer says:

June 10, 2023 at 9:36 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 100 Words ↑

If one's preferred self identity is now universally taken to supersede any objective and collective statement on the matter, I believe we have spontaneously reduced our society's crime rate, plus its total membership in any group with an unfavorable reputation to basically nil. No one will willingly identify as a law breaker, reprobate, liar, cheater, thief, lunatic, raving maniac... Well, the list of now extinct ne'er-do-wells becomes endless. Being "woke" is like having a license to create one's own total reality! Very empowering! Former King Charles is not going to like hearing that I'm replacing him on the throne forthwith!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

11. Dumbo says:

June 10, 2023 at 9:55 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 200 Words ↑

There is no such thing as “gender”. Much less “transgenders”.

They used to be called “transexual” before, and even before, “transvestites”, which is still the best description — this is about men who dress up as women as an act of performance. Play acting. That’s all this is. Even if they cut off their dick and make a fake vagina that is really a wound that never heals, and facial feminization surgeries, and whatever — they are still the same sex.

There is no way that one can change his sex, which is not just about sexual organs (although this is the more evident manifestation), but his whole body and mind.

There is obviously not such thing as “being born in the wrong body”, an absurdity if there ever was one.

This whole thing is being pushed by transhumanist maniacs whose apparent motives are to create some sort of “superior humans” (or at least they sell it as such) transcending all biological barriers, but which in reality tries to create defective humans who will be permanent slaves.

• **Agree:** usNthem, Gvaltar

• **Replies:** @Anonymous, @anon

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

12. Liborio Guaso says:

June 10, 2023 at 9:57 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 100 Words 

That is among the interested nonsense. When political and economic freedom became a farce, the supposed sexual freedom to love each other, the freedom to arm oneself to kill oneself and drug offers to live in a paradise, became the most important thing.

All this while increasing social inequality. A hundred years ago all of this would be just ridiculous.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

13. James Forrestal says:

June 10, 2023 at 11:10 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 100 Words 

@Dr. Robert Morgan

So your point is essentially that feminism — like transsexualism — is entirely based on denial of reality; on promoting the primacy of narrative over reality. Sounds about right. After all, that's a very prevalent theme in semitic propaganda — they call it "tikkuning the goyim."

And of course, shortly after *The Dialectic of Sex* (the book your quote was taken from) was published, Feuerstein completely lost her already-tenuous grasp on reality and descended into full-blown paranoid schizophrenia, eventually dying in obscurity — her body was found about a month after her death after neighbors complained about the smell.

• **Replies:** @One Nobody

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

14. AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein says:

June 10, 2023 at 11:14 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 100 Words 

It's refreshing to read someone who obviously thinks in a straightforward and logical manner and writes in the same manner. People on the left mistake someone who uses seemingly technical jargon that they personally cannot parse as someone with a high IQ. The opposite is true in the real world. A genuinely intelligent person is highlighted by their ability to effectively organize and utilize the tools they have at hand, rather than creating some novel and fantastical tool to hammer a nail with when they have 5 different kinds of hammer in their tool belt.

Here, Knight recognizes the nature of the problem and using pure dialectic reasoning says that "A is not B or C, therefore A is A" making reference to common knowledge that is possessed by anyone whose 5 senses and mind are working correctly.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

15. James Forrestal says:

June 10, 2023 at 11:46 am GMT • 7.0 days ago • 200 Words ↑

According to Helen Joyce, her bestseller *Trans* is about gender self-identification: the idea that “people should count as men or women according to how they feel and what they declare, instead of their biology”. But she doesn’t say what gender is.

In a previous article I argued that the basic term of transgender ideology, namely “gender”, is only an obfuscating euphemism for sex.

Exactly. The “gender” conceit exists merely to add a few more layers of nonsensical pilpul (what the neo-Bolsheviks would term “mystification”) to obscure and distract from the strange underlying metaphysical premise of so-called “transgenderism” — that some men are born with a “female soul,” and vice versa. And that it’s somehow possible to transform oneself into the opposite sex via an act of will (plus a sprinkling of fairy dust).

But this?

We got from this to the unhappy situation of today by way of the megalomaniac urge of transgender activists, given in to we know not why by policy makers.

Yeah and wet streets cause rain. The question at issue here is not “How did ‘transgender activists’ *force* oligarchs to implement their agenda?” but “Why did oligarchs *choose* to promote transsexualism by raising the status of its proponents in the overall narrative?”

The prominent, protected status of “transgender activists” in the current year is not a natural feature of human societies, but a product of oligarchic narrative control.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperreality>

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter



16. June 10, 2023 at 12:05 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words [↑](#)

There has always been a tiny fraction of people born with genuinely mixed up sexual orientations and leanings who've up to today have wrestled with it privately. Then there are those on whom the social engineers latch onto and exploit for their own ends. Then the majority of the minority who exploit their own sexual orientation and confusion simply for the attention they get in a world where everyone is just a number. We no longer have a society let alone a civilization. Western "civilization" is now just a homogeneous mass of mindless consumer drones preying on one another to gain the slightest advantage or benefit. No real mystery that we have this mess to deal with.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

17. WorkingClass says:

Let us look at these questions to see if we can gain some clarity.

Nah. Lets not.

• **Agree:** RadicalCenter

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

18. Observer says:

June 10, 2023 at 12:36 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words 

Feminism is about equal treatment of women before the law. Marxism is about workers owning the places where they earn their living, as they did before the Industrial Revolution. The nonsense that has accreted around these principles is obfuscation pure and simple, designed and funded by the ruling elite to thwart all popular attempts to rein in its power and privilege, and to keep us divided and confused. Transgenderism is so inherently absurd it is if the powers that be are deliberately mocking us, rubbing our noses in it, that it is so pathetically easy to fool so many into eagerly gobbling up whatever serving of bullshit they offer. Their next project, now well into production, replacing our failed democracy with a Christian neofascist tyranny, is going to be a real knee-slapper. So many are yearning for it already!

19. Guate says:

June 10, 2023 at 12:51 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words 

Maybe I am just too naive but I cannot help but consider gender dysphoria to be similar to a form of body dysphoria, most notably, anorexia. An individual suffering from anorexia sincerely believes they are fat but society has never been forced to agree with that individual's self identification. A surgeon will not recommend gastric bypass surgery to resolve anorexia yet they are doing surgery to "cure" gender dysphoria. Am I missing something?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

20. the Man Behind the Curtain says:

Tranny athletes were big in East Germany in the 1980s iirc. It's Opposite Day!

• **Replies:** @JR Foley

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

21. Dumbo says:

June 10, 2023 at 1:03 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words 

@Observer

| Christian neofascist tyranny

LOL. You are insane. The Bolshevik Jews who rule us like tyranny, and sometimes even fascism. But not so much Christianity. No, what they want is what they are doing already.

| Feminism is about equal treatment of women before the law

No, it's not. It's about saying that there are no differences between men and women. Which leads to transgenderism as its logical end — if there's no difference, then one can switch from one to the other at will.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. That one comment says:

June 10, 2023 at 1:04 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Observer

Their next project, now well into production, replacing our failed democracy with a Christian neofascist tyranny, is going to be a real knee-slapper. So many are yearning for it already!

There is nothing democratic about electing a small number of pre-determined politicians who are by law not bound to represent the people nor keep any of the promises they made. More importantly, we've always lived in 'controlled' democracies where popular decisions can be ignored or annulled. It might be worth reading up on how Germany and Japan were 'democratized' after WWII. It is also interesting to point out that both Tsarist Russia and Imperial Germany introduced parliaments for the sole purpose of appeasing people, partially by redirecting the blame to elected parliamentaries, with no genuine interest in enabling democratic participation.

Most people also tend to be conformist and to blindly accept leadership, making them unsuitable for real democracy. Humans naturally organize themselves in hierarchies, a fact that is still denied by anthropologists.

Concerning the rest, Neofascist tyranny it will be, but not Christian..

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

23. RadicalCenter says:

@Priss Factor

The advice for Ottawa parents is the same as for California and New York parents: Take the kids out of government school. They can't arrest or prosecute all of you. Not even close. Especially if some of the police won't play along — or if the population does whatever it (lawfully) takes to make the police unwilling to enforce this filth and incoherence.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

24. Sparkon says:

June 10, 2023 at 1:16 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 200 Words ↑  
@JWalters

It appears that a person can have a body of one gender (male, female) and a mind of the other gender. A complete description of such a person would include both their body gender and their mind gender.

**No.** There is no gender in biology, only sex. Similarly, there is no sex in grammar, only gender, just as author pointed out in his second paragraph here.

Almost all of us are born with a sex organ, either male or female, but nobody is born with a gender organ.

In grammar, “they” refers to more than one person, whereas an individual human being can only be a “he” or a “she,” third person singular, but never they, which is 3rd person, plural.

Animals and inanimate objects are usually referred to as “it.”

In order to live in a rational world, names for things must be correct, a fact that was recognized by Confucius in ancient times with his concept of the rectification of names – 正名:

“If names be not correct, language is not in accordance with the truth of things.”

–Kǒng Fūzǐ (孔夫子, “Master Kong”)

• **Agree:** AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein

• **Replies:** @JWalters, @Abbybwood

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

25. TKK says:

June 10, 2023 at 1:49 pm GMT • 6.9 days ago • 100 Words ↑

What a carefully constructed distraction of absolute foolishness.

Even the white shoe law firms have “preferred pronouns” at the end of the writer’s email signature block.

If we could not find any edible food, how important would this be? Maybe America needs to experience that to blast this idiocy back into the abyss.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

26. State of the world

Democrats when they realize they have to vote for Joe Biden.

[pic.twitter.com/2lBbvc5yQd](https://pic.twitter.com/2lBbvc5yQd)

— Ian Miles Cheong (@stillgray) June 10, 2023

• **LOL:** Rurik

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

27. Passing\_by says:

XX = female, XY = male, everything else is disease, genetic, hormonal or mental. End of story.

28. Irose says:

Will exchangeable pronouns and ‘identities’ become the wrench in the “SDG16” target: to provide legal identity for all, including birth registration by 2030”? I wonder.

“SDG16: Part 1 — Building the Global Police State”

<https://unlimitedhangout.com/2023/06/investigative-series/sdg16-part-1-building-the-global-police-state/?ref=unlimited-hangout>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

29. Mac says:

June 10, 2023 at 2:28 pm GMT • 6.8 days ago • 300 Words ↑

The article first question or rhetoric, answer is phsyical, ovaries, or actual testicles. Never mind one percent with historectomy etc. Can't create ovaries in a male. Males can use hormones to grow chest tissue, but not ovaries. They can make quasi-penuses or rubber-insert testicle sacks to put on females, but not genetic testicles, xray would prove.

The few who appear opposite but who aren't involved in schemes, have no issue with, especially if was forced on them. The problem is the pushers, and con 'docturs' doing this who should be run out of the territory.

The tranz brigade putting themselves 'over males and females, claiming 'law ' is a problem. Actualy courts period, can search 'judicial immunity' or corrupt. The 'grimm' case, and note the name, sounds planned, but in any event refusal by supreme cons to 'review the case/meaning refused to consider reversing, was/is wrong, one group- dictating no men or women. How is there are a milion 'lawyers, and they and 'state cons mostly only push the schemes.

The thing is they are male dominant, regardless appear male or female, important point, as the cons pretend trans are some sort of victims –when again male dominant, never mind snivel acts.

Everyone should be more masculine, male and female. The jews and cons have been feminizing dopes centuries exactly because feminized fail to shove back against schemes. Focus local.

Two words don't see much anymore, disguise or imposters. Should make note, bizness suits are costumes.

Article subject more important than people assume, and agree with comment fifteen, also comment twenty five.



Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

30. Rurik says:

June 10, 2023 at 2:52 pm GMT • 6.8 days ago • 300 Words ↑

America has always had a gun culture, going back to the very beginning. But no one, ever went into a school and shot up kids.

Ever.

So now when these shootings happen, people always scratch their heads, and say 'what's going on?'

But personally I think it should be obvious, that when you impose insane, anti-human narratives on people, and tell them that gender is a false construct imposed on them by evil people, as has our entire history of America specifically, and Western civilization generally- been nothing more than an orgy of white men oppressing and enslaving and persecuting and genociding everybody else, (Jewish perception of history) while enslaving them all to these white-male-imposed 'roles' like 'gender', and then enforce this narrative across the board, and in the government schools, and in the media, to the point that people are convinced that it's true, and this monstrous 'reality' that's been imposed on them by 'whiteness' and evil people going back centuries.. must finally be broken!

Then is it any wonder that so many of these marginalized people snap?



gender confused school shooter, (there will be more)

Everything they've ever been told are insane lies, specifically intended to wreak havoc with their identity and self-esteem and sow division, for the purpose of filling them with hatred, and rending society into fractionalized warring camps.

Then, when half the nation hates the other half, and most of what all of them believe is malignant horseshit, they can sit back and watch their Big Pharma and Total Police State and Big Tech make hay on all that careful programming.

But even then, that's not why they do it. Not for the money or to see so many people snap, or even for their coveted 'gun control'- to give them even more (unlimited) power over the masses. Those things are just benefits, 'such a deal!'

No the reason they do all of that, isn't for money or power per se, but rather..

it's just *what they do*.

• **Agree:** Passing by, bike-anarkist

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

31. Adolf Smith says:

@Dr. Robert Morgan

She write this after getting a severe beating from her negro boyfriend.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

32. Dr. Robert Morgan says:

June 10, 2023 at 3:46 pm GMT • 6.8 days ago • 100 Words ↑

James Forrestal: “So your point is essentially that feminism — like transsexualism — is entirely based on denial of reality; on promoting the primacy of narrative over reality.”

No, that’s Richard Knight’s spurious claim.

My point is one diametrically opposed to it, namely that both feminism and transgenderism are empowered by technological “progress”, which creates a new reality. The language reform that Knight talks about and that you refer to isn’t a cause, but rather an effect of that same “progress”. First there is “progress”, and then the language (or narrative) is reformed to accord with the new reality created by that “progress”.

33. ariadna says:

June 10, 2023 at 3:53 pm GMT • 6.8 days ago • 100 Words ↑

“woman like Margaret Thatcher, who was a leader and a firm one, the animus was stronger than in most women while the anima was weaker”

No, let’s not drag Jung into this. She was just a soulless bitch, one with little interest or affection even for the offspring she had whelped.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

34. Dr. Robert Morgan says:

Rurik: “But no one, ever went into a school and shot up kids. Ever.”

<https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/1927-bombing-remains-americas-deadliest-school-massacre-180963355/>

• **Replies:** @Rurik

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

35. ariadna says:

June 10, 2023 at 4:16 pm GMT • 6.8 days ago • 200 Words ↑

I know it is trite and many have said before, but I will say it again because it irks me so much:

I hate the “identifying as” shtick for being so woefully devoid of inclusiveness. It is strictly reserved for sexual identity. In consequence the self-declared “trans” may have their ID documents legally changed, and they gain access to facilities (e.g. bathrooms, sport clubs/teams) previously reserved for people of the sex they only yearned to belong to.

People should be allowed to identify as not only the “gender” they feel they really belong to, but also as members of the social class and income bracket they feel they really belong to and be treated accordingly. Equal treatment in his case means being able to access bank accounts corresponding to their self-identification.

Some people aspiring to level the playing field in this direction attempt to do it indirectly by falsely claiming to be Jews. They don't get far, probably because of the absence of laws that recognize this type of self-identification. Aiming to achieve more modest gains some attempt to self-identify as other (lesser) historically oppressed minorities, like Native Americans. That can get you into college on a preferential basis. but that's about it. That's a shame...

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

36. Desert Fox says:

Trance-gender is a zionist/communist scam and a psyop pushed by UN Agenda 2030, the WEF, the Rockefeller and Gates Foundations, the WHO, the CDC, the Bilderbergers etc., etc., to destroy the moral fabric of society and is a zionist/satanic movement to destroy America and the western world.

• **Agree:** Zane

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

37. Gvaltar says:

@Dr. Robert Morgan

“progress” provided by the white man?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide  
Thread

38. gay troll says:

June 10, 2023 at 4:52 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 800 Words ↑

The last time transgender ideology made any sense to me, which was years ago, the scientific reasoning was that “sex” is biological but “gender” is psychological. Now it seems they wish to claim that sex and gender are the same indefinite thing.

Sex is easily defined – if you have a Y chromosome, you’re male. Yet now we have transgender activists who insist on calling males “people assigned male at birth”. No, you are not assigned a sex by a doctor, you are born with it. There are of course cases, such as androgen insensitivity and chromosomal duplication, where a male may present with female characteristics. My prior understanding of “assigning gender at birth” was when a baby was born with ambiguous genitalia. Then the doctor might choose to try to make the genitalia less ambiguous. But now trans activists want to say that every healthy baby boy has merely been “assigned” male, as if sex has no reality or definition at all.

This moving of the goalposts, away from scientific rigidity and towards the realm of fantastic Orwellian language games, is a sure sign that the transgender movement has been poisoned, if it was not poison from the get go.

Honestly it has taken on the same appearance and fanaticism as the vaccine “debate”. Despite the fact that the erstwhile scientific ideology has fallen into a tatter of contradictory talking points, it has become taboo to disagree with it. And behind both movements we find the same moral hazard: the medical industrial complex pining for business. There is a lot of money at stake in promoting transgenderism.

It also used to be the scientific argument that for trans individuals to transition more successfully, they needed to start at a younger age, before puberty. From the point of view of



being able to make a male look convincingly female or vice versa, this is absolutely true. But now they deny they're targeting children – even though they are, and even though targeting children used to be their scientific rationale.

I overheard a highly offensive speech from a trans activist yesterday on NPR, complaining about the old fashioned liberal idea that we should accept ourselves as we are born and not try to become something we're not. She/he likened this attitude to “privilege”. But real privilege is the massive medical intervention required to physiologically transition. This person was literally saying that no medical intervention is a privilege, while a lifetime of drugs and plastic surgery is a right. The doctor must have put her/his head on backwards.

The bottom line is that drugs and plastic surgery are being marketed to children, often against the wishes of their parents. Should a child be able to authorize these purchases? I think not.

My sex is male and my gender is female. I am androgynous. I am not a woman but I have more in common with women than men. I am heterosocial and homosexual. I am in fact transgender, because before puberty awakened me to my sexual desires, I tried to cultivate a stereotypically heterosexual male persona. Discovering and tolerating my female persona was only a matter of increased maturity, not “gender affirming care”.

If adults want to take drugs and pursue plastic surgery and play spiteful word games, go right ahead. 18 is still young. But don't market this absurdity to minors, and don't tell other adults that they can't disagree with you.

More and more contemporary LGBT+ politics look like an attempt to destroy diversity rather than tolerate it. They are destroying what it means to be a woman. They are destroying

women's sports. They are destroying gay people, both physically and reputationally. The fact that they have rebranded the entire US deep state as LGBT+ warriors is a telltale sign that the movement has been weaponized, and in fact it has been adopted as a shield against liberals. Only 20 years ago, liberals were the loudest critics of the US military and deep state. Now, they are MIC lapdogs.

If you are out there and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, whatever, my advice is to trust in God. Do not trust in the U.S. government. Do not trust the medical industrial complex.

Give yourself time to become who you are.

And seriously, flip the bird to the pronoun police, they are straight up Orwell in your face. They need to stop demanding that strangers corroborate their fantasies.

The singular of they is "IT".

• **Thanks:** [TKK](#)

• **Replies:** [@TKK](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

39. Agent76 says:

June 10, 2023 at 4:56 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑

Jun 1, 2023 EXCLUSIVE: Dallas Mandates Transgender Pronoun Use

An internal City of Dallas “gender transition” toolkit requires all public employees to use a transitioning person’s preferred pronouns regardless of personal beliefs.

<https://dallasexpress.com/city/exclusive-dallas-mandates-transgender-pronoun-use/>



May 16, 2023 Women’s Rights Activist Riley Gaines condemns using Unisex locker room



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/jQTRwmYIOqE>

Jun 12, 2022 Why are kids allowed in a Dallas Drag Bar?

On this week's episode of Rebel Roundup, Reporter Juan Mendoza spoke with David about why minors were brought to a place called Mr. Misster in Dallas Texas.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/4560w9pws3c>

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

40. One Nobody says:

@James Forrestal

Semitic? are you talking about Arabic propaganda? You mean Jewish propaganda.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

41. different commentator's name says:

when it suits UNZ, it runs articles that asks what the definitions of words are. But then it continues to use words such as “white” to identify people.

so once again, what is the definition of “white” when applied to humans? where is the article on that subject?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

42. Mick Jagger Gathers No Mosque says:

Women covering for or trying to conceal what effeminate men (sodomites ) do is nothing new;

3 Kings; He destroyed also the pavilions of the effeminate, which were in the house of the Lord, for which the women wove as it were little dwellings for the grove.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

43. Rurik says:

June 10, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Dr. Robert Morgan

OK, I stand corrected. Thanks.

There were others, I see.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enoch\\_Brown\\_school\\_massacre](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enoch_Brown_school_massacre)

but there again, it may be a case of the exception proves the rule.

Clearly, they have happened in the past, but it seems they were *very rare*, whereas now they seem almost common.

Some say it's the guns. But I think there's something far more dark and malignant going on.

A insidious malaise, and a despairing sense of being alienated from family and community. And the constant refrain that our society has always been evil, and getting more evil each day. The left doesn't say the right is misguided or wrong, they say the right (conservatives, Christians, white people) are evil. (its is **\*not\*** Ok to be white).

And anyone opposed to drag-queen hour or gay pronouns is an evil person full of hate, just as our entire society has been oppressed by these hateful people from the beginning, when they were genociding the 'Indians' and enslaving the blacks, and oppressing women and everyone else, and imposing gender straitjackets on children who "science" tells us are not either male or female, (as according to today's mantra, none are), but that these genders were always *imposed* by an oppressive and evil system of white supremacy, that the rest of us have all suffered under, and continue to suffer under!

blah, blah.. Climate Change! Blah, blah..

I don't think I'm exaggerating, when I write that. I think that is an accurate assessment of what they're teaching (indoctrinating) these children to believe.

So when they snap, and do a shooting, or commit suicide

### **Teen Suicide Rate Spikes 56% in a Decade**

<https://www.healthline.com/health-news/teen-suicide-rate-spikes-56-in-9-years>

I don't think we should be too shocked.

They're indoctrinating children to *be* insane, (to hate their family and themselves), and then act surprised when they go insane.

• **Agree:** [WorkingClass](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

44. [bike-anarkist](#) says:

[@Passing\\_by](#)

Yup.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

45. Anonymous[655] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment  
[June 10, 2023 at 5:53 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑  
[@Dumbo](#)

Indeed. All of these lunatics are basically fucked in the head, and it's their head (their brain) that needs an adjustment (basically their reasoning process), not their bodies. To compound the madness, the federal government steps in and wants to exacerbate the problem even as it first emerges in very young children who, in my generation, didn't even yet know the "facts of life." Our elders did not willfully set us on a road to personal destruction in the service of politics. These social outliers have got to understand that they are merely men, or developing men, who might like mimicking the female appearance or behaviors, but they are not girls or women. Moreover, nobody cares. They can act as they like in our libertine American society. It's a tragedy they are driven by social pressures to maim and disfigure themselves through pharmacology and/or surgery. Same goes for little tomboys who are now taught they are really boys or men... and think they have to act on this maladjustment in society. Back in the early 50's, my crazy sister, who is now in her mid-70's, believed that she was once a boy. She got over that nonsense, and, like most normal women, perfected their art of manipulating and exploiting the male half of the spectrum. Most of the world knows this stuff. It is only that fraction of the world population that apes everything American that is in trouble.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



46. [TKK](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 10, 2023 at 6:08 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@gay troll](#)

Amazing writing and word choice, as usual. Excellent read.

What is your conception of God?

And, what is are your thoughts about THC use and ideas of “divinity”?

Is all life divine? And I mean – even the plants and that ...” ” makes a snail different from a rock.

I am getting into hiking every day with my dog Molly. (obese Australian Shephard, spoiled to the point of madness – but she used to live in a chicken coop and was fed trash)

We went through a cow pasture the other day (lost) and I watched the peaceful black and white cows, eating grass and protecting their adorable calves. I thought: Man, we just can’t talk milk from the gentle cow to make delicious cheeses and creams. We then kill the animal. And eat her.

Man does rape, pillage and plunder everything that crosses their path. I don’t see other animals..even the higher primates...doing that.

• **Replies:** [@gay troll](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

47. Rurik says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 6:17 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 100 Words 

@Passing\_by

the amazing thing is that it even has to be said

it's always been brutally self-evident, until now.

Sure, the science of chromosomes is a worthy subject of study, but did humans ever need to know what X or Y chromosome was, to know the difference between a boy and a girl?

(redundant question)

It's just more of their  $2 + 2 = 5$  mindfuck.

Like 'there is no such thing as race'.. the black race is good, the white race is bad. There is no such thing as race..

war is peace

ignorance is our strength

boys are girls



• **Replies:** [@Anonymous](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

48. Anon[841] • [Disclaimer](#) says: Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 6:20 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑

If a adult wants to cut off their genitalia and take gobs of hormones for decades plus have a 50% probability of committing suicide afterwards.....I'm tolerant of that decision HOWEVER DO NOT EXPECT ME TO FUND YOUR CHOICE

This trans stuff is a multi-billion industry for Doctors, Therapists, Big Pharma, etc.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

49. [Hang All Text Drivers](#) says:Next New Comment

If men and women are equal, how do liberals justify all the affirmative action programs for women?

• **Replies:** [@Anonymous](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

50. [Tiptoethrutulips](#) says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 6:46 pm GMT • 6.7 days ago • 100 Words ↑

[@Observer](#)

Feminism WAS about equal protection under the law. Age of consent for girls was often as young as 10, and varied from state to state. My great aunt lost all the property she inherited from her father when her husband divorced her. He literally took everything. Property rights and custodial rights to children, etc., were important for women.

To say things have gone too far is an understatement. What's interesting about birth control is now that it's readily available, nearly free in some cases, single motherhood is higher than it's ever been.

What has been chipped away is all sense of honor, decency, shame, and duty. Getting the genie back in the bottle will not be easy.

• **Agree:** [Rurik](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

51. [Legba](#) says:Next New Comment

I understand how. I don't understand why.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

52. MGB says:Next New Comment  
@Dr. Robert Morgan

| The presence of a technology does not predispose its use.

But atomic weapons have been in use continuously since 1945, used to terrorize, the same way that the technologies of gender are being deployed to terrorize.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

53. Turk 152 says:Next New Comment

I believe this will all work itself out when children who mutilated their bodies with the consent of physicians, become adults and realize how much money they can make bankrupting doctors and hospitals in class action lawsuits.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

54. Dr. Robert Morgan says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 7:57 pm GMT • 6.6 days ago • 200 Words 

Rurik: “Sure, the science of chromosomes is a worthy subject of study, but did humans ever need to know what X or Y chromosome was, to know the difference between a boy and a girl? ”

That’s one big problem with arguing the difference is only chromosomal. As you observe, people didn’t know about chromosomes for most of human history, yet they still had no trouble seeing the difference between male and female. This reflects the fact that in practice people treat each other as they appear to be. They don’t make that decision on the basis of a DNA test. But today, and ONLY because of technological “progress”, a man who takes female hormones can pass for female, and a female who takes testosterone can pass for male. By introducing counterfeit men and women, technological “progress” has thereby changed reality. It’s forcing the culture to change in response.

Another problem with arguing the difference is only chromosomal is that it concedes in advance that it’s theoretically possible to change sex. Making it a reality only awaits the development of the technological means of doing it. But if the “counterfeit” is already convincing enough, why even bother to change the underlying genetics? Nobody will know or care.

• **Replies:** @AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

55. Bill Ziebell says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 8:33 pm GMT • 6.6 days ago • 300 Words ↑

This might be a good time to examine these gender questions (and others) from the Buddhist aspect of Karma. Karma is actually your spiritual DNA, so when you are born (or reborn a la reincarnation) as a biological woman or man, that is Karma in action. Karma is the Law of Cause and Effect . If you want to know what your past causes were, you can look at where you are today; if you want to know what the future will be, examine the causes you're making in the present. Your karma is based on "Your" causes, and the effects are "Yours". Nichiren Buddhists believe that all people have a Buddha nature, and therefor are worthy of respect. However, its your responsibility to live up to that. SO make better causes. The real goal of our lives is to become happy. Real happiness isn't about the material things we have (they're nice and needed) ; true happiness is to reach a state of high life condition that is impervious to our day to day trials and tribulations. We raise our life condition daily by chanting "Nam Myoho Renge Kyo" which is "doing/devotion to the mystic law of cause and through sound vibration". Nam Myoho Renge Kyo is the title of each chapter (28) of the Lotus Sutra.

If we look at what our country has become, this too is our Karma as a Nation and people. Nichiren Buddhists believe that a change in even one man or woman (or "persons") can change the destiny of our entire country. People are suffering and are being buffeted by forces that would seem beyond any hope to overcome. But by continuing to live and striving to move forward, and not give up, or succumbing to the evil that is haunting our country, we can have hope for a better future. In the words of Jerry Jeff Walker and his song "Leaving Texas" "I'll just keep on Keeping On" Peace

• **Agree:** gay troll

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

56. Aleatorius says:Next New Comment

I am waiting for the time when they would declare that sacrificing humans, as the Aztecs did, to their homosexual gods is acceptable ritual. God help US!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

Subscribe to New Columns

57. SafeNow says:Next New Comment

What do you think about while the dentist is drilling? This is what you mainly identify as.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter



58. [AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein](#) says:Next New Comment  
[June 10, 2023 at 9:20 pm GMT • 6.6 days ago • 300 Words](#) ↑  
[@Dr. Robert Morgan](#)

The only problem with your theory is that the language for all of this horseshit dropped in the 70's in places like Berkeley,well before "Critical Race Theory", "restorative justice", or "systemic racism" were even a thing.

No "progress" has been made on those things because you can't "progress" your way out of physical reality. We've had 100+ years of progress on flight,no one is levitating around using only their mind,identifying as a balloon and floating away on the air, or flapping their arms and taking off from the ground under their own power. If someone gets into an airplane and flies,that doesn't mean that they have become a bird now simply because they and birds are both flying. If they declare themselves to be a bird while flying in an airplane,they still have not become a bird. They are just approximating the flight of a bird using a piece of technology. No physical or metaphysical state change has occurred vis a vis their actual physical state as a human being.

1000 years in the future,unless that person has biologically undergone evolutionary changes,that will still be the case. It is unlikely that even in that case,they will be a bird,since they started out as something other than a bird or what birds started out as,and their DNA would still be distinguishable from that of a bird. That remains the case even if it becomes a law in the intervening period that you must affirm that this person or descendant of a person is a bird,despite not being a bird and never having been a bird before.

• **Replies:** [@mulga mumblebrain](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

59. [AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Dr. Robert Morgan](#)

“Nobody will know or care.”

I suppose nobody ever taught you where babies come from. Do you think people might care when no matter how many times they shoot their packets of DNA into another man’s hollowed-out and inverted manhood,no babies ever emerge from the surgical hole?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

60. Rush says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 9:53 pm GMT • 6.5 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Regarding the term 'gender' being an obfuscating euphemism for sex that hardly needs to be argued but merely observed.

The word 'gender' used to be a grammatical term used in linguistics and foreign language study where even inanimate objects had gender as in 'la maison' v 'le maison'.

The term 'sex' was a biological term referring to one being male or female, sometimes borrowed to refer to mechanical parts being coupled together.

What is now called 'sex' was called 'sexual intercourse'. As to observation my recollection is that this term fell out of usage around the time 'homosexual behaviour' also did. One could not speak of 'homosexual intercourse' as there was no such thing, perhaps 'homosexual intracourse' might have been the equivalent.

The obfuscation arose, I believe, to create a false equivalence between 'homosexual intracourse' and 'sexual intercourse', the word 'sex' co-opted to refer to both, and 'gender' co-opted to replace 'sex'. Both usages are obfuscations.

It is not the only term relating to sexual behaviours that has been corrupted in order to camouflage homosexual behaviours. The term 'pedophile' is another one. It used to refer to adults who engaged in sexual behaviours with pre-pubescent children. By far most such cases were by men molesting young boys.

Nowadays the term has come to refer to sexual activity by an adult with anyone under the age of consent, including post-pubescent teens such as by Epstein and his guests, allowing homosexual abuse of pre-pubescent boys to slip under the radar.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

61. [Dr. Robert Morgan](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 10, 2023 at 10:22 pm GMT • 6.5 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein: “I suppose nobody ever taught you where babies come from. Do you think people might care when no matter how many times they shoot their packets of DNA into another man’s hollowed-out and inverted manhood, no babies ever emerge from the surgical hole? ”

This is just another technical objection. You, of course, are probably too stupid to understand that many women are infertile and can’t give birth naturally, or that birth by Caesarean section is nowadays routine. The solution for both transgenders and such women is what I mentioned above in #3, and what Firestone presciently foresaw — artificial reproduction. These days, when “men” will probably soon give birth via transplanted wombs, it amazes me that there remains anyone who is blind enough not to see how “progress” is the cause. You’re like a little kid covering up his eyes in an effort not to see something that frightens him.

Unfortunately for you and your ilk, as the technology continues to improve, the counterfeits will only get better and better. If your next door neighbor is apparently a woman and apparently pregnant, are you going to ask her for a DNA test to “prove” she is a woman? No, I don’t think so. You’d neither know nor care.

• **Replies:** [@Gvaltar](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

62. Donald A Thomson says:Next New Comment

June 10, 2023 at 10:37 pm GMT • 6.5 days ago • 100 Words 

Gender is now used with 2 meanings, grammar and physical sex. Grammatical usage can be changed and nobody knows how to change anybody's sex. The cultists of the Church of Woke don't even know which meaning they're using and don't want to know. They just recite the tenets of their faith. In that, they're no different from any other religion.

donthomson1@hotmail.com

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

63. Zane says:Next New Comment

Trans schmans.

I bet our old friends are behind much of this nonsense.

All part of the plan.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

64. [JWalters](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 11, 2023 at 12:08 am GMT • 6.4 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@Sparkon](#)

You are correct. I should have used the term “sex” instead of “gender”. If that substitution is made, I believe the analysis is still correct.

The basic point is that a person with a male body, who perceives themselves as mentally a female, is appropriately categorized as a *type* of male. The next step is to determine an appropriate label for that type of male. Same logic for a person with a female body who perceives their inner self as male.

Those were the two points of my comment. Thanks again for your correction.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

65. [Oughterard](#) says:Next New Comment

I’m in a genital mutilation and inbreeding cult but I identify as a member of a biblical scholar tribe.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

66. Renoman says:Next New Comment

June 11, 2023 at 12:48 am GMT • 6.4 days ago • 200 Words 

Why are we paying any attention to this tiny minority of deranged mentally ill freaks of nature? 97% of the population could not give a Rats ass about this nonsense so why are we paying any attention to the squealing anything for a buck media assholes who are running this show/ Kids have always been a mess, most make it out by adult hood and as for the ones who don't, well there shall be no heart transplants for the homeless, we simply can't fix the unfixable as the costs are just too high. We have lives to live, let them live them as best they can, leave em be and maybe a new circus will come along and give them a purpose. Good luck to them, build your Church, be among your people and leave the rest of us alone. Oh and don't ask for any money cause we have many more important things to waste it on.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

67. Sarita says:Next New Comment

June 11, 2023 at 2:20 am GMT • 6.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

You are not gonna believe this!

The following is a tweet by Joe Biden:

I know that too many people in the LGBTQI+ community are worried and afraid about their future and their safety.

I want to send a message to the entire community – especially to transgender children.

You are loved.

You are heard.

You are understood.

You belong. [pic.twitter.com/gFo0vKVIT9](https://pic.twitter.com/gFo0vKVIT9)

— President Biden (@POTUS) June 11, 2023

This is what it says:

I know that too many people in the LGBTQI+ community are worried and afraid about their future and their safety.

I want to send a message to the entire community – **especially to transgender children.**

You are loved.

You are heard.

You are understood.

You belong.

**especially to transgender children.**

Lol

I laugh so I don't cry!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter



68. Gvaltar says:Next New Comment

@Dr. Robert Morgan

| You'd neither know nor care.

Don't know, but do care!

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

69. Sir Launcelot Canning says:Next New Comment

@anon

I recently wrote on a form that my prounouns are redneck and asshole.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

70. Anonymous[182] • Disclaimer says:Next New Comment  
June 11, 2023 at 2:45 am GMT • 6.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑  
@Rurik

Transgender men only want to be around children and read books to children. You never see them reading books to the elderly. Hmm, I wonder why?



The parents who allow their children to be exposed to this stuff are themselves demonic.

- **Agree:** Rurik
- **Replies:** @mulga mumblebrain

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

71. JR Foley says:Next New Comment

@the Man Behind the Curtain

Will Trannies be playing in the NHL? Seems the NHL has not addressed this pressing issue and Golden Knights might be clawed by some GLBT+ Panthers OR a gay referee blew the game no allowing a ricochet off the end boards dike to count???

• **Replies:** @the Man Behind the Curtain

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

72. Abbybwood says:Next New Comment

@Sparkon

Not true.

When you take your dog to the vet the vet says, “How long has HE/SHE been vomiting?” Not “How long has IT been vomiting?”

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

73. June 11, 2023 at 12:56 pm GMT • 5.9 days ago • 200 Words ↑

Over the door of the Oracle was written, “Know Thyself”. That stricture is also repeated by all the sages. The problem is that people are not searching for their identity but rather seeking something to identify as; they’re not looking to see what is but are trying to become something.

How many times were we asked as children, “What do you want to be when you grow up?” Very few know who or even what they are, they are too busy trying to be something to even investigate.

Until you know yourself how can you be sure that the “I” who wants to be this or that is even part of who you are? Identity is a way of setting boundaries, a way of saying, “This is this and not that.” Simply put, identity is ego.

Of course gender will be confusing; it is just an idea, a concept. We spend so much time immersed in ideas (which exist only in the realms of fantasy) and we thus miss reality.

Anyone who seriously investigates the question, “Who am I really?” will not be disturbed by ridiculous ideas of gender dysphoria etc.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

74. the Man Behind the Curtain says: Next New Comment

@JR Foley

*Funny you should ask...*

[https://www.westernstandard.news/news/nhl-says-transgender-females-should-compete-in-women-s-sports/article\\_f83a60b8-6b68-11ed-8b39-5b1c6af58fae.html](https://www.westernstandard.news/news/nhl-says-transgender-females-should-compete-in-women-s-sports/article_f83a60b8-6b68-11ed-8b39-5b1c6af58fae.html)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

75. Badger Down says:Next New Comment

If a person who prefers to be “they” is in Room 3, should I say: “They is in Room 3.”, or “They are in Room 3.”?  
My fear of making a mistake is very upsetting. Whom do I sue?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

76. Mi mi says:Next New Comment

June 12, 2023 at 2:38 am GMT • 5.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@\_Observer

I see “transgenderism” as being about either one or two sociopathy’s: a childish narcissism, which explains why they love to dress up and show off, like kids trying on adult clothes and playing at being adults, or about a will to power. The power to deceive, and to manipulate. So, they like deceiving the viewer who when dressed up, sees them as the other sex, and they like the power now of forcing all to jump through their hoops using their preferred pronouns and such. “Transgenderism” is a form of sociopathy, a defiance, a getting one over on everyone, a power grab, now on steroids. Now all of society has to conform to their demands. Heck we might even all have to go to war with non- complying nations to accommodate their demands.

• **Replies:** @mulga mumblebrain

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

77. [mulga mumblebrain](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 12, 2023 at 9:13 am GMT • 5.1 days ago](#) • 300 Words [↑](#)

[@Mi mi](#)

'Transgenderism' is many things. It has been carefully confected.

It is intensely misogynistic, as we see in the attacks on women's sport, places, meetings and identity. 'Drag' is 'woman-face' just as Trudeau et al exhibited 'black-face', and, sometimes, viciously parodic. Imposing it on school-children is beyond belief. Moreover the 'trans' lunacy is distinctly homophobic, with most 'trans' children being, plainly, gay, or simply 'different'. Harmful medications and mutilating surgery as cures for homosexuality is a monstrous step back, and that many gay groups have accepted the 'trans' insanity is dumbfounding. And those, like some lesbian groups, who oppose the mass hysteria, are viciously attacked for doing so.

Transgenderism is, plainly a social contagion and mass hysteria. The numbers of teenage girls, in particular, in the USA, 'discovering' that they are 'trans' is simply insane. But still the liberal fascists rant on at every opportunity about 'gender non-conforming' people, ie neurotics brainwashed by the villainous MSM and liberal fascist social engineers. And the involvement of paedophiles in the movement is getting harder and harder to disguise, particularly with the use of 'puberty blockers' and mutilating surgery targeting children. The hideous truth is that the acceptance of 'transgenderism' by gay groups is opening them to social hostility, so soon after social acceptance was hard won, and threatens to subvert those gains. The whole fiasco is beyond belief, and the sane 90% of humanity looks on with revulsion and fear that the deranged West may attempt to impose this vile nonsense on them, too.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

78. [mulga mumblebrain](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 12, 2023 at 9:17 am GMT](#) • [5.1 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@Anonymous](#)

This stuff could be harmless, like pantomimes, if voluntary and policed by parents. But in the USA and other parts of the West, it is imposed in schools, with parents absent, overseen by brainwashed ‘teachers’, and, already, in some cases, heavily sexualised, for children years before puberty. It is INSANE, and being pushed by the liberal fascists simply because the ‘conservatives’, rather sensibly, oppose it. The beauty of ‘democratic’ Divide and Rule tactics in practise.

• **Replies:** [@Gvaltar](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

79. [mulga mumblebrain](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 12, 2023 at 9:26 am GMT](#) • [5.1 days ago](#) • 100 Words [↑](#)

[@AbrahamSteinblattbaumstein](#)

One aspect of the ‘transgender’ insanity is its coherence with the elites’ ‘transhumanist’ agenda. If you wish to leave the human condition behind, and become a cyborg or a full machine, or be uploaded onto a computer, you must first destroy that human condition. And NOTHING is more central to humanity, its evolutionary past, its current existence and future prospects, than sexual dimorphism. If you deny that and excise that from reality, you can do anything. It must appeal to billionaire psychopaths with narcissistic delusions of God-like omnipotence.

• **Replies:** [@Gvaltar](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

80. Quasicon says:Next New Comment

As a forensic genetic genealogist, I believe the real point is that no humans can actually identify themselves.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

81. Ayax says:Next New Comment

Few years ago the mainstream media, NGOs, and all UN Agencies were calling circumcision, genital mutilation. Now they are approving whole genital/gender reassignment. This transgender agenda is bigger than most people think it is.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

82. Gvaltar says:Next New Comment

@mulga mumblebrain

| liberal fascists

Why not fascists?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

83. Gvaltar says:Next New Comment

@mulga mumblebrain

| appeal to billionaire psychopaths with narcissistic delusions of God-like omnipotence

Why not 'appeal to psychopaths with narcissistic delusions of God-like omnipotence'?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread



84. Anonymous[460] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 13, 2023 at 6:21 pm GMT](#) • [3.7 days ago](#) • 200 Words [↑](#)

[@Hang All Text Drivers](#)

Actually, with women earning over 60% of all university degrees, including in the former male bastion of chemistry, they have a significant advantage of being employed in just about any fields except math or physics, where affirmative action holds sway. I find it extremely illogical and highly biased against men that all the universities with which I had associations either as a student or faculty member (all quite prestigious major Research I institutions) and the professional organisations to which I still belong in retirement (e.g., the American Chemical Society) have fastidiously elaborated policies for the preferential hiring or admission of women over men. Women don't need these advantages, they have achieved superior status and opportunities, yet our institutions bend over backwards to advantage them and so-called racial minorities Only white men are subjected to discriminatory action. We are destroying the integrity of our social structure and competitive rank among nations for blatant domestic political aspirations.

[Reply](#)[Agree/Disagree/Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

85. [gay troll](#) says:Next New Comment

[June 13, 2023 at 10:06 pm GMT • 3.5 days ago](#) • 700 Words [↑](#)

[@TKK](#)

Thank you.

My conception of God is, to borrow a phrase from Carlos Castaneda, “the totality of oneself”. It is the whole of being – the known, the unknown, and the unknowable. We can also define God as *that which cannot be defined*. After all, how can one *define* the *infinite*? One cannot.

∞

The above symbol does not signify quantity without limit, as most people think of it. It is actually a symbol of physical reality: an *analemma*, which is the shape traced by the sun in the sky during the course of a year. Infinity is not increase without end; it is a cycle.

Everything we observe in the universe is cyclical. I think this is a clue about human perception. The arrow of time is an illusion, one that has been advantageous in our evolution and development. But until humanity can rethink its perspective on the infinite, the illusion of time has become very dangerous. Too many people are obsessed with defining the beginning of time and or precipitating the end of time. But what if a cycle is all there is?

As a panentheist I believe all matter is divine, it is all the body of God. What distinguishes the living from the non living is local memory, which creates local awareness. I love to watch videos of plants in fast motion. Then it is easy to see how much they interact with their environment, not so different from an animal: a mind that is rooted in the dirt instead of cased in bone.

What distinguishes humanity from other animals? An interrelated constellation of traits: bipedalism, opposable thumbs, cooking, big brains. Brain/body ratio might be sufficient to explain our extraordinary intelligence. I wonder what will happen when and if AI removes the denominator from that ratio. Then we might bear witness to a different kind of infinity.

But I believe that all things are made in God's image. Reality as we know it is a hologram. We like to think we're so different from the rest of nature; some see us as angelic while others see us as a virus or cancer. But really we are exactly like the rest of nature. We all have our gifts and we all have our limitations.

Therefore I have faith that whatever the future brings, it will become beautiful and humane. The best of ourselves is the universal, not the particular. To revert to the mean is to revert to omniscience.

I learned all this because I asked God to reveal itself to me and it did. In the ultimate revelation God showed me that it is a living, loving, attentive person – not just a quantity or a quality, and not just something that was inside my head. I swore then to God that my tongue would be her blade. Almost ten years ago.

But it is very hard to hear something that speaks without making a sound. It is also easy to imagine other words in times of silence. Free will is what we practice when we are not serving God. When we know God and we serve God, our only will is the divine.

It is time for me to resist the ambitions of my own free will, and choose again to submit to the higher power. I can't continue to say what I say about all the lies in this world. I have done everything in my power to communicate what I think is true. I

hope that it has mattered. But at this point in my life I need to focus all my energy on what is true and stop paying attention to what is false.

Therefore I have no more reason to read Unz.com.

As for marijuana, I fully believe it is a psychedelic and a potentially useful ally in the quest for self mastery and knowledge.

Namaste

Adios

• **LOL:** Gvaltar

• **Replies:** @Brandon Bishop

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

86. Brandon Bishop says:Next New Comment

June 14, 2023 at 2:46 am GMT • 3.3 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@gay troll

This is beautifully, and poignantly, expressed. I can detect your profound exasperation at Unz and the general state of discourse here. Your words evoke Shakespeare's coded poem, Sonnet 20, in my mind. Only the truly initiated will understand. But a larger theme from Shakespeare's homoerotic sonnets applies to your farewell: your ideas are too beautiful to be kept from the world.

In that spirit, I hope you may still entertain the possibility of correspondence. It would mean a great deal to me.

brandonbishop672@gmail.com.

Peace,

Brandon

• **LOL:** Gvaltar

• **Replies:** @gay troll

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

87. [gay troll](#) says:Next New Comment

June 14, 2023 at 6:13 pm GMT • 2.7 days ago • 100 Words 

@Brandon Bishop

SONNET 666

In search of truth that goes beyond fake news  
I moved my avatar to Unz dot com  
And posted many thoughts about the Jews  
While living in a trailer with my mom.

The holocaust took an impossible toll.  
Paul was a Jew who saw Christ in visions.  
They shot JFK from the grassy knoll  
and NASA faked the Apollo missions.

Now white people have become “the other”,  
Only the triple vaxxed go to heaven,  
And it’s hard to red pill your grandmother  
If no planes were used on Nine Eleven.

Although I still have many things to say,  
I’ll flee and live to fight another day.

• **Thanks:** [Prajna](#)

• **LOL:** [the Man Behind the Curtain](#)

• **Replies:** [@Brandon Bishop](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

88. [Trans Europa Express](#) says:Next New Comment

Everyone should just identify themselves as chosen and intransigent. Then everyone else has to STFU. Unless something else is going on here.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

89. anon[294] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Dumbo](#)

Their apparent motives are to ruin the human psyche and any idea of family other than as reproducers of more people to mutilate in any way possible. They are satanists. Goes way back.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

90. [Brandon Bishop](#) says:

[@gay\\_troll](#)

Exquisitely done! Quite the bard.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[← Twenty Statements About TransgenderismTransgenderism and Word Magic →](#)

Leave a Reply - Comments on articles more than two weeks old will be judged much more strictly on quality and tone

Remember My InformationWhy?

Email Replies to my Comment

Submitted comments have been licensed to *The Unz Review* and may be republished elsewhere at the sole discretion of the latter

 [Subscribe to This Comment Thread via RSS](#)  [Subscribe to All Richard Knight Comments via RSS](#)