

JFK and America's Destiny Betrayed, Laurent Guyénot

[UR unz.com/article/jfk-and-americas-destiny-betrayed](https://unz.com/article/jfk-and-americas-destiny-betrayed)

January 21, 2023

← [Ruský novobyzantinismus](#)

[Archiv Laurenta Guyénota](#)

JFK a americký osud zrazen

Recenze DiEugeniovy teorie „zahraničně politického převratu“.

[Laurent Guyénot](#) • 21. ledna 2023

• 6 500 slov • [120 Comments](#) • [83 New](#) • [Odpověďt](#)

[Sdílejte s Gabem](#)

[Q](#) [RSS](#) [Print](#)



Sledoval jsem dokument Olivera Stonea o atentátu na JFK, jak krátkou verzi *JFK Revisited: Through the Looking Glass*, tak i dlouhou verzi ve čtyřech epizodách *JFK: Destiny Betrayed*. Doporučuji to druhé, o kterém zde budu diskutovat. Přestože jsou technické části (kulky, pitva, Oswaldovi manipulátoři CIA) zajímavé a částečně nové, zaměřím se výhradně na teorii ohledně hlavních viníků a jejich motivu. A budu diskutovat o rozsáhlejší díle Jamese DiEugenie, který film napsal – a pravděpodobně vedl rozhovory s různými přispěvateli, i když se zdá, že to dělá Stone.

James DiEugenio vyšetřoval prezidentství Kennedyho a atentát na Kennedyho od dob Assassination Records Review Board (ARRB), což byl z velké části důsledek hollywoodského filmu Olivera Stonea *JFK* (1991). Jeho první knihou byla *Destiny Betrayed: JFK, Cuba, and the Garrison Case* (1992, nově vydáno v roce 2012). V roce 1993 založil Citizens for Truth about the Kennedy Assassination (CTKA) a spoluvydával časopis *Probe Magazine*, nyní nahrazený webem KennedysandKing.com.

V roce 1997 vydal DiEugenio silný dvoudílný knižní článek „*Posmrtný atentát na JFK*“ (1997). Stále je to nezbytná četba pro každého, kdo se zajímá o kontroverze kolem Kennedyho prezidentství a atentátu, nebo je zmaten nekonečným proudem bizarních Kennedyho tradic. Toto je text, který chcete poslat komukoli, kdo vám vypráví o mafiánském jednání Kennedyových a neomezeném sexuálním životě, jejich vraždě Marilyn Monroe nebo Bobbyho nezodpovědných plánech atentátu proti Castrovi, které se vymstily jeho bratrovi. Tyto příběhy jsou tak rozšířené, opakují se v dobře publikovaných a dobře recenzovaných knihách, že je miliony lidí považují za zdokumentované. Psaní u příležitosti vydání Seymoura Hershe *The Dark Side of Camelot*, DiEugenio odhalil jejich podvodnou povahu a jejich skutečnou motivaci: posedlost

„udusit jakékoli dědictví, které by mohlo přetrvat“, protože „atentát je marný, pokud myšlenky člověka žijí prostřednictvím druhých“. Tento proud pomluvy začal v 70. letech jako protipalba na církevní výbor a sněmovní užší výbor pro atentáty (HSCA) a zesílil v 90. letech po ARRB. Nikdy to nevyschlo. Atentát na postavy je pouze jednou částí propagandy rozpoutané proti odkazu Kennedyho. Další část spočívala ve zkreslení historických záznamů Kennedyho prezidentství a zejména radikálních, ale krátkodobých inovací jeho zahraniční politiky. DiEugenio píše v „Dodd a Dulles vs. Kennedy v Africe“ (1999, upraveno 2016):

jasnou strategií těch, kteří chtějí udusit jakékoli hledání pravdy o atentátu na prezidenta Kennedyho, je překroutit a popírat jeho úspěchy v úřadu. Hersh a jemu podobní se snažili překroutit, kdo Kennedy skutečně byl, kam šel, jaký by byl svět, kdyby žil, a koho a co představoval.

DiEugenio poskytlo bystré odpovědi na tyto otázky. Absolvent oboru Současné americké dějiny je pravděpodobně nejlepším historikem Kennedyho mezi kritiky Warrenovy komise a jeho práce otevřela cestu dalším revizionistickým historikům, jako je Monika Wiesak, autorka nedávného a vynikajícího (recenzi DiEugenia čtete [zde](#)). Podle DiEugenia došlo kromě utajování Kennedyho smrti k „utajování Kennedyho zahraniční politiky“. [2]takže ani kritici pohádky Warren Commission z velké části nedokázali pochopit celý rozsah Kennedyho změn oproti zahraniční politice jeho předchůdců – ovládané bratry Dullesovými; "Pouze pronásledováním Vietnamu a Kuby, zanedbáváním všeho ostatního, jsme přišli o větší obrázek." [3]Větší obrázek nakreslený DiEugeniem zahrnuje Kongo, Indonésii, Laos a Střední východ. Nejdůležitější články DiEugenio na tato témata jsou:

Tři učenci, kteří nejvíce přispěli k tomu, aby DiEugenio pochopil jedinečnost Kennedyho zahraniční politiky a se kterými jsou ve filmu JFK: Destinies Betrayed rozhovory, patří:

Kennedyho strategie míru

Ačkoli chválí Jamese Douglassa *JFK and the Unspeakable* (2008), DiEugenio odmítá jeho mýtické zobrazení JFK jako studeného válečníka přeměněného na mírotvorbu během kubánské raketové krize v roce 1962. Navzdory opačnému dojmu, který udělal během svých televizních debat s Nixonem v roce 1960, Kennedy nikdy nebyl studeným válečníkem. Sbírka výroků publikovaná pod názvem *Strategie míru* pro jeho prezidentskou kampaň to dokazuje.

DiEugenio sleduje Kennedyho obecné myšlenky o zahraniční politice zpět do roku 1951, kdy Kennedy cestoval po Středním východě a Asii. Jeho setkání v Saigonu s Edmundem Gullionem, kterého později přivedl do svého kabinetu, ho přesvědčilo, že poslat americké jednotky do Indočíny byla vážná chyba. [5]Nikdy by na tuto otázku nezměnil názor. [6]

V roce 1957 Kennedy formuloval radikální – podle amerického standardu – zahraniční politiku pro arabský svět, kterou nastínil v projevu na půdě Senátu odsuzujícím francouzskou koloniální okupaci Alžírsko:

V těchto dnech můžeme pomoci naplnit velkou a slibnou příležitost ukázat světu, že nový národ s arabským dědictvím se může prosadit v západní tradici a úspěšně odolat jak tahu k arabskému feudalismu a fanatismu, tak tahu ke komunistickému autoritářství.

Na rozdíl od svých předchůdců Trumana a Eisenhowera a navzdory doktríně, která převládala v CIA, Pentagonu a na ministerstvu zahraničí, Kennedy přijal a uvítal multipolární svět, jediný způsob, jak podle jeho názoru překonat nebezpečnou bipolarizaci. studená válka. Kdyby uspěl, proměnil by USA v něco úplně jiného, než čím se od druhé světové války začínaly stávat, a od té doby, co zemřel, se plně staly: imperiálním tyranem, obávaným, ale nenáviděným po celém světě. V "Deconstructing JFK: A Coup d'État over Foreign Policy?" DiEugenio tvrdí, že:

[Kennedyho] projevy, korespondence a setkání na vysoké úrovni s nastupujícími vůdci Třetího světa odhalují jeho rostoucí antipatii ke kolonialismu, odmítání imperialismu, toleranci k nezúčastněnému hnutí – což výrazně kontrastuje s jeho předchůdcem – a prosazování nacionalistických vůdců, i když takových byli považováni za „zodpovědné“ ve svém moderování.

První obrat v zahraniční politice, který Kennedy udělal, když byl v úřadu, byl v Kongu. Patrice Lumumba, první demokraticky zvolený vůdce Konga, byl zabit tři dny před Kennedyho inaugurací, obětí převratu podporovaného CIA. Snímek Jacquese Lowe, jak JFK dostal zprávu o Lumumbově smrti 13. února, je pro DiEugenia obrazem, který nejlépe symbolizuje Kennedyho osobní závazek podporovat národní nezávislost zemí třetího světa a utrpení jeho boje proti mašinérii vražd CIA. a změna režimu. Poté, co byl zabit (

pravděpodobně zavražděn) generální tajemník OSN Dag Hammarskjöld) při letecké havárii v září 1961 Kennedy pokračoval ve své kampani za svobodné a nezávislé Kongo. Lyndon Johnson zničil tento první pokus o demokracii v postkoloniální Africe a podpořil Josefa Mobutua, který se proměnil ve zkorumpovaného diktátora a nechal svou zemi využít vnější imperiální zájmy.



Kennedy odmítl mentalitu zahraničněpolitického establishmentu „s námi, nebo proti nám“, což demonstrovala i jeho podpora indonéského nacionalistického vůdce Sukarna, který spoluzaložil Hnutí nezáúčastněných. V roce 1958 Eisenhower schválil pokus CIA o svržení Sukarna, ale když Kennedy nastoupil do úřadu, tuto politiku obrátil a pomohl Sukarnovi stabilizovat jeho zemi. Méně než rok po Kennedyho smrti plánovala CIA opět tajnou akci proti Sukarnovi, která vedla k zabití nejméně 500 000 lidí podezřelých z komunistických sympatií. Sukarno byl umístěn do domácího vězení a Suharto podporovaný CIA vládl tři desetiletí a ze svých lidí udělal dělníky s nízkou mzdou pro zahraniční společnosti.

A pak je tu samozřejmě Kuba a Vietnam. Příběh Kennedyho odporu vůči Pentagonu a tlaku CIA na vojenskou konfrontaci a eskalaci v těchto zemích byl vyprávěn mnohokrát – nejvýmluvněji Jamesem Douglassem –, takže ho nemusím vyprávět znovu. Autoři dominantní školy výzkumu atentátů na JFK – včetně těch, s nimiž se hovořilo v Stoneově dokumentu – předpokládají, že Kuba a Vietnam jsou v tomto pořadí nejdůležitějšími důvody, proč byl Kennedy zabit. DiEugenio souhlasí, ale přináší větší spektrum motivů.

Střední východ

DiEugenio píše v „Nasser, Kennedy, Střední východ a Izrael“ :

Kritická komunita po celá desetiletí přehlížela oblasti Kennedyho zahraniční politiky mimo Vietnam a Kubu. Kennedy a King se v posledních letech pokusil toto nedopatření napravit. Snažili jsme se naše čtenáře vzdělávat v otázkách, jako je Kennedyho politika v Kongu, Indonésii, Dominikánské republice a Laosu. Také jsme se pokusili ukázat, jak se po jeho vraždě tato politika – stejně jako jeho politika vůči Vietnamu a jeho pokusy o narovnání s Moskvou a Havanou – také změnila.

Ale je tu ještě další oblast světa, kde je Kennedyho reformní zahraniční politika přehlížena. Tou oblastí je Blízký východ. To je zvláštní, protože mnozí komentátoři oprávněně vnímají, že Blízký východ je jednou z nejdůležitějších oblastí na světě.

Ve svém „Úvodu do zahraniční politiky JFK: Motiv vraždy“ píše :

Proč je dnes případ JFK aktuální? No, protože nepořádek na Blízkém východě nyní dominuje jak naší zahraniční politice, tak titulům, stejně jako před několika desetiletími studená válka. A kořeny současné situace leží v Kennedyho smrti, načež prezident Johnson zahájil dlouhý proces, který zvrátil tamní politiku jeho předchůdce.

Jinými slovy, Blízký východ je oblastí světa, kde Kennedyho zahraniční politika a Johnsonův obrat v této zahraniční politice měly nejdramatičtější a nejtrvalejší následky. V sázce bylo zapojení Ameriky do konfliktu mezi Izraelem a arabským světem, a to v podstatě znamenalo mezi Ben-Gurionem a Násirem.

DiEugenio tedy uznává, že: 1. LBJ zcela obrátila zahraniční politiku JFK a 2. nejzávažnější zvrat nastal na Blízkém východě, k dlouhodobému prospěchu Izraele a ke škodě Egypta. Přesto neukazuje na Johnsona nebo Ben-Guriona, ale na Allena Dullese jako na nejpravděpodobnějšího viníka puče v Dallasu.

Dokumentuje nějaké důkazy, že Allen Dulles měl zájem na změně spojení z Egypta do Izraele? V žádném případě. Je pravda, že východní establishment obecně upřednostňoval Saúdskou Arábii před Egyptem, ale není to tak, že by chtěl bližší vztah s Izraelem. Na Johnsonově proizraelské politice je tedy jedinečné to, že nešlo o návrat k předkennedyovské politice, ale o něco zcela nového. Byl to radikální zlom od všech předchozích administrativ.



Kuba a Vietnam

Hlavní zájem Allena Dullese o zahraniční politiku v 60. letech se týkal Kubu. Jeho prioritou bylo zavraždění Castra a/nebo invaze na Kubu s cílem obnovit americký koloniální režim. Stejně jako většina vyšetřovatelů JFK se DiEugenio domnívá, že Kennedy tak rozzlobil CIA, a zvláště Dullese, když nesouhlasil s jejich plánem invaze na Kubu – ne jednou, ale dvakrát, poprvé při přistání v Zátocě sviní v roce 1961. a zadruhé během kubánské raketové krize v roce 1962 – kdy se Dullesův gang rozhodl jej zavraždit. Ale hádejte co: LBJ nenapadl ani Kubu. Neposkytl jestřábům CIA a Pentagonu odvetnou invazi na Kubu, kterou mu jejich plán měl vnutit. Ani se o to nepokusil.

To je hlavní slabina té semi-mainstreamové teorie, ke které se DiEugenio hlásí a na jejímž sepsání se podílel. Tato slabost je částečně kompenzována sekundárním zaměřením na Vietnam. Je pravda, že ve Vietnamu dal Johnson státu národní bezpečnosti to, co chtěl, a další. Jak napsal autor Peter Dale Scott, Johnson „byl od roku 1961 spojencem náčelníků (a zejména generála letectva Curtise LeMaye) v jejich neutuchajícím úsilí, navzdory Kennedyho opakovanému odmítání, zavést americké bojové jednotky do Asie. Přesto tato prezentace ignoruje jeden aspekt celého příběhu.

Nejsilnější tlak na vyslání pozemních jednotek do Vietnamu přišel od Walta Rostowa („největšího studeného válečníka, jakého mám,“ řekl Kennedy). Jako náměstek poradce pro národní bezpečnost McGeorge Bundyho za Kennedyho Rostow už hodně zatěžoval Kennedyho rozhodnutí vyslat do Vietnamu vojenské „poradce“. Kennedyho však jeho bojovné rady („Walt měl deset nápadů, z nichž devět by vedlo ke katastrofě“) už unavovaly.[13] Walt Rostow byl Johnsonem povýšen jako poradce pro národní bezpečnost a v novém prezidentovi našel více nadšení pro své válečné plány. Rostow byl hlavním propagátorem lži, že Johnsonova vietnamská politika byla pokračováním Kennedyho.[14]

Johnson jmenoval Waltova bratra Eugena náměstkem ministra zahraničí, „jmenovaného právě proto, aby podporoval nadcházející izraelskou válku“ podle Joan Mellenové. Walt a Eugene Rostowovi, synové židovských přistěhovalců, měli nad izraelskou politikou USA velkou kontrolu. 8. června 1967, v den izraelského útoku na USS Liberty, Walt doporučil Johnsonovi, aby si Izrael mohl ponechat dobytá území.

Proč bratři Rostowové chtěli válku ve Vietnamu? V „Byl Vietnam holocaustem pro Sion“ jsem vysvětlil, proč byla vietnamská válka pro Izrael dobrá – dokonce klíčová. Ale neberte mě za slovo. Zde je to, co řekl francouzský prezident Charles De Gaulle během své tiskové konference 27. listopadu 1967:

Bez tragédie Vietnamu by se konflikt mezi Izraelem a Araby nestal tím, čím se stal. A pokud by jihovýchodní Asie mohla zažít obnovení míru, Střední východ by také našel svou cestu k míru v klimatu uvolnění, které by po takové události následovalo.

Nenaznačuji, že posun v politice vůči Vietnamu mezi Kennedym a Johnsonem nepodporuje teorii, že CIA a Pentagon zabily Kennedyho. To ano. Pouze poukazují na to, že členové Johnsonova proizraelského kabinetu byli přinejmenším stejně vlivní jako Dulles a LeMay v Johnsonově obrácení Kennedyho rozhodnutí stáhnout se z Vietnamu, což je také v souladu s teorií, že hlavním hybatelem byl Izrael.

Dimona

James Douglass ve svém díle *JFK and the Unspeakable* zdokumentoval hluboký závazek JFK zabránit šíření jaderných zbraní a dokonce zrušit zbraně hromadného ničení „než nás zruší“ (Kennedyho projev na Valném shromáždění OSN, 25. září 1961). Ale Douglass se nezmiňuje o hořké konfrontaci JFK s Ben-Gurionem a Eshkolem právě v této věci. Douglass tak dokázal, že historická škola, jejímž nositelem standardu se stal, je zapojena do zastírání. Abych byl velkorysý, připisuji to případu „kognitivní inhibice“. Představuji si, že to funguje asi takto: „Moje práce – tedy pravda – je příliš důležitá na to, abych riskoval, že bude cenzurována tím, že řeknu něco špatného o Izraeli.“ Osobně se raději držím zásady Petera Janneyho, že „pravda nebere žádné vězně“.

DiEugenio se nevyhýbá příběhu Dimona. Jeho web odkazuje na dva články Avnera Cohena, autora *Izraele a bomby* (1998), a Williama Burra z Národního bezpečnostního archivu, doplněné odtajněnými dokumenty ([zde](#) a [zde](#)).[17] Sám DiEugenio píše o snaze Izraele získat jaderné zbraně v „Nasser, Kennedy, Blízký východ a Izrael“ (2020):

Ben Gurion a další izraelské vůdci byli tomuto cíli natolik oddáni, že se uchýlili ke dvěma nezákonným prostředkům, aby tento cíl zajistili. Za prvé – nejde to říct jinak – se zapojili do celovládního spiknutí s cílem oklamat Kennedyho ohledně skutečné povahy reaktoru Dimona.

Druhým způsobem Izraele, jak přejít k jaderné energii, byla krádež obohaceného uranu z USA:

Prostřednictvím [Rogera] Mattsona [autora knihy *Stealing the Atom Bomb*] a také autora Granta Smithe [autora knihy *Big Israel*] dnes víme, že Izrael ukradl stovky liber vysoce obohaceného uranu z toho, co bylo v podstatě jejich skořepinovou továrnou v Apollu, Pennsylvania, s názvem NUMEC.[18]

Stone a DiEugenio ve svém filmu zmiňují první z těchto izraelských podvodů (pouze dlouhá verze, epizoda 3, 40:50). Po krátkém připomenutí Kennedyho rozhodnutí podpořit rezoluci OSN o návratu palestinských uprchlíků nám bylo řečeno:

Dalším problémem, kterému Kennedy čelil s Izraelem, byla stavba atomového reaktoru v Dimoně. JFK byl důrazně proti jakémukoli šíření jaderných zbraní. Premiér David Ben-Gurion ho ujistil, že Dimona byla navržena pro mírové využití atomové energie. Na jaře 1963 Kennedy požadoval plnou inspekci reaktoru Dimona ze strany USA a pohrozil, že pokud nebude dosaženo dohody, umístí americkou pomoc Izraeli do limba. A v době jeho zavraždění probíhala jednání o dvouletých kontrolách.

To je lepší než nic. Ale protože tento příběh je pouze vedlejší k tezi obhajované Stoneem a DiEugeniem, zdá se, že byl zahrnut pouze proto, aby byli autoři imunní vůči vině, že ji zakrývají, což si Douglass zaslouží.

Zajímavé je, že právě Stone toto téma nastoluje v tomto rozhovoru s kanadskou novinářkou Éloïse Boies. Ve 34:20 DiEugenio uvádí, že „nikdo nebyl proti šíření jaderných zbraní více než John F. Kennedy. Bylo to pro něj opravdu velmi důležité téma.“ V tomto okamžiku Stone promluví:

Ujal se Izraele. Zaútočil na Ben-Guriona v Izraeli, protože stavěli bombu, kterou nám ukradli. A opravdu to chtěl zastavit, ale bohužel předtím zemřel a Johnson to prošel, věděl o tom a nechal to být, dokud Izrael neměl bombu v roce 1968. A dokonce i tehdy, v roce 68, Johnson zavřel Pentagon nahoru. Řekl: „Nebudeme to oznamovat. Americký lid nebude vědět, že Izrael má bombu.“


Všimněte si Éloïseiny reakce: „Promluvme si o [něčem jiném].“ Jde o to, že pro Stonea a DiEugenia se zdá, že Dimona je neoficiální a sotva relevantní pro vyřešení případu. Na konci (od 50:27) na otázku „Kdo to udělal a proč?“ drží se závěru, že hlavním mozkiem byl Allen Dulles, možná i Curtis LeMay. Ale dodatečně dodávají, že Dulles je pouze „kat“ a „dostane OK od někoho jiného. ... Víte, kdo to jsou: lidé s penězi“ ...

jako „David Rockefeller“. Éloïse to pochopila: "Na konci dne je to všechno o penězích." Stává se to naprosto směšné. Když vaše teorie imploduje pod svou vlastní prázdnotou, je čas na změnu. Ale jak říká Stone „jakmile jsou uzamčeni, je pro historiky velmi těžké vrátit se zpět“ (19:10). Mohlo by se mi zdát nespravedlivé poukazovat spíše na rozhovor než na film samotný. Ale hodnota tohoto rozhovoru je právě v odhalování logických omylů a zmatků, které ve filmu nejsou patrné.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/inosPfYo8rY>

Johnson



Ve stejném rozhovoru (od 40:30) Stone říká: "Nemyslím si, že Johnson byl zapojen do vraždy." DiEugenio dodává: „Johnson propadl příběhu CIA přicházející z Mexico City“ (Oswaldův imitátor navštěvující v říjnu 1963 sovětské i kubánské velvyslanectví v Mexiku). Ale pak DiEugenio zmíní, že Edgar Hoover řekl Johnsonovi, že mexický příběh je nemožný, protože ani hlas, ani fotografie poskytnuté mexickou stanicí CIA nezapadaly do skutečného Oswalda. Takže nyní „vyvstává otázka: opravdu tomu Johnson věřil? Tohle začíná být matoucí. Zdá se, že DiEugenio se nemůže rozhodnout, zda Johnson věřil Oswaldově komunistické legendě nebo ne.

Ale DiEugeniovo dilema k tomu nemá důvod. Neboť nejen Johnson věděl, že komunista Oswald je falešný; byl to on, kdo použil toto falešné komunistické spojení k zablokování všech vyšetřování. DiEugenio je obdivovatelem díla profesora Johna M. Newmana, jehož knihy recenzoval ([zde](#) , [zde](#) , [zde](#) a [zde](#)), a s nímž pro film udělal rozhovor. Jeden Newmanův příspěvek, představený ve vydání jeho knihy *Oswald a CIA* z roku 2008 a zopakovaný v prvních třech dílech jeho série *Atentát na prezidenta Kennedyho* , je podle jeho vlastních slov:

Základním prvkem zápletky byla psychologická operace, která měla vzbudit přízrak 3. světové války a smrt čtyřiceti milionů Američanů. Tuto hrozbu jaderného holocaustu pak použil prezident Johnson k vyděšení hlavního soudce Earla Warrena a některých dalších mužů, kteří sloužili ve Warrenově komisi, do té míry, že věřili, že neexistuje žádná alternativa k sepsání zprávy, která uvádí, že Lee Oswald sám zavraždil prezidenta.

Podle této teorie, kterou v této [recenzi](#) podpořil DiEugenio ,^[20]Oswaldův profil jako komunistického procastrovského aktivisty byl do plánu zabudován (nikým jiným než Jamesem Jesusem Angletonem), nikoli za účelem zahájení 3. světové války, ale jako záminka pro národní bezpečnost, kterou mohl Johnson použít k prosazení teorie osamělých oříšků, aby nás odhalení spiknutí „nekoplo do války, která může zabít čtyřicet milionů Američanů za hodinu“, jak Johnson neustále opakoval.^[21]Jedním z důležitých důsledků je, že „mnoho lží a zastírání po atentátu bylo provedeno lidmi, kteří neměli nic společného s již existujícím plánem zavraždit prezidenta“ a kteří si „mysleli, že to, co dělali, bylo v nejlepší zájmy země.“^[22]Týká se to

tisíců lidí od dallaské policie po televizní síť. Může se to ale týkat samotného Johnsona? Vzhledem k tomu, že Johnson rychle a efektivně zvládl toto zařízení, je mnohem pravděpodobnější, že jej vyrobil Angleton speciálně pro Johnsona a s jeho předpovědí.

Přesto DiEugenio a další autoři na jeho stránkách odmítají vyšetřovatele, kteří obviňují Johnsona, a zejména Phillipa Nelsona, autora *LBJ: The Mastermind of JFK's Assassination*. Taková velká kniha (730 stran) musí obsahovat slabé argumenty, ale recenze na KennedysandKing.com ([zde](#) a [zde](#)) neodpovídají silným důkazům nashromážděným Nelsonem, že Johnson se aktivně zapojil, a to nejen v krytí, ale při přípravě přepadení v Dallasu.[23](Přečtěte si Nelsonovu odpověď na KennedysandKing.com [zde](#)).

DiEugenio souhlasí s Douglassem, že Johnson si nebyl vědom spiknutí proti jeho prezidentovi, ale „rozhodl se vše zakrýt a vzdát se výsadám studené války“.[24] Předpokládá, že Johnson byl člověk, který neměl jasnou představu o své vlastní zahraniční politice a rád si nechal říkat, co má dělat. To je v rozporu se vším, co se dozvídáme od Johnsonových životopisců – zejména [Roberta Cara](#) .

Z mého pohledu, který se liší od pohledu Nelsona, nelze Johnsonovu roli při atentátu chápat nezávisle na izraelské – stejně jako [Angletonovu roli](#) . Johnson povolil a pravděpodobně plánoval izraelský útok na USS Liberty v roce 1967 a omluvil Izrael, když operace selhala („Johnson nepřerušil vztahy s Izraelem a kvůli tomuto zvěrstvu neproběhly žádné procesy,“ poznamenává DiEugenio). Nejen to, ale jak píše DiEugenio v „[Nasser, Kennedy, Střední východ a Izrael](#)“ :

Jak poznamenává Roger Mattson ve své knize na toto téma, když CIA upozornila nového prezidenta, že se zdá, že Izrael nyní vyvinul atomovou bombu, Johnson sotva zareagoval. (Mattson, str. 97) Nebylo zahájeno žádné oficiální vyšetřování. Ve skutečnosti Johnson řekl CIA, aby na objev neupozorňovala ani stát, ani obranu.

Za tyto dva činy se Johnson kvalifikuje jako zrádce země, které přísahal sloužit. Pokud Johnson pro někoho pracoval, nebylo to pro „východní establishment“, jehož součástí nikdy nebyl; bylo to pro Izrael. Johnson byl iniciátorem proizraelské politiky, kterou by si Truman, Eisenhower, bratři Dullesové nebo sbor náčelníků štábů pod vedením Kennedyho nikdy nepředstavili ani v nejhorší noční můře. Dnes je všeobecně známo, že Johnson je americký prezident, který „[pevně nasměroval americkou politiku proizraelským směrem](#)“.

Závěr

Na závěr shledávám několik logických nedostatků v DiEugeniově obecné teorii, která je základem pro Stoneův dokument:

1. DiEugenio si uvědomuje, že změna zahraniční politiky z JFK na LBJ byla nejdůležitější na Blízkém východě, přesto obviňuje CIA a Pentagon (Dulles a LeMay) z atentátu, ačkoli ani CIA, ani Pentagon nikdy neobhajovaly proizraelské politika, kterou Johnson nastavil. Johnsonova bezprecedentní podpora Izraele až do zraedy byla v rozporu s přístupem obhajovaným CIA, Pentagonem nebo ministerstvem zahraničí. Ale byla to ta nejlepší zahraniční politika, o jaké mohl Ben-Gurion snít.
2. Podle DiEugenia a dominantní školy by hlavním motivem CIA pro odstranění Kennedyho bylo obnovení jejich oblíbené zahraniční politiky vůči Kubě, proti které se Kennedy tvrdošíjně stavěl. To se ale po atentátu nestalo. Johnson dodržel Kennedyho slib vůči Chruščovovi, že nenapadne Kubu, což Dulles a LeMay považovali za čistou zradu.
3. DiEugenio souhlasí s tím, že Kennedy se intenzivně obával šíření jaderných zbraní a že Izrael pro něj představoval nejtěžší problém. Ví také, že Johnson neudělal nic, aby zabránil Izraeli přejít k jaderné elektrárně, a neprojevil ani překvapení, ani nelibost, když mu bylo řečeno, že Izrael vyrobil svou první jadernou bombu v roce 1968, přičemž uran v kvalitě pro bomby ukradený z USA Johnson se to snažil udržet v tajnosti – což zjevně bylo to, co Izrael chtěl. Přesto DiEugenio nevidí Dimonu jako motiv atentátu a nenachází důvod podezírat Izrael nebo Johnsona.
4. DiEugenio věří, že atentát na JFK byl „státním převratem kvůli zahraniční politice“, a [souhlasím](#) , že je to jediný způsob, jak tomu dát smysl. Ale účelem státního převratu je nahradit jednu hlavu státu druhou. Proto je v rozporu, aby DiEugenio minimalizoval Johnsonovu roli a motiv při atentátu.

Ve skutečnosti si myslím, že DiEugeniovův pojem „zakrývání zahraniční politiky JFK“ je třeba kvalifikovat. Ne všechny oblasti Kennedyho zahraniční politiky jsou stejně pokryty. Tři učitelé DiEugenio – Richard Mahoney, Philip Muehlenbeck a Robert Rakove – jsou vydáváni nakladatelstvími Oxford University Press a Cambridge University Press: ne zrovna okrajovými vydavateli. Rakove a Muehlenbeck jsou dokonce zahrnuti v bibliografii článku Wikipedie o „Zahraniční politice administrativy Johna F. Kennedyho“ (stejně jako James Douglass a John M. Newman). Tento článek na Wikipedii je docela přesný a podrobný, s jednou výjimkou pro sekcí o „Izraelu a arabských státech“ – skvělá práce hasbara, pravděpodobně od Bennetta Naftaliho armády sionistických editorů Wikipedie. Podívejte se sami:

Israel and Arab states [edit]

Kennedy firmly believed in the U.S. commitment to [Israeli](#) security, but his Middle Eastern policy saw ambitious [Pan-Arabic](#) initiatives of [Gamal Abdel Nasser](#). In 1960, Kennedy stated: "Israel will endure and flourish. It is the child of hope and the home of the brave. It can neither be broken by adversity nor demoralized by success. It carries the shield of democracy and it honors the sword of freedom".^[49]

Subsequently, as president, Kennedy initiated the creation of security ties with Israel, and he is credited as the founder of the US-Israeli military alliance (which would be continued under subsequent presidents). Kennedy ended the arms embargo that both the Eisenhower and Truman administrations had enforced on Israel. Describing the protection of Israel as a moral and national commitment, he was the first to introduce the concept of a 'special relationship' (as he described it to [Golda Meir](#)) between the US and Israel.^[50]

Kennedy extended the first informal security guarantees to Israel in 1962.^[51] Beginning in 1963, Kennedy allowed the sale to Israel of advanced US weaponry (the [MIM-23 Hawk](#)), as well as to provide diplomatic support for Israeli policies which were opposed by Arab neighbours, such as its water project on the Jordan River.^[51]

In summer 1960, the U.S. embassy in [Tel Aviv](#) learned that Israel was assisted by France in the construction of what U.S. intelligence called "a significant atomic installation" in [Dimona](#).^[52] Even though [David Ben-Gurion](#) had publicly assured the United States that Israel did not plan to develop nuclear weapons, Kennedy tried unsuccessfully to persuade Israel to permit some qualified expert (either American or from some other friendly nation) to visit Dimona. According to [Seymour Hersh](#), the inspections were conducted in such a way that it "guaranteed that the whole procedure would be little more than a whitewash, as the president and his senior advisors had to understand: the American inspection team would have to schedule its visits well in advance, and with the full acquiescence of Israel."^[53] [Marc Trachtenberg](#) argued: "Although well aware of what the Israelis were doing, Kennedy chose to take this as satisfactory evidence of Israeli compliance with America's non-proliferation policy."^[54] The American who led the inspection team stated that the essential goal of the inspections was to find "ways to not reach the point of taking action against Israel's nuclear weapons program."^[55]

In 1962, the United States sent the [MIM-23 Hawk](#) missiles to Israel. Nonetheless, Kennedy wished to work more closely with the modernizing forces of the [Arab world](#). In June 1962, Nasser wrote Kennedy a letter, noting that even though Egypt and the United States had differences, they could still cooperate.

Skutečné „zakrývání zahraniční politiky JFK“ je zastíráním izraelské politiky JFK. Podle DiEugeniovy vlastní logiky to ukazuje směrem, kterým se DiEugenio neřívá.


Vzhledem k tomu, že DiEugenio vidí souvislost mezi Kennedyho atentátem a jeho „posmrtným atentátem“, navrhuji také, aby získal vodítko o Kennedyho vrazech pohledem na politický profil Kennedyho „posmrtných vrahů“. Na seznamu jsou vedle Seymoura Hershe i autoři, kteří se specializují na trashing rodiny Kennedyů, jako Ronald Kessler (*The Sins of the Father* , 1997), Edward Klein (*The Kennedy Curse* , 2004) nebo nesrovnatelný C. David Heymann, Zaměstnanec Mossadu (podle jeho vlastního příznání)který napsal chlípný *Bobby a Jackie: Love Story* (2009). Je zde nějaký vzor?

A co Howard Zinn, Gar Alperovitz, Martin Peretz a Noam Chomsky, které DiEugenio viní v „[Left and the Death of Kennedy](#)“ (1997) za jejich obhajobu zprávy Warrenovy komise a jejich účast na orgiích bití Kennedyho. Chomsky, kterého DiEugenio považuje za nejohavnějšího lháře, pokud jde o prezidentství Kennedyho nebo jeho zavraždění ([zde](#) a [zde](#)), nemá nic společného s Allenem Dullesem nebo Curtisem LeMayem. Je antiimperialista a jako takový by měl z Kennedyho udělat svého hrdinu, svou ikonu. Chomsky má ale jiný program: jednou z jeho specializací je obviňovat Ameriku ze zločinů Izraele. Pokud jde o Martina Peretze, DiEugenio píše, že jeho Nová republika pohřbila Kennedyho smrt v roce 1979 a poté „se pokusila pohřbít jeho život“.

Vlastně to udělalo celovečerní článek z recenze nevkusného rodinného životopisu Horowitz-Collierových *The Kennedys* . Kdo považoval tuto publikaci za vhodnou k recenzi této verze Kennedyho klanu *National Enquirer* ? Nikdo jiný než Midge Decter, manželka neokonzervativního kmotra Normana Podhoretze, tchyně Elliota Abramse.

Podhoretzové nejsou východní establishment, ale nenávidí Kennedyovy. Jejich nenávisť je [transgenerační](#) a neuhasitelná.

Pokud o tom pochybujete, přečtěte [si níže uvedený článek](#) , který napsal Normanův syn týden po tragické smrti Johna F. Kennedyho Jr. Autor si představuje Satana – nebo je to Jahve? – škádlí Joe Kennedyho v pekle a chlubí se, že zabil svého vnuka —obzvláště ohavná verze „Kennedyho kletby“.



NEW YORK POST

A CONVERSATION IN HELL

By [John Podhoretz](#)



July 21, 1999 | 4:00am

“You got everything you wanted. But when I make a deal for a soul like yours, I need to season it before I’m ready to put it in the infernal oven.”

JOE! Joe Kennedy! Come on in. Nice to see you. Are you enjoying the air conditioning? I know it gets pretty hot out there.

Where are you these days, in the eighth circle or the ninth? Kind of a tough choice where you’re concerned. After all, the eighth circle is for the fraudulent and the ninth for the treacherous. You sure were fraudulent when you had Mayor Daley fix the 1960 presidential election for your son Jack, weren’t you? And you were pretty treacherous most of your life, what with your compulsive philandering and double-dealing.

But listen, that’s what I love about you. I can’t tell you how it filled me with pride just to know you back when you were America’s ambassador to England, saying all those nice things about Hitler, doing everything you could to prevent Jewish emigration from Nazi Germany. Thousands of Jews died because of you. That was quite a demonic performance!

Možná by se měl DiEugenio vážněji zamyslet nad tím, „kdo“ a „proč“ Kennedyho „posmrtného zavraždění“. Ale to by ho zavedlo na méně ujetou cestu, nebezpečnou cestu – někteří říkají, že sebevražděná.

Kupodivu se však zdá, že mnoho dalších dobře prošlapaných cest se nyní sbíhá na izraelské stezce:

- Jefferson Morley, vyšetřující Angleton, ho viděl ve spojení se smetánkou Mossadu, která ho považovala za „největšího sionistu všech dob“, zatímco Robert Amory, šéf ředitelství zpravodajských služeb CIA, ho nazval „kooptovaným izraelským agentem“ . „ do jeho tváře.
- David Talbot dospívá k závěru, že RFK byl zavražděn stejnou kabalou jako jeho bratr, který nyní použil k panictví antisionistického Palestince, čímž prezentuje atentát RFK jako motivovaný „viscerální, iracionální nenávisť k Izraeli“ (ale Talbot nevidí žádný izraelský otisk prstu tam – další případ kognitivní inhibice).
- Nikdo, kdo vyšetřuje Jacoba Rubensteina, známého jako Jack Ruby , nyní nemůže ignorovat jeho práci pro Irgun jako „gangstera pro Sion“ a jeho opakovaná prohlášení, že „udělal jsem to pro Židy“.
- Clay Shaw, jediná osoba (vedle Oswaldy), která byla obviněna z účasti na atentátu, byl shledán členem představenstva Permindexu, „podniku Mossadu, který obchoduje se zbraněmi a praní špinavých peněz“, kterému předsedá Louis Bloomfield, oddaný zastávce Izraelská věc a Mossad, jak ukázal Michael Collins Piper.
- Říká se, že Arlen „Magic Bullet“ Spectre byl oddaným izraelským prvenstvím, izraelská vláda ho ocenila jako „neochvějného obránce židovského státu“ a AIPAC jako „předního architekta kongresového pouta mezi naší zemí. a Izrael“.
- Nelze ignorovat, že Abraham Zapruder, muž, jehož kamera se nezachvěla, když Kennedyho hlava explodovala, měl svou obchodní kancelář v jednom z hnízd odstřelovačů, v Dal Tex Building s výhledem na Dealey Plaza, vlastněné B'nai B' Rith finančník David Weisblat.
- Vyšetřovatelé, kteří se zajímají o George DeMohrenschildta, se nemohou nedozvědět, že než byl nalezen mrtvý s kulkou v hlavě, stěžoval si, že ho „židovská mafie“ chce dostat.[32]

A samozřejmě musíme do rovnice přidat izraelský trestní rejstřík za posledních šedesát let. Díky Ronenu Bergmanovi, autorovi knihy Rise and Kill First: The Secret History of Israel's Targeted Assassinations , víme, že izraelské tajné služby nikdy neměly žádné zábrany proti eliminaci kohokoli, kdo by byl vnímán jako hrozba pro izraelskou národní bezpečnost, zejména pokud jde o izraelské jaderné zbraně. hegemonie na Blízkém východě. Bergman se poučil od samotných vrahů, protože, jak píše, „skutky, které by se lidé v jiných zemích mohli stydět přiznat, jsou pro Izraelce místo toho zdrojem hrdosti“.

Nyní víme mnohem více, než mohli Stone a DiEugenio vědět, když se poprvé zapojili do výzkumu atentátu na Kennedyho. Ale ti, kteří tehdy chápali sílu Izraele, už měli tušení. V březnu 1992, když kriticky komentoval Stoneův film JFK, americký kongresman Paul Findley ve Washingtonské zprávě o záležitostech Blízkého východu poznamenal :

Je zajímavé – ale nikoli překvapivé – poznamenat, že ve všech slovech napsaných a vyslovených o atentátu na Kennedyho nebyla nikdy zmíněna izraelská zpravodajská služba Mossad. ... v této otázce, stejně jako v téměř všech ostatních, se američtí reportéři a komentátoři nemohou přimět k tomu, aby vrhli Izrael do nepříznivého světla – navzdory zřejmému faktu, že spoluúčast Mossadu je stejně pravděpodobná jako kterákoli z jiných teorií.

O tři roky později zaplnil Mike Piper mezeru s Final Judgment: The Missing Link in the JFK Assassination Conspiracy (do roku 2005 rozšířeno v pěti edicích). Jeho práci většina vyšetřovatelů ignorovala, ale v roce 2013 se o ní historik Martin Sandler (poslechněte si ho zde) zmínil ve svém cenném vydání The Letters of John F. Kennedy , aby představil Kennedyho dopis Davidu Ben-Gurionovi z 18. května, 1963:

autor Michael Collins Piper ve skutečnosti obvinil Izrael ze zločinu. Ze všech konspiračních teorií zůstává jednou z nejzajímavějších. Je nesporné, že ačkoli to bylo drženo mimo oči tisku i veřejnosti, rozvinul se ostrý spor mezi izraelským premiérem Davidem Ben-Gurionem, který věřil, že přežití jeho národa závisí na jeho jaderné schopnosti, a Kennedym. , který se tomu ostře bránil.

Ve svém předchozím dopise Kennedymu z 12. května Ben-Gurion Kennedyho ujistil, že Egypťané „chtějí následovat nacistický příklad“ a prosil: „Mr. Pane prezidente, moji lidé mají právo na existenci... a tato existence je v ohrožení.“[35]Učinil také bizarní odbočku o jordánském králi Husajnovi: „Vždy existuje

nebezpečí, že jediná kulka by mohla ukončit jeho život a režim.[36]

Poznámky

[Přihlaste se k odběru nových sloupců](#)

- [1] DiEugenio, „Dodd a Dulles vs. Kennedy v Africe“, 15. února 1999, naposledy upraveno 16. října 2016, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/dodd-and-dulles-vs-kennedy-v-Africe
- [2] „DiEugenio na semináři VMI“, 16. září 2017, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/jim-dieugenio-at-the-vmi-seminar
- [3] DiEugenio, „Úvod do zahraniční politiky JFK: Motiv k vraždě“, 22. prosince 2014, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/introduction-to-jfk-s-foreign-policy-a-motivem-vrazdy
- [4] „DiEugenio na semináři VMI, 16. září 2017, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/jim-dieugenio-at-the-vmi-seminar
- [5] James Norwood, „Edmund Gullion, JFK a utváření zahraniční politiky ve Vietnamu“, 8. května 2018, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/edmund-gullion-jfk-and-the-formování-zahraníční-politiky-ve-vietnamu
- [6] James Douglass, *JFK and the Unspeakable: Why He Die and Why It Matters*, Touchstone, 2008, str. 107, 102.
- [7] Citováno v „DiEugenio na semináři VMI“, 16. září 2017, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/jim-dieugenio-at-the-vmi-seminar
- [8] DiEugenio, „Deconstructing JFK: A Coup d'État over Foreign Policy?“ 14. ledna 2021, covertactionmagazine.com/2021/01/14/deconstructing-jfk-a-coup-detat-over-foreign-policy/
- [9] DiEugenio, „Deconstructing JFK: A Coup d'État over Foreign Policy?“ 14. ledna 2021, covertactionmagazine.com/2021/01/14/deconstructing-jfk-a-coup-detat-over-foreign-policy/
- [10] DiEugenio, „Nasser, Kennedy, Střední východ a Izrael“, 22. října 2020, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/nasser-kennedy-the-middle-east-and-israel
- [11] DiEugenio, „Úvod do zahraniční politiky JFK: Motiv k vraždě“, 22. prosince 2014, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/introduction-to-jfk-s-foreign-policy-a-motivem-vrazdy
- [12] Peter Dale Scott, *Deep Politics and the Death of JFK*, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1993, str. 30-33.
- [13] David Halberstam, *The Best and the Brightest*, Random House, 1972, s. 156-162.
- [14] John K. Galbraith, „Strategie odchodu – V roce 1963 nařídil JFK úplné stažení z Vietnamu“, říjen/listopad 2003, www.bostonreview.net/articles/galbraith-exit-strategy-vietnam/
- [15] Joan Mellen, *Blood in the Water: How the US and Israel Conspired to Ambush the USS Liberty*, Prometheus, 2018, str. 32.
- [16] Film z tiskové konference De Gaulla na fresques.ina.fr/de-gaulle/fiche-media/Gaulle00139/conference-de-presse-du-27-novembre-1967 v 41 min.
- [17] Avner Cohen a William Burr, „Concerned About Nuclear Weapons Potential, John F. Kennedy pushed for Inspection of Israel Nuclear Facilities“, 21. dubna 2016, nsarchive.gwu.edu/briefing-book/nuclear-vault/2016-04-21/concerned-about-nuclear-weapons-potential-john-f-kennedy a „The Battle of the Letters, 1963: John F. Kennedy, David Ben-Gurion, Levi Eshkol, and the US Inspections of Dimona“, 2. května 2019, nsarchive.gwu.edu/briefing-book/nuclear-vault/2019-05-02/battle-letters-1963-john-f-kennedy-david-ben-gurion-levi-eshkol-us-inspections-dimona
- [18] DiEugenio, „Nasser, Kennedy, Střední východ a Izrael“, 22. října 2020, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/nasser-kennedy-the-middle-east-and-israel For více podrobností si přečtěte DiEugeniovu recenzi na knihu Rogera Mattsona *Stealing the Atom Bomb* (2016), „How Israel Stole the Bomb“, 11. září 2016, na consortiumnews.com/2016/09/11/how-israel-stole-the-bomba/ Přečtěte si také DiEugeniovu [recenzi Moniky Wiesakové, americké poslední prezidentky](#).
- [19] John Newman, *Where Angels Tread Lightly: The Assassination of President Kennedy, volume 1*, self-published, 2017, str. xx; opakován ve sv. 2, *Countdown to Darkness*, a ve sv. 3, *Do bouře*.

[20] DiEugeniova slova: « Ve svém novém epilogu pro toto vydání z roku 2008 Newman vysvětluje, proč jen někdo, kdo a.) rozuměl vnitřnímu fungování státu národní bezpečnosti, ab.) rozuměl a kontroloval Oswaldovy spisy, mohl vytvořit něco jako nadlidsky složité jako toto schéma. Jedna, ve které samotné spiknutí ve skutečnosti obsahovalo semena, která by vyklíčila zastírání » (DiEugenio, „John Newman, Oswald a CIA (re-issue)“, 1. září 2008, na www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-reviews/newman-john-oswald-and-the-cia-re-issue

[21] LBJ v rozhovoru se senátorem Richardem Russellem 29. listopadu 1963, citovaný v Douglass, *JFK and the Unspeakable*, op. cit., str. 83.

[22] John Newman, *Where Angels Tread Lightly*, op. cit., str. xx.

[23] Phillip Nelson, *LBJ: The Mastermind of JFK's Assassination*, XLibris, 2010, str. 377-378

[24] Douglass, *JFK and the Unspeakable*, op. cit., str. 81.

[25] DiEugenio, „Dekonstrukce JFK: Státní převrat kvůli zahraniční politice? 14. ledna 2021, covertactionmagazine.com/2021/01/14/deconstructing-jfk-a-coup-detat-over-foreign-policy/

[26] DiEugenio, „Nasser, Kennedy, Střední východ a Izrael“, 22. října 2020, www.kennedysandking.com/john-f-kennedy-articles/nasser-kennedy-the-middle-east-and-israel

[27] “C. David Heymann,” on spartacus-educational.com/JFKheyman.htm

[28] William Kunstler, *My Life as a Radical Lawyer*, Carol Publishing, 1994, p. 158.

[30] Natasha Mozgovaya, “Prominent Jewish-American politician Arlen Specter dies at 82,” *Haaretz*, October 14, 2012, www.haaretz.com/jewish/arlen-specter-dies-at-82-1.5192779.

[31] That shots came from the Dal Tex was suggested by Jim Garrison in his October 1967 *Playboy* interview, p. 165-166, ia801307.us.archive.org/20/items/JimGarrisonPlayboyInterview/Jim-Garrison-Playboy-Interview.pdf.

[32] Police report on fr.scribd.com/document/258263723/Police-report-on-sucide-of-de-Mohrenschildt; His wife confirmed to Jim Marrs that her husband thought that “the Jewish Mafia and the FBI” were out to get him: Jim Marrs, *Crossfire: The Plot that Killed Kennedy*, Carroll and Graf, 1989, p. 285.

[33] Ronen Bergman, *Rise and Kill First: The Secret History of Israel's Targeted Assassinations*, John Murray, 2019, p. xv.

[34] Martin Sandler, *The Letters of John F. Kennedy*, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2013, p. 333. Listen to Sandler here on this topic on www.c-span.org/video/?c4547313/user-clip-jfk-gurion-mossad-dimona

[35] Avner Cohen, *Israel and the Bomb*, Columbia UP, 1998, pp. 109 and 14.

[36] Quoted in Monika Wiesak, *America's Last President: What the World Lost When It Lost John F. Kennedy*, self-published, 2022, p. 214.

[← Russia's Neo-Byzantinism](#)

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

• Category: [Foreign Policy](#), [History](#) • Tags: [CIA](#), [Conspiracy Theories](#), [Cuba](#), [Israel](#), [JFK Assassination](#), [Lyndon Johnson](#), [Mossad](#), [Oliver Stone](#), [Vietnam](#)

[← Russia's Neo-Byzantinism](#)

[Hide 120 Comments](#)[Leave a Comment](#)

[Commenters to Ignore...](#)[to Follow](#)[Endorsed Only](#)

Trim Comments?

1. [Fluesterwitz](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 9:55 am GMT](#) • [2.1 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

If you want to retain the idea of Kennedy as a ‘good’ president, it makes sense that he would have been a cold warrior prior to the Cuban/Turkish missile crisis who then, with new information, changed his mind. Because if he posed as a hawk while being a dove, he was a run-of-the-mill politician out to deceive his electorate, thus was part of the problem of American politics or, from a hawkish position, a ‘Massachusetts Candidate’.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

Donate



2. “FORGET THE CIA, FORGET LYNDON JOHNSON, FORGET FIDEL CASTRO, THE MOSSAD KILLED JFK.”

Video Link

- **Agree:** [Alternate History](#)
 - **Thanks:** [Chuck Orloski](#), [Durruti](#), [JWalters](#), [for-the-record](#), [Agent76](#)
 - **LOL:** [Realist](#)
 - **Replies:** [@Emil Nikola Richard](#)
- Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

3. [SafeNow](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 11:20 am GMT](#) • [2.0 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

I am so old that I remember JFK. There are of course film clips one can watch, and books one can read, but as the old saying goes, you had to be there. As Carl Hubbell put it when discussing leading baseball players...”Gehrig was great of course, but somehow when he was at bat, it wasn’t the same as when DiMaggio came to the plate.”

Another old saying might well be applicable. It goes something like this: “...men comb the horizon for a successor; but in this case, the search is futile, for his class was extinguished with him.”

- **Thanks:** [Iris](#)
 - **Replies:** [@Dutch Boy](#)
- Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

4. Anonymous[166] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 2:38 pm GMT](#) • [1.9 days ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

Here we go again. Conclusive conclusions.

1. So what if Israel had a motive? CIA had a lock on means and opportunity.
2. A willfully obtuse fixation on policy here distorts JFK’s real problem with CIA: arbitrary conduct. That continued. CIA policy pivoted from Latin America to the Middle East because of mounting international pressure, e.g. the spanking Dan Mitrione got plus UN suasion culminating in the Convention Against Torture. “CIA didn’t invade Cuba therefore Izzies whacked JFK” is so stupid, it’s like answer A on the SATs, the one that weeds out the students who have to go to NYU.
3. Oh bullshit, Ray Cline kept it secret, not Johnson. That sits there open source in the National Security Archive. CIA was fully onboard Nukes for Izzies.
4. LBJ just saw his predecessor get his head blown off. He learned he is the puppet ruler, and the apex of the US command structure is DDO. The test of whether you’re actually in charge is whether you can shoot your boss, and just wing him, and nothing happens to you, like DCI emeritus Bush.

This absolute last-ditch fallback limited hangout is reaching the point of diminishing returns. What good is it if you junior spy cadets only fool people with second-quintile IQs? You tricked them with the vax already, so they’re just gonna drop dead. Tell your COTR at Langley it’s hopeless, they’re busted.

- **Troll:** [Alternate History](#)
 - **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#), [@Theophrastus](#)
- Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

5. [Truth Vigilante](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 3:47 pm GMT • 1.8 days ago • 400 Words](#) ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

| 1. So what if Israel had a motive? CIA had a lock on means and opportunity.

What are you talking about fool ?

The CIA/Mossad/Britain's MI6 are all working in cahoots with one another and are all subordinate to the SAME master.

ie: the Zionist Usury Banking Cartel.

Yes, that cartel of bankers of which the Rothschild family dynasty is the core constituent – coupled to the fortunes and influence of the other old and new money Jewish family dynasties.

These family fiefdoms, as a collective, controlled the entirety of the western financial system in 1963, as indeed they do today.

And of course, the Apartheid Israeli state is a construct of this banking cartel, a bastard child of sorts. The creation of the Israeli colonial settler state is owed to this cartel of bankers.

| More importantly, the CONTINUED EXISTENCE of Israel is dependent on this cartel of bankers, because they OWN the U.S Congress and the executive, and thus control U.S/NATO foreign policy for which the Israeli state depends on for its survival.

This cartel of bankers expended a lot of time/money/energy over many decades in orchestrating the conditions and preparing the elaborate psyops/hoaxes (eg: the Holofoax), for the creation of Israel. The creation of this myth of '6 million killed in gas chambers by the Nazis' would enable their tribe to gain some sympathy from the gullible western citizenry in the event that they decided to steal the land of another people.

| Bottom Line : This cartel of bankers ordered the hit on JFK, because they couldn't risk any impediments that might have existential consequences for the Apartheid Israel state.

BTW Laurent Guyenot, I appreciate your treatment of James Douglass and his book 'JFK and the Unspeakable ... '.

Douglass is a gutless coward for making no mention in his book of the Dimona/Ben Gurion angle in the JFK coup d'etat.

I can't believe that some people are so stupid as to say that Douglass' crap is the best JFK book they've ever read.

It is nothing other than a misdirection to appease the Zionists and thus make a few more shekels for the author in book royalties .

After all, the Zionist owned major book publishing houses are only too willing to promote and disseminate any JFK book that deflects attention away from the actual Talmudic culprits.

• **Agree:** [Joe Levantine](#), [inspector general](#), [emerging majority](#), [Alternate History](#)

• **Replies:** [@Durruti](#), [@JWalters](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/Etc. [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

6. Kevin Barrett says:

January 22, 2023 at 4:04 pm GMT • 1.8 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Fluesterwitz

Pre-'62 JFK did the normal politician thing: spouting obligatory rhetoric he only half-believed, at best, knowing it wasn't the full story. Once in power he tried to do the right thing within the parameters of the possible. He was always a supporter of third world nationalism (Nasser etc.) as Laurent explains, though not a fan of communism. So yes, his main conflict was with the Israelis, not the Cold Warriors.

After the missile crisis he started getting out in front of public opinion and way out in front of the Cold War bureaucratic consensus. So the Cold Warriors turned against him, and a coalition of Cold Warriors and Israelis killed him.

• **Agree:** JWalters

• **Replies:** @Fluesterwitz, @Shamu

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

7. Observer says:

January 22, 2023 at 4:05 pm GMT • 1.8 days ago • 300 Words ↑

I was just a teenager when he died but I felt it deeply too. We all did here in Boston. Something very special was taken from us, maybe the one and only time in our lives that such a grand moment could happen. Here was a young vital man, so welcome after years out of memory of stodgy old grandpa Ike, who didn't do much but play golf and quietly have respectable middle class Republican heart attacks. (We didn't know about the CIA then either). And we'd become bored to tears with the gr'ups' endless blather about doubleya-doubleya-two (no capital-H holocaust in our faces yet). Most of all, he was one of us (I was in Catholic school then, though I'd already realized it was a load of mean-spirited hooley) and was by damn going to show those thin-lipped tight-assed WASP mother-effers how to run a free country. Even Italian kids suspended our contempt for the Irish (and very mutual it was indeed) for this man. I suppose if John Kennedy really had the policy some falsely now claim he had, and asked me to go to Vietnam, I would have considered it, but when the cowboy did, I just said, up yours, Lyndon. Country survived 180 years of history, then it's all undone by one magic bullet, it's enough to break your heart. And soon enough (like those WW2 guys) there won't be a one of us left to tell you how it was for us back there after all.

• **Agree:** Cauchemar du Singe

• **Replies:** @JR Foley

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

8. [folkvangr](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 4:47 pm GMT](#) • [1.8 days ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

I am sure lots of people are familiar with the following citation from Kennedy's speeches. Looks like things went from bad -to-worse-to horrific-to-apocalyptic since his assassination:

"The word 'secrecy' is anathema in a free and open society; we as a people are historically and inherently opposed to secret societies, to conspiracies, to secret negotiations. Our way of life is under attack. Those who make us enemies are spread across the globe. No war has ever posed a greater threat to our security than this one. If you are looking for a clear and present danger, I can only assure you that it has never been greater or more present than it is now. For we are under attack throughout the world by a vast and ruthless conspiracy which relies primarily on covert means to extend its influence. On infiltration rather than invasion, on subversion rather than elections, on intimidation rather than self-determination, on guerrillas by night rather than armies by day. It is a system that has devoted vast human and material resources to building a tightly woven, highly efficient machinery that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations. Preparations are covert, not public. Mistakes are covered up rather than made public. Critics are silenced rather than appreciated. No expenditure is questioned, no rumour is printed, no secret is revealed".

Who allowed all that to happen? We did.

• **Agree:** [Franz](#)

• **Replies:** [@dearieme](#), [@JWalters](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

9. [Anonmyass](#) says:

Very interesting as always. Thanks.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

10. [Emil Nikola Richard](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 5:35 pm GMT](#) • [1.7 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Priss Factor](#)

That is one theory.

The truth is irretrievable. You cannot un-shuffle a shuffled deck of cards by continuing to shuffle. That is the current status of the facts in this matter. There was a small chance of getting to the bottom of it with an all out attack, all available resources, if and only if it had been started before 1 June 1964.

Why do you suppose all those witnesses got whacked?

• **Replies:** [@Tallest Skil](#), [@Phoenix](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

11. Anonymous[377] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 5:48 pm GMT • 1.7 days ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

Truth Vig, re 5. It's certainly evident that CIA, MI6, and Mossad are constituents of the same transnational criminal enterprise. The whole world would agree, since they drafted and joined UNTOC to deal with it. However, you need to think through your Zio-usury master notions. They are illogical and dumb.

Say I am a hooknosed Zio usury banker. I go to CIA DO and say in my haughtiest Jew tones, "Do this thing!" DO sez, "No." So I give my best smarmy manipulative Jewsmile and I say, "I'll pay you!" And I rub my thumb and fingers together with an enticing leer of lascivious Jewy excitement.

And CIA DO says, "No, here's what we'll do. You give me money and I don't do the thing. Because I can kill you and get away with it. I can cut slits in your dick and get away with it. I can bury you alive a little and get away with it. I can put this big object up your asshole and get away with it, See? Oh! Thank you for that nice Jew money! Now give me more. What? Oh you want me to dig you up? No, not yet."

Pro tip: if a genii comes out of a bottle and offers you shitloads of Jew usury money, or impunity, take the impunity. It's way better.

Face it, savant, all you got is unsupported assertions of some half-baked tacit control mechanism. That's why you're so downtrodden and impotent in life.

• **Troll:** [JWalters](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

12. [Dutch Boy](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 5:57 pm GMT • 1.7 days ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@SafeNow](#)

Whatever one thinks of JFK as a man or who killed him, his assassination was a turning point in American history. I have often thought of Nov. 22, 1963 as the end of the 1950s and the beginning of the long slide into forever war and social chaos that we are still in.

• **Agree:** [Franz](#), [SafeNow](#), [JR Foley](#), [Durruti](#), [Skeptikal](#)

• **Replies:** [@emerging majority](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

13. [UncommonGround](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 6:34 pm GMT • 1.7 days ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

People may have heard the name of Walt Rostow as an economist, but I had no idea that he played such a role in the Vietnam war. After reading L.G.'s article I decided to read the long Wikipedia article about Rostow and was surprised. Rostow lied to Johnson, manipulated him, sabotaged any attempt to end the war. The whole question of continuing and escalating the war depended on what Johnson decided and it seems that he was persuaded by Rostow to keep the war going. His role was decisive.

After Rostow, according to a historian (Greg Grandin) who wrote a book about Kissinger, Kissinger was the person who was responsible for the adoption of a neoconservative foreign policy that led to military interventions, coups and wars. We know who pushed for the Gulf War. A newspaper published the name of 25 people who were behind this war (24 of them had a similar background). And now we have a war in the Ukraine and it seems that the State Department under Blinken plays a central role in this war. I asked myself if Bliken doesn't play a similar role in relation to Biden as the role played by Rostow in relation to Johnson.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

14. [fnn](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 8:19 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago • 300 Words](#) ↑

“the Dulles brothers”

Moldbug likes to point out that John Foster Dulles was a Popular Front-style leftist in 1942. How much did he change? The Dulles brothers are typically treated as clones who were both arch-Cold Warriors. How much of the Cold War was fake? Why did Ike abandon Hungary in 1956 while Biden is all-in for Ukraine in 2022?

<https://www.unqualified-reservations.org/2009/01/gentle-introduction-to-unqualified/>

Religion: American Malvern

Monday, Mar. 16, 1942 ^[TIME magazine]

These are the high spots of organized U.S. Protestantism’s super-protestant new program for a just and durable peace after World War II:

Ultimately, “a world government of delegated powers.”

Complete abandonment of U.S. isolationism.

Strong immediate limitations on national sovereignty.

International control of all armies & navies.

“A universal system of money ... so planned as to prevent inflation and deflation.”

Worldwide freedom of immigration.

Progressive elimination of all tariff and quota restrictions on world trade.

“Autonomy for all subject and colonial peoples” (with much better treatment for Negroes in the U.S.).

“No punitive reparations, no humiliating decrees of war guilt, no arbitrary dismemberment of nations.”

A “democratically controlled” international bank “to make development capital available in all parts of the world without the predatory and imperialistic aftermath so characteristic of large-scale private and governmental loans.”

This program was adopted last week by 375 appointed representatives of 30-odd denominations called together at Ohio Wesleyan

University by the Federal Council of Churches. Every local Protestant church in the country will now be urged to get behind the program.

The meeting showed its temper early by passing a set of 13 “requisite principles for peace” submitted by Chairman John Foster Dulles and his inter-church Commission to Study the Bases of a Just and Durable Peace.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

15. [Franz](#) says:

[January 22, 2023 at 8:46 pm GMT • 1.6 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

A big point about JFK’s “liberalism” is that he was at odds with the Red Thirties Old New Deal people to an amazing degree.

After JFK’s death those very people worked their way into Johnson’s government and it was treason all the way, for LBJ and ever since.

JFK was closer to the citizen action liberalism that FDR eschewed. How else to explain his many statements on armed and prepared men, such as this on January 29, 1961:

“Today we need a nation of minute men; citizens who are not only prepared to take up arms, but citizens who regard the preservation of freedom as a basic purpose of their daily life and who are willing to consciously work and sacrifice for that freedom...”

<https://www.jfklibrary.org/learn/about-jfk/life-of-john-f-kennedy/john-f-kennedy-quotations/commemorative-message-on-roosevelt-day>

The fact that it was an FDR memorial he said this makes it interesting, FDR being the patron of Bolshevik Liberalism.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

16. [onebornfree](#) says: • [Website](#)

[January 22, 2023 at 11:49 pm GMT • 1.5 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

THE LINCOLN-KENNEDY “COINCIDENCES”

[MORE]

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

17. [dearieme](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 1:17 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@folkvangr](#)

The word ‘secrecy’ is anathema in a free and open society said the man who secretly tape-recorded his advisors.

Kennedy is puffed up with sentimental hogwash because he was assassinated. If he hadn’t been, he’d be seen as the tool who multiplied the number of US troops in Vietnam twenty-fold, not talked about as the potential saviour of mankind.

- **Agree:** [Hibernian](#), [Che Guava](#)
- **Troll:** [P. Cleburne](#)
- **Replies:** [@JWalters](#), [@RJ Macready](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

18. [Thomasina](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 2:50 am GMT • 1.4 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

“Duping delight’ is when someone cannot contain their glee that they are deceiving someone, and the smirk of ‘duping delight’ is this glee leaking out despite their best intentions to keep it concealed.”

Shortly after President Kennedy’s assassination, LBJ is sworn in aboard Air Force One. Mrs. Kennedy is standing next to LBJ; her husband has just been assassinated. She’s still wearing the blood-spattered outfit. But you could see the glee written all over the faces of LBJ and Lady Bird. Lady Bird can be seen openly smiling in several photos, and LBJ is caught smiling too.

They were not fearful. You don’t smile when you’re afraid. You would think that after your boss has just been assassinated you’d be a little fearful that whoever did it might be coming after you. But, no, they weren’t afraid because they knew that wasn’t going to happen.

And you also can’t smile when you’re angry. And you’d think they would be angry, but they’re not.

No fear, no anger. That’s all I needed to see.

Great article, Mr. Guyenot!

- **Agree:** [Son of a Jedi](#), [Durruti](#)
- **Replies:** [@Laurent Guyénot](#), [@Sargon3.1415926](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

19. [Thomasina](#) says:

[@Anonymous](#)

“Face it, savant, all you got is unsupported assertions of some half-baked tacit control mechanism. That’s why you’re so downtrodden and impotent in life.”

Your anger tells me that Truth Vigilante is right over the target (the banking cartel). That’s what I thought too. Thanks.

- **Agree:** [Bro43rd](#)
- **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

20. David B says:

As an American patriot who also believes that the truth takes no prisoners, I want to thank you, Laurent, for this latest superb essay on Israel and the murder of President Kennedy.

• **Agree:** Iris

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

21. JR Foley says:

@Observer

Connelly winking at LB Johnson —in room following shooting—

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

22. Durruti says:

January 23, 2023 at 5:54 am GMT • 1.2 days ago • 300 Words ↑

@Truth Vigilante

The CIA/Mossad/Britain's MI6 are all working in cahoots with one another and are all subordinate to the SAME master. ie: the Zionist Usury Banking Cartel.

Yes, that cartel of bankers of which the Rothschild family dynasty is the core constituent – coupled to the fortunes and influence of the other old and new money Jewish family dynasties.

These family fiefdoms, as a collective, controlled the entirety of the western financial system in 1963, as indeed they do today.

This is the conclusive answer – WHO DID IT, who murdered **President John F. Kennedy**, and overthrew our Republic.

Bottom Line : This cartel of bankers ordered the hit on JFK, because they couldn't risk any impediments that might have existential consequences for the Apartheid Israel state.

As usual:

These endless articles, on who killed J F Kennedy, in the so-called 'alternate media' are attempting to (as we say), "Talk the topic to death." *Misdirection 101* – at the College of your choice.

Guyénot nicely explains a key piece of the history of the first and last assassinations of John F Kennedy. Our last Constitutional President has been repeatedly assassinated, first by falsely, describing, or not explaining at all, his **political aims**. The other assassination is repeated slander against, as **Guyénot** explains, **J F Kennedy's** "legacy."

Character assassination is only one part of the propaganda unleashed against the Kennedy legacy. Another part has consisted in distorting the historical record of Kennedy's presidency, and particularly the radical but short-lived innovations of his foreign policy.

Individuals hesitate to identify the Assassins, and the Engineers of the Coup D'état of November 22, 1963, because the next question will come from future generations, "Why didn't you do anything about it – Daddy? Why didn't you fight back?"

Dr. Peter J. Antonsen – nom de guerre, Durruti

• **Thanks:** Iris

• **Replies:** @Joe Levantine

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

23. [RedpilledAF](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 6:09 am GMT • 1.2 days ago • 400 Words](#) ↑

Great article, as usual. I do want to throw out something for consideration—Dulles, and most of the CIA big hitters from the 50s -70s, not even including Angleton, were entangled with Jews and the Middle East in a variety of ways predating Israel/Mossad and the CIA. Dulles, for example, going back to his days at State and the WW1 era was the man who proved the—Protocols of the Elders of Zion—a hoax. If true, that is no small feat even for a young man from the Atlantic seaboard upper crust serving in Istanbul. This would be right after his innovation of placing listening devices (short wave receivers) on an American ship in the Sea of Marmara so as to intercept Bolshevik transmissions from Moscow to South Russia. He also seems to be the first American, still at State, to recognize the importance of oil, the Middle East, especially Iraq in his case, and the need for espionage (and how to cover that espionage with more general economic and political cover).

Still in Istanbul, Dulles, by birth tied into the Anglo-American aristocracy, which was indeed tied into the Anglo-Jewish aristocracy both in America and Britain, had become keenly aware of the importance of the race over the unexplored oil reserves of the Middle East. He soon realized, from his many conversations, that oil men travel far and wide, are not usually suspected of any dubious intention when out in various locales, and their occupation is their traveling credentials. In a historically significant July 1924 official State Department communication to his superiors, Dulles proposed, based on his newly formulated means of ground intelligence gathering, that the United States begin using the search for oil as a cover for spying. His first trial run at this new “weapon” in information gathering would be to open up diplomatic relations with the nation of Iraq, hitherto without official diplomats. With relations established, Dulles felt that the United States could keep an eye on oil-drilling activity, along with the more usual political and economic affairs. This memorandum is not to be found in the usual place, State Department archives, but instead in Dulles’s personal files.

My point with the above is that the CIA was full of old-stock Anglo-American upper crust types who were connected by their station and birth to Anglo-Jewry. So though there may not be a direct overt support or concern for Israel in the 1960s, at least on paper, there is a deeper and longer based connection to high finance Jewry and the Middle East.

• **Thanks:** [Z-man](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

24. [IronForge](#) says:

Author:

Great+Interesting Article and following Discourse.

There isn’t a “Thumbs Up” or “Thanks” Option within the Article Body, so here is mine.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

25. [JWalters](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 7:08 am GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@Truth Vigilante](#)

Thanks for that good description of the Israel Empire that has colonized the US and Europe. For new UR readers who want more detailed evidence supporting this description, see *War Profiteers and Israel’s Bank*

<https://warprofiteerstory.blogspot.com/p/war-profiteers-and-israels-bank.html>

Thus, today the US is merely a proxy for the Israel Empire’s attack on Russia.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

26. [JWalters](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 7:12 am GMT • 1.2 days ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@folkvangr](#)

Thanks for quoting that speech.

Given the era, one might think Kennedy was talking about Communism. But in the entire speech he never even mentions Communism. Why not? I think he knew about the Zionist plot to rule the world from Jerusalem, and was indirectly referring to them.

As the evidence accumulates, it's increasingly clear that Kennedy was standing up to the Talmudist Mafia's threat to the world. His murder was another of their great crimes.

• **Replies:** [@folkvangr](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

27. [JWalters](#) says:

[@dearieme](#)

| he'd be seen as the tool who multiplied the number of US troops in Vietnam twenty-fold

Such massive "ignorance" can only come from a troll. How's the weather in Tel Aviv? Are you ready to be blown to smithereens in the WWII Israel is engineering?

• **Agree:** [Truth Vigilante](#), [Durruti](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

28. [RJ Macready](#) says:

[@dearieme](#)

He got America to the moon. That's more than enough creds. JFK juiced up NASA, otherwise it was Soviet success in space left and right until then.

• **Replies:** [@OrangeSmoke](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

29. Laurent Guyénot says:

January 23, 2023 at 8:17 am GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words ↑

@Thomasina

Thanks.

“‘Duping delight’ is when someone cannot contain their glee that they are deceiving someone, and the smirk of ‘duping delight’ is this glee leaking out despite their best intentions to keep it concealed.”

Here is another one: Zapruder two hours later:



• **Thanks:** Thomasina

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

30. Laurent Guyénot says:

@JR Foley

Connally was in the hospital (and declared he never believed in the magic bullet and never will).
Johnson was winking to US Rep Albert Thomas:



• **Replies:** @Durruti

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

31. P. Cleburne says:

@JR Foley

Connelly winking?

You're confused.

Albert Thomas

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

32. [Truth Vigilante](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 8:30 am GMT](#) • [1.1 days ago](#) • [1,100 Words](#) ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

How to respond to that ?

I mean, so many asinine assertions yet so few hours in the day remaining with which to humiliate you in front of the UR readership.

Not to worry, I'll take on that burden.

First of all, some history on how the CIA came about :

President Franklin D. Roosevelt authorised the creation of an intelligence service modelled after the British Secret Intelligence Service (MI6), and Special Operations Executive.

This led to the creation of the Office of Strategic Services (OSS) established by a Presidential military order issued by President Roosevelt on June 13, 1942.

Victor Rothschild (3rd Baron Rothschild), was himself working within MI5 during WWII.

On the American side, the Jewish powerbrokers like Bernard Baruch and Henry Morgenthau Jr ran U.S foreign policy during WWII.

THEY told FDR what to do and when to do it. And needless to say, they instructed FDR to create the OSS, which was modelled on the British (Rothschild controlled) equivalent.

After WWII, he OSS morphed into becoming the CIA. But, at the end of the day, it was ALWAYS CONTROLLED BY THE ZIONIST USURY BANKING CARTEL.

NO ONE in the CIA ever got to a position of power within the CIA UNLESS they were carefully vetted by ZOG first, and prostrated themselves to their Talmudic overlords, demonstrating complete LOYALTY to them.

That's why James Jesus Angleton got to where he did and why Angleton was the pivotal figure within the CIA that coordinated the JFK coup d'etat.

So, when you come up with asinine scenarios like :

[MORE]

- **Agree:** [A. Clifton](#), [Durruti](#)
- **Thanks:** [CelestiaQuesta](#)
- **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#), [@Theophrastus](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

33. [Truth Vigilante](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 9:02 am GMT • 1.1 days ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@Truth Vigilante](#)

I made a typo in that last comment of mine.

One of my sentences begins with ‘Sold untold trillions ‘ when it was meant to say ‘ SAID untold trillions’.

BTW, seeing as Dr Kevin Barrett is participating in the commentary in this thread, I should make an acknowledgement, rather than being accused of plagiarism.

In one of Dr Barrett’s newsletters I received many moons ago, he coined the phrase ‘Zionist Dominated Usury Banking Cartel’.

Well, I stole that from him and abbreviated it to Zionist Usury Banking Cartel (ZUBCAR).

After all, for all intents and purposes, to the extent that there is some non-Jewish participation in this cartel, it is infinitesimal in relation to the wealth/control exercised by the Zionist components.

So Kevin Barrett, if you’re reading this, in relation to your comment # 6 where you mention the ‘coalition of Cold Warriors’ that turned against JFK, would you care to specify what (((tribe))) said Cold Warriors are disproportionately from ?

Moreover, if you were to mention the likes of General Curtis LeMay, someone of English and distant French Huguenot heritage, and thus not a member of the ‘tribe’, do you honestly think he said and did what he did during his career without prompting/financial remuneration from some cartel of bankers out there in the ether ?

You know, perhaps the same cartel of bankers that financed Lindsey Graham’s and John McCain’s careers.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

34. [Fluesterwitz](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 9:57 am GMT • 1.1 days ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@Kevin Barrett](#)

DiEugenio rejects [Douglass’] mythical portrayal of JFK as a Cold Warrior converted to peacemaking during the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis.

Apparently, reasonable people disagree on whether Kennedy was a man who learned better or one “spouting obligatory rhetoric”. If the latter was the case, he comes across as recklessly opportunistic, his removal maybe not quite as consequential as if the former applied.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

35. Truth Vigilante says:

January 23, 2023 at 10:06 am GMT • 1.1 days ago • 1,100 Words ↑

@UncommonGround

| Rostow lied to Johnson, manipulated him, sabotaged any attempt to end the war.

What are you talking about ?

You're making it sound like LBJ, the first Jewish POTUS, the most corrupt/unscrupulous/murderous/conscience-free politician that America ever produced, was some naive and innocent bystander, and that, to the extent he made some bad decisions, it was because his advisers led him astray.

Make no mistake, LBJ knew EXACTLY what was going on at ALL TIMES.

He got his orders directly from the Vampire Squid (aka ZOG) himself and handed them out to his subordinates.

Mr/Ms 'Uncommon' it is clear from your comment that you really have no comprehension of LBJ's crimes and how much of a P.O.S he was.

You really need to read the following article to get a handle on what despicable low-life this man was :

<https://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/?p=161630>

Because most LBJ fans have a limited attention span and are not likely to read that lengthy article, here are some choice bits from it :

| In two key areas of U.S. foreign policy Johnson completely reversed Kennedy's position. In Oct 1963 Kennedy had ordered a complete withdrawal of US forces from Vietnam. It never happened. Instead under President Johnson US military participation in the conflict escalated dramatically.

| While regarding Israel's nuclear activities, under President Johnson demands for inspections of Dimona were dropped and the US assumed a 'don't ask don't tell' policy that effectively gave Tel Aviv the green light for a nuclear weapons program

.

And ...

| Most students of the Arab-Israeli conflict can identify Johnson as the president during the 1967 war. But few know about LBJ's actions to rescue hundreds of endangered Jews during the Holofoax – actions that could have thrown him out of Congress and into jail. Appropriately enough, the annual Jerusalem Conference announced this week that it will honour Johnson.

| Historians have revealed that Johnson, while serving as a young congressman in 1938 and 1939, arranged for visas to be supplied to Jews in Warsaw, and oversaw the apparently illegal immigration of hundreds of Jews through the port of Galveston, Texas....

Also

| Research into Johnson's personal history indicates that he inherited his concern for the Jewish people from his family. His aunt Jessie Johnson Hatcher, a major influence on LBJ, was a member of the Zionist Organisation of America. According to [the researcher] Gomolak, Aunt Jessie had nurtured LBJ's commitment to befriending Jews for 50 years. As a young boy, Lyndon watched his politically active grandfather "Big Sam" and father "Little Sam" seek clemency for Leo Frank, the Jewish victim of a blood libel in Atlanta

| Frank was lynched by a mob in 1915, and the Ku Klux Klan in Texas threatened to kill the Johnsons. The Johnsons later told friends that Lyndon's family hid in their cellar while his father and uncles stood guard with shotguns on their porch in case of KKK attacks. Johnson's speechwriter later stated, "Johnson often cited Leo Frank's lynching as the source of his opposition to both anti-Semitism and isolationism."

This too ...

[MORE]

• **Thanks:** ThreeCranes

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

36. Truth Vigilante says:

January 23, 2023 at 10:29 am GMT • 1.0 days ago • 200 Words ↑

@Thomasina

I appreciate what you said Thomasina.

The Zio trolls do indeed start frothing at the mouth and come out of the woodwork to smear me in an attempt to deflect the focus away from the actual Talmudic culprits of these crimes.

This is not a fair fight. There are hundreds of them that have coalesced against a solitary me and the outcome is lopsided.

In other words, with hundreds of them against just me, I have EASILY BEEN ABLE TO SWAT ALL OF THEM like the pesky mosquitoes that they are.

So in future, to make the fight fairer and less lopsided, I suggest they recruit another THOUSAND sayanim to take me on.

They'll still get ground down into talcum powder, but at least the equation will be slightly more balanced and they won't get their collective arses handed to me on a platter every time.

Lastly Thomasina, in relation to the 'right over the target' comment of yours, I've been reading your comments in UR over many months, and noticed that you're bang on target yourself more often than not.

Keep up the good work and stay well my friend.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

37. Anonymous[420] • [Disclaimer](#) says:

[January 23, 2023 at 10:30 am GMT](#) • 1.0 days ago • 1,300 Words ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

Say I am a hooknosed Zio usury banker. I go to CIA DO and say in my haughtiest Jew tones, "Do this thing!" DO sez, "No." So I give my best smarmy manipulative Jewsmile and I say, "I'll pay you!" And I rub my thumb and fingers together with an enticing leer of lascivious Jewy excitement.

And CIA DO says, "No, here's what we'll do. You give me money and I don't do the thing. Because I can kill you and get away with it. I can cut slits in your dick and get away with it. I can bury you alive a little and get away with it. I can put this big object up your asshole and get away with it, See? Oh! Thank you for that nice Jew money! Now give me more. What? Oh you want me to dig you up? No, not yet."

You bring up an interesting and non-obvious point out of games theory that answers many questions about US Federal Government behavior.

There is a game everybody knows, "paper, rock, scissors". It has no stable strategy, and a game of paper, rock, scissors that involves players betting until they run out of money will end with the random choice (from a "Uniform distribution") of contestant (unless somebody changes the game by, say, pulling a knife).

I've been thinking (as many others do) that the US Government is governed in fact as it is in theory — 3 branches, etc, staffed by elected and appointed officials. The elected officials are determined by the political parties. These parties are run by machine politicians, who think of government as a way to increase their incomes, and whose only interest in government is staying in office to increase their net worth. The politicians, in such a scheme, say and do anything that will keep the majority of voters who elected them (which is why BLM favoring Representatives cannot be given enough money to support the KKK, but Republican Representatives can be given enough money to retain "inclusion" and "diversity").

Because the politicians can be and are influenced by money (in the form of "clean graft" that violates no legal code), they are not as independent as they seem to be. They go where the money is, subject to constraints from the coalition that elected them (as in the "KKK" constraint above).

The above abstract system at least could be stable, and lead to some predictable result.

OK, so far so good, but it is starting to look like the above is wrong, and the quote above is part of the reason.

Way back, during the Progressive Era when large corporations really did dominate the US [1] and Anglo-Saxons really did dominate the large corporations, Congress invented the "Federal Agency" as we now know it. The concept was simple: Congress doesn't understand business, so set up a group of specialist civil servants to learn about business and pass "regulations" that "have the force of law". The ICC was the first such Federal Agency [2].

Well, everybody today is concerned about Artificial Intelligence (AI) taking over, and is familiar with the heuristic: "When your equipment becomes smarter than you are, you're in serious trouble." The Congress had delegated the power of law to a group just as smart (maybe smarter) than the machine politicians who ran Congress. Lowi [2] points out that the ICC model was very widely used, almost to the point of being considered a cure-all. It was extended far beyond corporate regulation (which was a dead issue after WW II) into agencies such as the IRS and the CIA and the Department of the Interior. The Agencies were given control over areas that could be used against the machine politicians that, in theory, ran the US Government. In effect, Congress had violated the above heuristic and had "made something they couldn't un-make", so there wasn't even a plug for Congress to pull.

[MORE]

• **Replies:** [@ThreeCranes](#), [@Anonymous](#)

[Reply](#) [Agree](#) [Disagree](#) [Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

38. [shahnameh](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 11:33 am GMT • 23.8 hours ago](#) ↑

Krulak Mendenhall Sept 1963, FARA for Israel, both Joe Jr and JFK Studied under Howard Lasky @ LSE(interesting about John Dulles and American Malvern)- perhaps DEAD Barry and Honey Sherman Understood:)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

39. [Shamu](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 11:47 am GMT • 23.6 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Kevin Barrett](#)

I agree.

And it was perfect for the Deep State because JFK was Irish, the ultimate sub-human inferior Boogeyman of the Pope to terrify the Anglo-Protestant underlings (around the globe) into utter submission to the desires of the WASP Deep State.

• **Troll:** [Hibernian](#)

• **Replies:** [@P. Cleburne](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

40. anon[152] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 11:58 am GMT • 23.4 hours ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

They say history repeats. If the US is the new Rome, then we can say that Kennedys killing led to a century of decline, as when Aureilias failed to appoint a proper leader. Maybe by the 2060's or so, a powerful leader will emerge that makes our dissolution permanent. Where will the new capitol be? Dallas? Denver? DC will be abandoned and left to tourists and beggars. Here once stood a federal republic, murdered by corruption. Who will be the new Odovacer, perhaps a Chinese general? In a thousand years or so, people will ask, "What happened to the United States?" Historians will ponder, they were wealthy and powerful, how did they disintegrate so easily? Perhaps, on a national level, endemic corruption, and on a social level, the people stopped giving a fuck. I wonder where the light of freedom will be born again in the future, only to be destroyed again by avarice and stupidity.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

41. [P.T.](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 12:08 pm GMT • 23.2 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

For those interested in communist Lee Harvey Oswald's motivation for assassinating President Kennedy, Google "Operation Mongoose": Kennedy's terrorist campaign against Cuba. Oswald had plenty of motivation to assassinate Kennedy. Kennedy himself had been involved in assassination attempts against foreign leaders. As Malcolm X said regarding the Kennedy assassination, "The chickens came home to roost."

lll

• **Replies:** [@Shitposter in Chief](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

42. [P.T.](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 12:17 pm GMT • 23.1 hours ago](#) ↑

The conspiracy theorists about the President Kennedy assassination should try to get together on a single theory. The theories are all over the lot.

lll

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

43. [Tallest Skil](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 12:45 pm GMT • 22.6 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Emil Nikola Richard](#)

Look at this filthy jew, thinking COINTELPRO tactics will work on us. *“Oy vey goyim, it’s impossible to find the truth therefore don’t bother looking! Just move on!”* Pathetic.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

44. [P. Cleburne](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 12:49 pm GMT • 22.5 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Shamu](#)

Yeah...the “WASP deep state”...right....

No such thing.

• **Replies:** [@Shamu](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

45. [Sargon3.1415926](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:05 pm GMT • 21.3 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Thomasina](#)

“‘Duping delight’ is when someone cannot contain their glee that they are deceiving someone, and the smirk of ‘duping delight’ is this glee leaking out despite their best intentions to keep it concealed.”

Nobody ever smirks unless they think or **know** that they’re getting away with something that they **know** is wrong.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

46. [Shitposter in Chief](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:11 pm GMT • 21.2 hours ago](#) ↑

[@P.T.](#)

I always took LHO to be a glowie and when he went to live in the USSR it was on a mission. He was allegedly friends with Barry Seal in the civil air patrol (which is where Barry was recruited)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

47. [Slay](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:14 pm GMT • 21.1 hours ago](#) ↑

I don’t understand this worship of Kennedy’s. They were no saints. There is an evidence they murdered Marilyn Monroe and probably many others.

• **Replies:** [@Durruti](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

48. [Skeptic51](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:22 pm GMT • 21.0 hours ago](#) ↑

For added facts and fuel to this fire, watch the 2020 documentary episode 4: Sacrificing Liberty June 8, 1967 The Day the World Was Six Minutes from WW3

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

49. [Trinity](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:27 pm GMT • 20.9 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

Lest we forget that JFK FORCED Southern Whites to attend school with Blacks. Of course the anti-German, FAKE soldier, and Jew loving war criminal Eisenhower beat JFK to the punch. IMO had JFK lived little would have changed. The Kennedy's probably had Marilyn Monroe killed, then we have white traitor trash Teddy going along with the (((Immigration Reform Act))) and Chappaquiddick. No tears from the cheap seats from me on JFK or his 2 brothers or son for that matter. JFK was a skirt chaser, just like the hideous Jew lover LBJ. Haha, must be something about people identified by their initials and trash. FDR, JFK,RFK, JFK Jr., MLK.

Sorry, but JFK was not a virile and handsome man that he is always portrayed to be. A sickly man of average looks, reminds me when they tried to convince America that Michelle Obama was beautiful. LBJ? Could be the ugliest man ever elected POTUS, even uglier inside.

Cue: Abraham, Martin and John by Dion

• **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

50. [Miro23](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:27 pm GMT • 20.9 hours ago • 300 Words](#) ↑

But guess what: LBJ did not invade Cuba either. He didn't give the CIA and Pentagon hawks the retaliatory invasion of Cuba that their plan was supposed to force upon him. He didn't even try.

However he was quick to move on something else:

In the spring of 1963, Kennedy demanded full inspections by the US of the Dimona reactor, and threatened to place American aid for Israel in limbo if no agreement was reached. And at the time of his assassination, negotiations were in process for biannual inspections.

Oliver Stone on Kennedy/ Johnson:

He (Kennedy) took on Israel. He took on Ben-Gurion in Israel, because they were building a bomb that they'd stolen from us. And he really wanted to put a stop to that, but he, unfortunately died before, and Johnson carried through, knew about it and let it go, till Israel had the bomb by 1968. And even then, in 68, Johnson shut the Pentagon up. He said: "We are not going to announce this. The American people won't know that Israel has the bomb."

Also-

As Roger Mattson notes in his book on the subject, when the CIA alerted the new president that it appeared that Israel had now developed the atomic bomb, Johnson barely reacted. (Mattson, p. 97) There was no official investigation launched. In fact, Johnson told the CIA not to alert either State or Defense about the discovery.

For those two acts, Johnson qualifies as a traitor to the country he had been sworn to serve. If Johnson was working for someone, it was not for the "Eastern Establishment," of which he had never been part; it was for Israel. Johnson was the initiator of a pro-Israel policy that Truman, Eisenhower, the Dulles brothers or the Joint Chiefs of Staff under Kennedy would never have imagined in their worst nightmare.

Johnson didn't pull the trigger but he was right there with the Israelis who set it up.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

51. [Trinity](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:37 pm GMT • 20.7 hours ago](#) ↑

Stolen Elections for sure
1948 Dewey over Truman
1960 Kennedy over Nixon
2000 Bush Jr. over Gore
2020 Biden over Trump

Probably much more but these stand out.

• **Replies:** [@Thim](#), [@Hibernian](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

52. [HT](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:40 pm GMT • 20.7 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

One can only imagine how great this country could have been if the freed slaves had been returned to Africa, we had opposed the UN's creation of Israel, and we had maintained a predominantly White country. You have to be amazed what we accomplished after making so many major mistakes. What a wasted opportunity and its all down hill from here.

• **Agree:** [Trinity](#)

• **Replies:** [@Trinity](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

53. [Joe Levantine](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 2:55 pm GMT • 20.4 hours ago](#) • 400 Words ↑

[@Durruti](#)

“ Individuals hesitate to identify the Assassins, and the Engineers of the Coup D'état of November 22, 1963,“

Notice the parallels with 9/11 where despite all the strong circumstantial evidence that the Israeli Mossad was involved, all the MSM chose to ignore the elephant in the room, while the lone nut theory that applied to Oswald was simply reinstated by pinning the whole drama on Usama Bin Laden.

As long as no one is willing to stick his neck out and call a spade a spade, the truth will be an orphan subject to all kind of abuse with near total immunity.

We were told at school and university history lessons that JFK's assassination made for a minor change in the history of the U.S. The assassination or replacement of a U.S. President after JFK, Nixon excepted, does prove the theory that an American POTUS is hardly in charge of much more than acting as a cloak for the policies of the deep state. The reality is not even close to that theory, for an American president has enormous constitutional powers to shape events, internally and externally, should he chose to exercise these powers. JFK's assassination sent an incontrovertible message from the finance cabal to any would be American president that his constitutional authority notwithstanding, the final word is not his.

JFK said that “it is OK to dream”. And I will allow myself to speculate (dream) about what the world would have been had his vision prevailed by having acted as a two terms president:

We would be living in a world of multipolarity where global decisions are decided by many leaders on a consensual basis.

Worldwide defense spending would be a fraction of what it is today.

Mega projects for the betterment of mankind in the fields of transportation, agriculture and science and technology would come true through international cooperation rather than the current system of misanthropic imperial policies that cater to the select few.

Russia would be an ally rather than an enemy of the U.S.

Peace and prosperity would be way beyond whatever the world has experienced in the past six decades.

Israelis and Arabs could be living in peace.

If anyone can add to the list, I would be much obliged.

• **Replies:** [@Durruti](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

54. [Trinity](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:20 pm GMT • 20.0 hours ago](#) ↑

[@HT](#)

And kicked out the Jews for 110.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

55. [Doug Ryler](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:33 pm GMT • 19.8 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Fluenterwitz](#)

JFK was killed on order of Zio-controlled Federal Reserve.

He had the gall to have the US treasury print money without getting the Fed involved. Then, bang bang.

<http://biblicisminstitute.wordpress.com/2015/07/28/how-the-ashkenazi-jews-conquered-the-west/>

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

56. [Liborio Guaso](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:41 pm GMT • 19.7 hours ago](#) ↑

What is very clear is that the assassination of JFK and the subsequent assassination of his brother RK was the beginning of the decline of the nation.

WHY ?

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

57. Anonymous[184] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:49 pm GMT • 19.5 hours ago • 300 Words](#) ↑

Truth Vigilante, your first argument boiled down to emphatic use of the word “master.” Your new argument, in 32, is other catchphrases, except in capitals for extra-convincingness. NO ONE. LOYALTY. Maybe if you bold them, that will prove it!

As the master Aristotle taught us in his Rhetoric, saying it over and over doesn’t make it more convincing.

You assert an unsupported premise of Jewy superpowers with an unspecified mechanism for direction and control. I rebut from the premise of institutional CIA impunity shown by the agency’s get-out-of-jail-free cards in law, judicial precedent, regulation, and sub rosa MOUs: inter alia The National Security Act, the Central Intelligence Agency Act, The Intelligence Identities Protection Act, the operational files exemption, the political questions doctrine, the state secrets privilege, the utmost deference doctrine, the deference upon deference doctrine, FASAB Standard 56, NSC 10/2, the National Security Directive of December 19, 1947, the Rogers-Huston memo et seq.

So Truth Vigilante, you fail to comprehend the world you live in, so your criminal state keeps fucking your tender asshole. And you rage at the all-powerful Jews of Lehman Brothers, who in the Greatest Financial Crime in History, crushed and bankrupted puny CIA proprietary AIG... oh wait.

If CIA needs Jew money they just take it.

Anonymous in 37 has a less simpleminded response than yours. He actually grapples with the existing assemblage as a self-organizing system – and not with sinister cartoon sheenies. His approach shows that you live in a totalitarian state. The way to prey on helpless lumpenproles like yourself, if you’re a Jew, or a goy, is to serve the totalitarian state.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

58. [folkvangr](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:50 pm GMT • 19.5 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@JWalters](#)

You are welcome. Although there was large amounts of mud thrown in Kennedy's direction, from what I learned while digging through that mud, he might have been one of those shrewd men who anticipated America's national suicide way before Antony Sutton, who claimed that the conflicts of the Cold War were not fought to hold back communism but were designed in order to generate multibillion-dollar armaments contracts and monopoly acquisition of wealth and power. In this big picture Talmudic schemers could have been only an effective detractor from the true power players involved in the con game of dispossession of the american workers.

• **Replies:** [@JWalters](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

59. [Durruti](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 3:54 pm GMT • 19.4 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Slav](#)

I don't understand this worship of Kennedy's. They were no saints. There is an evidence they murdered Marilyn Monroe and probably many others.

Why don't you present us with some of that "an evidence."

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

60. [Thim](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 4:09 pm GMT • 19.2 hours ago](#) ↑

Kennedy was never a legitimate President. The election of 1960 was stolen.

• **Replies:** [@nokangaroos](#), [@rabbit redux](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

61. [Thim](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 4:15 pm GMT • 19.1 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Trinity](#)

Trinity, comment 51, you forgot 1864, when it was stolen for Lincoln over McClellan, when the army in the field somehow voted near 100% for Lincoln, when most of them hated Lincoln, and McClellan was almost a God in the eyes of the troops (he cared for the lives of his men).

1864 was the most important steal. That was the day democracy ended in America.

• **Thanks:** [Trinity](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

62. [Durruti](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 4:20 pm GMT • 19.0 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@Joe Levantine](#)

Nice comment. But I have one correction.

You offer:

| If anyone can add to the list, I would be much obliged.

You end your list with:

| Israelis and Arabs could be living in peace.

1. Palestinians must have their Land and Wealth returned to them.
2. Most Jews must return to the lands they came from, Europe, and more recently, America.
3. Zionists who are guilty of terrorism-and starting wars-9-11, the Liberty, assassinations, financial theft, political bribery, other murders, & individual thefts, must be arrested.
4. Possibly, the Palestinians might agree to leasing The Gaza area to Jews who are leaving Palestine, but do not wish to return to their lands of origin. The Gaza could hold as many as 4 million.
Gaza could be their (the Zionist's) 'Israel,' their Aardvark, their Kitchen Table, or whatever else they wish to name it. This #4 is only a suggestion – The Palestinians must not be forced to agree to this idea.
5. For “peace” to reign, the leading Zionist Oligarchs (along with their gauleiter-servants), must be arrested and put on trial, and forced to answer for their crimes.

Globally, **Peace** with Justice, Liberty, Prosperity, and Republican forms of Government, **is possible, but very difficult to obtain.**

• **Agree:** [Truth Vigilante](#), [Chuck Orloski](#)

• **Thanks:** [Joe Levantine](#)

• **Replies:** [@Joe Levantine](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

63. [Durruti](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 4:36 pm GMT • 18.7 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Laurent Guyénot](#)

A picture is worth a thousand words.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

64. Truth Vigilante says:Next New Comment

January 23, 2023 at 4:41 pm GMT • 18.7 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Trinity

No tears from the cheap seats from me on JFK or his 2 brothers or son for that matter.

JFK was not a virile and handsome man that he is always portrayed to be. A sickly man of average looks

Well then, since there were no tears from you when JFK and brother Bobby died, I'm guessing you're the type that became emotionally distraught when you heard Sheldon Adelson had died, or David Ben Gurion years before that.

Only someone who is not well read and was not aware of the greater good that JFK delivered on the foreign policy front during his 34 months in office (and what he surely would've delivered had he lived and served out two full terms), would make such remarks as yours.

I suggest you watch this short colour film about JFK's visit to Ireland in the summer of 1963 :



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/AJsfEo8RXow>

JFK's 'beauty' transcended the skin deep, superficial nature of attractiveness.

He exuded genuine warmth for his fellow man, and the public reciprocated in spades.

He was like no other President America ever had – he was beautiful on the inside.

For those of you not inclined to watch the whole 8 mins of the video above, at least watch the speech of JFK in the last minute and a half – you won't be disappointed.

• **Thanks:** Agent76, CelestiaQuesta, Durruti

• **Replies:** @Trinity, @Hibernian

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

Subscribe to New Columns

65. [IronForge](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 4:46 pm GMT • 18.6 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

Anyone hear/know more about JFK's Plan to:

A) Reign in FEDRSV by Issuing Silver Certificates – with the intent of preventing the FEDRSV of loaning U\$D to the GOVT_USA with interest like they still do?

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Executive_Order_11110

<https://foundationfortruthinlaw.org/jfk-vs-fed.html>

Looks to me that FEDRSV Bankers had vested interests in seeing JFK Murdered – similar to what Lincoln was doing nearly a Century Prior...

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

66. [Trinity](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 5:23 pm GMT • 18.0 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@Truth Vigilante](#)

Sheldon who? (((Benjamin?))) haha. I remember the demonic Jew, Adelson, dying. Hmmm, more sympathetic when seeing a dead possum in the road. Don't give two shits about white trash like the Kennedys or demonic Jews. I had a friend about my age, I guess he was born around 1962-1964, he lived in Far Rockaway, Queens, New York, good people. Anyhow he was of Irish ancestry, and was named "John Fitzgerald" Reminds me of all the goobers in Georgia who voted for Carter just because he was from Georgia. I am not going to like someone just because they are a certain ancestry or because they are from a certain state. White traitor trash comes in all shapes, states, sizes, ethnicities, and religious faiths. Kennedy went along with the Jew program of negro worship as did the Georgia peanut farmer.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

67. [HT](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 5:25 pm GMT • 17.9 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

The JFK generation of Yankee politicians including JFK were determined to change the country, which they actually thought was for the better, by civil rights laws and the like. They thought blacks would seamlessly integrate and we would all live happily ever after. JFK was certainly going to pursue the same laws in that regard that LBJ eventually passed. They did not understand what southerners understood about blacks and nothing was going to change their more "educated and refined" mind. Well they got their way and look where we are.

• **Thanks:** [Trinity](#)

• **Replies:** [@CelestiaQuesta](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

68. [OrangeSmoke](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 5:25 pm GMT • 17.9 hours ago](#) ↑

[@RJ Macready](#)

After watching the documentary American Moon see if you still believe.

[Video Link](#)

• **Thanks:** [Durruti](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

69. [Agent76](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 5:33 pm GMT • 17.8 hours ago](#) ↑

November 22, 2022 “JFK: Destiny Betrayed” Leaves No Doubt That JFK Was Assassinated as Part of CIA Coup

New Four-Part Documentary: Oliver Stone film shows that JFK was trying to reorient U.S. foreign policy in more peaceful direction prior to his murder and that the Warren Commission was a fraud. It illuminates JFK’s opposition to the imperialist machinations of American oligarchs, who enlisted U.S. intelligence and the Mafia to orchestrate his assassination and then cover it up for decades.

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/new-four-part-documentary-jfk-destiny-betrayed-leaves-no-doubt-jfk-was-assassinated-part-cia-coup/5787924>.



ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

70. [Phoenix](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 5:34 pm GMT • 17.8 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Emil Nikola Richard](#)

| Why do you suppose all those witnesses got whacked?

Are there any recommended books or thorough articles summarizing the JFK assassination witnesses and insiders who were murdered?

Were the same networks involved with the silencing of Clinton witnesses and Obama gay lifestyle witnesses?

• **Replies:** [@Iris](#), [@Mark Gobell](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

71. Karl Golovin says:Next New Comment

January 23, 2023 at 5:54 pm GMT • 17.4 hours ago • 300 Words ↑

Recall that the wife of OSS founder “Wild Bill” Donovan, when asked what the letters “CIA” represented, replied “Catholics In Action.”

The “World Jewish Congress” recently (and for the first time in 2000 years) met in the Vatican with Roman Church authorities to “strengthen bonds” between the “judaism” and the Roman Church.

Some might argue the Roman Church was long ago been coopted at the leadership (including Jesuit “military order”) levels, so as to serve Zionist objectives from a position as “controlled opposition” to “judaism.”

Allen Dulles nephew, Avery Dulles, was a Jesuit priest and “cardinal” of the Roman Church.

The author of a tweet linked below suggests CIA was/is controlled by “Vatican Jews,” not inconsistent with CIA complicity in accomplishing Ben Gurion/ Mossad’s killing JFK to aid Israel’s defense via obtaining nuclear weapons.

So the Vatican Jews, who control the CIA, brought down Nixon because he was investigating THEIR CORRUPTION and their murder of JFK. They then placed one of their puppets as POTUS. Being married to a Fallen one explains why Betty Ford became an alcoholic. Tucker Carlson rocks! <https://t.co/u9WGbr40xD>

— The White Lady (@NephilimWatcher) January 20, 2023

Note also how that several of the “plumbers” caught in Watergate (blamed on Nixon), including E. Howard Hunt and Frank Sturgis, were implicated in Mark Lane’s “Plausible Denial” text as in Dallas, assassins of JFK.

In the Watergate operation, was CIA trying to simultaneously purge/ expose some of those to blame for JFK’s murder and, by linking them to Nixon, imply Nixon complicity in JFK’s murder (out of revenge/ hatred since 1960 election defeat)?

Yet Nixon complained that all key parts of government were controlled by “jews,” and an essential task was to reclaim control – certainly lost under LBJ’s influence, if not long before, dating back to Woodrow Wilson’s era, if not that of Lincoln.

Strange how the govt is coming out admitting they killed JFK, but it's not completely true. The truth: elements of the CIA worked with the Israeli Mossad to kill him. Yesterday, Tucker said Nixon "knew who killed John," yet didn't show you Nixon's leaked audio on "Jewish spies." <pic.twitter.com/Cbn3OmUvNH>

— Lucas Gage (@LucasGage84) January 20, 2023

What did Billy Graham say about the Jews? He was in the Oval Office, talking about the Jews, and he said, “This stranglehold has got to be broken or this country is going down the drain.”

Watch more: <https://t.co/9Z0uO4leOw> <pic.twitter.com/f5CyUfxmwV>

— THE HONORABLE MINISTER LOUIS FARRAKHAN (@LouisFarrakhan) March 24, 2018

“Rome’s Responsibility for the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln, With an Appendix Containing Conversations Between Abraham Lincoln and Charles Chiniquy” <https://a.co/d/636Da2y>

”

• **Replies:** [@CelestiaQuesta](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

72. [Joe Levantine](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:13 pm GMT • 17.1 hours ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

[@Durruti](#)

When I wrote that Israelis and Arabs would be living in peace, I meant that peace would have been shoved down the throat of the Zionists. I have no illusions about the Zionist's intransigence and bloodthirstiness, but world peace means less maneuverability for them.

And about republican forms of governance, I find it relevant to caution against the current form of republican 'representative' democracy which is nothing but another sham that empowers the oligarchic class who own the people's representatives, lock stock and barrel. For peace and prosperity, nothing less than direct democracy should be the standard especially when it comes to taxation or what should be more properly called " war against the people, for if it is the people's money, then the same people should decide how much of their money they are willing to part with, or wars against fabricated enemies like what is happening currently with Russia.

Thank you for this most conscientious description of the Zionist catastrophe.

• **Replies:** [@Durruti](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

73. [CelestiaQuesta](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:26 pm GMT • 16.9 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

Either Johnson was a Closeted Zionist or they had the goods needed to keep him in line. Look no further than the blatant attack by Israel on the USS Liberty June 1967, during the Six-Day War, as Johnson turned a blind eye, not to mention allowing Zionists to develop nukes from stolen US secrets.

That's the sixth month of the sixties during the six day war. (((666))).

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

74. Anonymous[166] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:30 pm GMT • 16.8 hours ago](#) • 300 Words ↑

To prove that Jews and not CIA killed JFK, our junior spy cadets and wannabes here shout, BANKS!!! Lots of handwaving about the finance cabal, the Rothschilds, the Fed, &c., &c. – more or less Jewy and influential.

Banks are certainly unduly powerful in the Western bloc, and fractional reserve banking is conducive to debt peonage, an effective form of social control. That of course is why WASP oligarchs like J.P. Morgan and Harriman founded banks, and why the Bush family ran the Brown Brothers Harriman bank, before taking charge of CIA and ruling the USA directly.

When push comes to shove, having CIA's impunity is way better than having a bank. When systematic fraud collapsed the financial system in the Greatest Financial Crime in History, banks survived by laundering shitloads of drug money. Drug money is of course CIA money, from CIA's favored cartels, from Afghanistan, or from banana republics.

CIA has lots of other money, money they get hoarding and trading axis plunder from WWII (ask CIA agent Alan Quasha!); gun-running; child trafficking; theft from DoD; fraud and embezzlement from federal agencies such as HUD; even insider trading on foreknowledge of their own covert crime (CIA grandee A.B. Krongard's big punt at Alex Brown.) When CIA wants more money, they can always set up a fake bank, like BCCI or Nugan Hand or FTX. Or they can set up fake charities like the Clinton Foundation. You can make money lots of ways when you have impunity!

So if the Jews want to be big shots they got to suck CIA dick like everybody else. Cause CIA killed JFK for insubordination and the whole world knows it except for abject US dupes.

• **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

75. [Jews Rock!](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:38 pm GMT • 16.7 hours ago](#) • 200 Words ↑

The Kennedys were degenerate race traitor criminal scum who destroyed the USA. They were not anti-jew whatsoever. The 1965 Immigration Act was the semitic death blow to America, rammed through Congress by Ted and supported by Bobby. Read JFK's own book, "Nation of Immigrants", to find his opinion.

He was the single goy most responsible for promoting the suicidal lie that America is destined by god to be a color-blind multicultural utopia. JFK increased military aid to Israel. His cabinet had the most jews in history at that time. The ADL gave him its highest award on national television. JFK gave many speeches whining about persecution of jews in the USSR and South America. In front of the United Nations in 1947 he vehemently argued for the creation of Israel.

Claiming JFK was some staunch defender of his people is an evil disgusting lie. Guyenot is the only respectable writer on this site. This is the first I've seen him strike a false note.

• **Thanks:** [Trinity](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

76. [nokangaroos](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:44 pm GMT • 16.6 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Thim](#)

Bought.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

77. [Zachary Smith](#) says:Next New Comment

January 23, 2023 at 6:47 pm GMT • 16.6 hours ago • 700 Words ↑

In 1997, DiEugenio published a powerful two-part book-length article, “the Posthumous Assassination of JFK” (1997). It is still essential reading for anyone interested in the controversies surrounding Kennedy’s presidency and assassination, or puzzled by the unending stream of bizarre Kennedy lore.

I’ve decided to acquire one of DiEugenio’s books as the start of building a larger image of JFK.

Given his trashing of *The Dark Side of Camelot*, I’m going to read it with a skeptical eye. Apparently Seymour Hersh had some amazing errors in his book, but I’m inclined to the view that they were “planted” on him by people he had reason to trust. This certainly happened when James Hatfield wrote his biography of George “codpiece commnder” Bush – *Fortunate Son*. Details of Bush’s cocaine conviction were handed to him on a platter so as to totally neutralize the issue when it was revealed Hatfield was an ex-con.

JFK was a silly playboy put into the White House by his extremely wealthy daddy. His health was bad, his morality was Trump-Level, and his intellectual capacity was around the range of Bush and Trump.

Despite the contrary impression he made during his televised debates with Nixon in 1960, Kennedy was never a Cold Warrior.

My future reading is going to be done with an open mind, but from what I know now this is pure BS. Kennedy quickly learned that his 1960 claims of an enormous “Missile Gap” were not true, but he still engineered the creation of thousands of LGM-30 Minuteman missiles. Kennedy was OK with Eisenhower’s invasion of Cuba – until it faltered.

I’ve given Kennedy credit for not starting WW3 with the Cuban Missile Crisis, but I’m no longer sure this is justified.

Before “The Missiles of October”: Did Kennedy Plan a Military Strike against Cuba?

Diplomatic History, Volume 14, Issue 2, April 1990, Pages 163–198, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7709.1990.tb00083.x>

Usually ignored in this rundown of events are the measures that were taken by the U.S. military to prepare for possible military action against Cuba and the personal interest taken by President Kennedy in those plans. The CINCLANT Report recounts that in the weeks preceding the discovery of the missiles the United States had prepared two principal military options: air strike or invasion, or the first followed by the second. **Steps were also taken to ready a blockade.** Although the documents refer to “contingency” measures or “proposed” actions, they also seem to make clear that the Kennedy administration wanted the option of hitting Castro with military force and wanted it ready by late October.²⁷ The secret preparations then merged with and facilitated overt military pressure on Cuba once the crisis became public on 22 October.

The military preparations that accelerated in September and early October 1962 did not materialize from a vacuum but instead represented the culmination of a year-long process. During that period the administration had paid increasing attention to developing military plans for dealing with Cuba that were coordinated with the highly secret (to Americans, at least) Mongoose program initiated by President Kennedy in late 1961. A review of Pentagon planning measures undertaken in conjunction with Mongoose makes it clear that for a small circle of high civilian and military officials, the idea that the United States might deliberately provoke events in Cuba that could serve as a pretext for U.S. intervention represented a possible course of action, frequently invoked, rather than an unthinkable libel that had emerged from the paranoid fantasies of Havana and Moscow.

Remember, this was *after* the first failed invasion. The “blockade” option had already been under discussion – it wasn’t something the “peace-loving” Kennedy would have had to create. And from where I sit it sure looks as if the peacenik Kennedy provoked the Cuban Missile Crisis.

Maybe the Playboy President had changed – I’m open to that possibility. It doesn’t seem to be entirely likely, **but the fact remains the CIA and other plotters now wanted JFK gone.** Were some of those ‘others’ agents of the Apartheid state? Kennedy was a Catholic, and in the absence of knowing any differently I’m open to the notion he wasn’t friendly to the Christ Killers. Perhaps somebody here knows if Kennedy had any important Jewish officials in his Administration – that would say something or other about his attitudes.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

78. [CelestiaQuesta](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:49 pm GMT • 16.5 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@Karl Golovin](#)

It's despicable how all those exposing Jewish power are either assassinated, imprisoned, impoverished or censored.

Jews weren't thrown out of over one hundred countries for being kind, reliable or honest. They are genetically subhuman parasites that must be dealt with sooner or later, or we risk extinction by default.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

79. [Francis Miville](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 6:57 pm GMT • 16.4 hours ago • 400 Words](#) ↑

Wrong, wrong, wrong : to state the matter quick, JFK was Dr. Henry Kissinger's first dutiful pupil, and to choose him as a permanent resident in the White House. When JFK reassured his audience saying he would hire the best and brightest, he meant "goys need no longer apply". Even though the NASA is renowned for the paper-clipped Nazis that officially founded and presided it, that agency, soon thereafter, hired nearly only Hollywood cinema Jews at its top decision jobs. You know what I am getting at : JFK knew perfectly well what was to be done and given when he promised the moon to his audience. With Kissinger being the chief counsellor as for external and multi-cultural affairs in general, and many other less known ones known for their love of false flags, it comes to no surprise that this administration that was not the best loved in Israel rather followed HK's lack of enthusiasm for Israel proper as the future capital country of the global order to come : HK, like so many more traditional Zionists, like the Conservative rabbis had traditionally interpreted the Torah, dreamt of no other promised land to conquer and subdue than the whole planet, to which cause over-concentration on Biblical countries would be an impediment rather than a pedestal : that is how the destruction of Jerusalem by manifest super-human intervention rapidly came to be interpreted by Jews. JFK was the first big American president to come into power thanks to big entertainment media alone, namely the 3 big TV channels plus Hollywood, as a good actor first and foremost, not for any competence in resolving any other kind of problem that his own image, that was maybe in itself the most disastrous turning point in American political history. White Americans tend to be nostalgic about JFK for exactly the same reasons darker Americans tend to enthuse about MLK : both very sloppy characters, after having been sponsored and media-tailored by the very same people, were assassinated by the same people for the same reason, namely to have them reborn as the perfect heroes they never were. Both had the same kind of predatory sexual life. From 1960 on, it was too late : big media alone were running the show from then on, and one big urgent priority was to stage a good moon trip for the greater public to lose all grip on reality and exchange it for a virtual one that would from then on suffice to content him.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

80. [CelestiaQuesta](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 7:02 pm GMT • 16.3 hours ago](#) ↑

[@HT](#)

Give blacks a state built and designed by whites and within twenty years it will resemble a third world shithole. See Detroit, Camden NJ, and dozens of other cities across the US.

• **Replies:** [@Mark Gobell](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

81. [Theophrastus](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 7:10 pm GMT • 16.2 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Truth Vigilante](#)

You rock, TV. Your comments are among the best.

To go slightly off-topic: I've not yet read the Unz's latest screed about vax deaths, and I think I'll only read the first and last paragraphs when I do get around to it.

But, I look forward to reading your (and others') comments there, because the Unz is despicable on that particular subject.

You stay well, too.

• **Replies:** [@Truth Vigilante](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

82. [Theophrastus](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 7:17 pm GMT • 16.1 hours ago](#) ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

Fat hasbara troll. Remain in Tel Aviv (oh sorry: I meant Jerusafuckinglem) and keep eating refried mashed-up chickpeas, you idiot.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

83. [Durruti](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 7:26 pm GMT • 15.9 hours ago • 300 Words](#) ↑

[@Joe Levantine](#)

Thankyou (and the other fine commentators), for your input on this important and fine article by Laurent Guyénot.

JFK and America's Destiny Betrayed

Half a century ago I and friends helped **Mark Lane** move into his Fifth Avenue office. We received a Manuscript of his pioneer critique of the *Warren Commission Report*, "**Rush to Judgement**" as a reward.

Lane, a veteran, attorney, and later NY State Assemblyman was the first to write a comprehensive challenge to the Government's coverup, which was – **The Warren Commission Report**," Lane's analysis covered the Assassination of our President. Lane's book was named "**Rush to Judgement**" This early challenge to the assassins, and their government, concentrated on a point by point exposé and challenge to the Government's lies in their 1000 page Report.

[https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c51809020d71c670JmltdHM9MTY3NDQzMjAwMCZpZ3VpZDoxOjE360-618b-035e-](https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c51809020d71c670JmltdHM9MTY3NDQzMjAwMCZpZ3VpZDoxOjE360-618b-035e-7280c2de6013&psq=rush+to+judgement+mark+lane&u=a1aHRocHM6Ly9lbi53aWtpcGVkaWEub3JnL)

[7280c2de6013&psq=rush+to+judgement+mark+lane&u=a1aHRocHM6Ly9lbi53aWtpcGVkaWEub3JnL](https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=c51809020d71c670JmltdHM9MTY3NDQzMjAwMCZpZ3VpZDoxOjE360-618b-035e-7280c2de6013&psq=rush+to+judgement+mark+lane&u=a1aHRocHM6Ly9lbi53aWtpcGVkaWEub3JnL)

His paperback sold 2 million copies and was on the **NY Times** Best Seller List for 17 weeks.

For years, we asked Lane to name the assassins. He was reluctant to hurry. In the following years, he interviewed **Marina Oswald** (who insisted her husband was a supporter of Kennedy and never would have harmed him), New Orleans District Attorney, **Jim Garrison**, and many other important witnesses.

Imagine: **John F. Kennedy** was our Last Constitutional President.

We must discuss my view of the Republican foundation of Government, featuring a **political culture** of Electoral Democracy, with yours, of Direct Democracy. I have a feeling that our views are not far apart.

Dr. Peter J. Antonsen – nom de guerre, Durruti

• **Replies:** [@Chuck Orloski](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

84. [Political Science 101](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 7:39 pm GMT • 15.7 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

Rambling ignorant nonsense. Circumstantial evidence at best that would not pass the smell test from any qualified trial Judge. Read the mammoth exhaustive book by the late great Prosecutor Vincent Bugliosi. He ruins all of you nut case conspiracy freaks....Hey Laurent is it true that Jim Morrison is selling beads on the corner of Haight/ Ashbury St in SF and is not really buried in a Paris Cemetery?

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

85. [dimples](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 9:22 pm GMT](#) • 14.0 hours ago ↑

“Investigators interested in George DeMohrenschildt cannot fail to learn that, before being found dead with a bullet in his head, he had complained that “the Jewish mafia” was out to get him.”

It’s a trivial point in Mr Guyenot’s sweeping epic but DeMohrenschildt was killed by a shotgun. Even Wikipedia knows this.

• **Thanks:** [Laurent Guyénot](#)

• **Replies:** [@Laurent Guyénot](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

86. Iris says:Next New Comment

January 23, 2023 at 9:41 pm GMT • 13.7 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

@Phoenix

| Are there any recommended books or thorough articles summarizing the JFK assassination witnesses and insiders who were murdered?

The violent death or disappearance of witnesses of the JFK assassination was early on highlighted and investigated by author Penn Jones Jr:



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/IB5JGfxIxFk>

Here is an article by Penn Jones:

| “Disappearing Witnesses”

| Over 100 murders, suicides, mysterious deaths—the strange fate of those who saw Kennedy shot.

<http://www.maebussell.com/Disappearing%20Witnesses/Disappearing%20Witnesses.html>

In the 1973 film “Executive Action”, based on Mark Lanes’ “Rush to Judgement”, it was revealed that an actuary engaged by the London Sunday Times calculated the odds of 18 known material witnesses dying within three years of the JFK assassination as 1 in 100,000 TRILLION.

(In fact, there were at least 33 unnatural deaths over this three years, and almost 100 from 1963-1977. Therefore, the odds of a conspiracy would be even greater).

However, the Times editor later refused to provide this calculation to the 1977 House Select Committee on Assassinations (HSCA), arguing that the methodology was flawed.

As a consequence, JFK researcher Richard Charmin has been maintaining a database of witnesses’ unnatural deaths and re-calculating their odds, **which in 2013 was assumed to be infinitesimal, so ZERO.**

<https://www.opednews.com/populum/page.php?f=Executive-Action-JFK-Witn-by-Richard-Charnin-130416-98.html>

Here is the witness database with all witnesses’ names and calculation spreadsheet:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1FmXuDf6pqisxq_mepIC6iuG47RkDskPDWzQ9L7Lykw/edit#gid=1

• **Replies:** @Iris

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

87. Chuck Orloski says:Next New Comment

@Durruti

Thanks again & again, Dr. Antonsen!

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

88. Anonymous[171] • [Disclaimer](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 9:57 pm GMT](#) • [13.4 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

Theophrastus 82. If the facts I adduce make me a Jew, then presumably your reflex aversion to them makes you a CIA Monarch prostitot routinely face-fucked by a slaving Dersh on Craig Spence's rubber sheets.

Your reflex resort to ad hominem tells me you don't like to think about your totalitarian state and its apex CIA. Why might it be that you'd rather admit to being ruled by slimy crooked Jews than CIA?

• **Replies:** [@Theophrastus](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)


89. [Iris](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 9:57 pm GMT](#) • [13.4 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

[@Iris](#)

This bar graph, showing the deaths of witnesses of the JFK assassination, clearly highlights their suspicious pattern.

They clearly occurred just before or in close proximity to key stages in the Congress investigations or were timed with the Warren Commission hearings and Garrison trial. The synchronicity is quite blatant.



[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

90. [anastasia](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 10:12 pm GMT](#) • [13.1 hours ago](#) • 300 Words ↑

I always wondered how Zaprauder was able to hold his hands still, and continue filming after he saw a man's head get blown off. And I always wondered why when the authorities (or someone else) was confiscating everyone's photographs at the site of the grassy knoll, (some never to be returned), why they never took Zaprauder's film while he was still there on the grassy knoll.

I agree that Johnson knew about the false flag being planned by Israel when they bombed the USS Liberty. That can be inferred from what happened after they were "caught", when rescue planes were sent out only to be turned back by the Johnson Administration. This kind of outrageous protection of Israel who are trying to kill citizens of your own country, would lead me to believe that the Israelis had the goods on Johnson's role in the assassination. It would also explain his very different foreign policy position from all other Presidents on the issue of Israel to the extent of covering up and doing nothing about the nuclear bombs they were making, although I am not willing to say that his foreign policy toward Israel was so very, very different than the others. The others were more cautious, but not necessarily less friendly.

I had also wondered about Ruby's comment, "he did it for the Jews". How can anyone explain that one. Doesn't make a lick of sense from what should have been his knowledge at the time, i.e. that Oswald did it. I wondered if Ruby was one of those American Jews who ran guns to Israel in the late forties. I never found anything on that, but I thought it was curious that he was running guns to Cuba to help Fidel's guerillas in the late fifties. Why would he do that as a mob-connected person, a mob that was friendly to Batista? Did he do it for money? Was it because he was an expert on gun running, having had the experience before?

• **Replies:** [@Iris](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

91. [Trinity](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 10:32 pm GMT](#) • [12.8 hours ago](#) • 100 Words ↑

A great deal of the public knows the JFK assassination and the 911 (((official narrative))) is fiction. The question is what are Americans going to do about it. The answer? Unless (((occupied America))) regains its INDEPENDENCE, nothing will change. The JFK assassination will be 60 years ago in November, the attack on the USS Liberty was nearly 56 years ago and 911 will soon be 22 years ago. A great deal of people who were around during the time of JFK and the USS Liberty are dead, or very old. Even some of those who question the (((official narrative))) like paid \$hills such as Tucker Carlson blame everyone but the Jew. No wonder the average American is confused. Tucker Carlson is worse than the gay guys at (((CNN.))) It's the Jesuits, no it's the CIA, how about the Italian mob or the Boy Scouts. Tucker cannot even say the word, Jew.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

92. [Iris](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 23, 2023 at 10:41 pm GMT • 12.7 hours ago • 300 Words](#) ↑

[@anastasia](#)

The Unz Review graciously provides access to many essential reads for free, and you can find this fact mentioned in Michael Piper's "*Final Judgment*". Piper received an "*explosive*" document, apparently from an anonymous professional journalist, describing how Israel controlled Dallas:

https://www.unz.com/book/michael_collins_piper_final-judgment/#p_6_1

The document buries the tired old myth that a clique of anti-Semitic White Anglo-Saxon Protestant oil plutocrats ruled Dallas. Instead, the truth is quite the opposite. Not only did Dallas have an immensely powerful Jewish community, but, more importantly, the city (and Texas) had been a major center of fundraising and arms smuggling on behalf of the Zionist cause, going back to the 1940s. Even Jonathan Pollard, the American spy for Israel, said he was inspired to pro-Israel activism by stories he heard (while living in Texas) of gunrunning for the Israeli underground by Jews in Texas. In fact, the official published history of a major Zionist arms smuggling operation, the Sonneborn Institute, reports its agents smuggled aircraft parts out of Texas to Israel.

This was happening when a then recently discharged Army Air Corps aircraft mechanic, Jack Ruby, was re-settling in Dallas in 1947, the year prior to Israel's birth, when Sonneborn's activities were at a zenith. **Ruby bragged of having run arms to Israel and, in 1963, is now known to have part of an arms smuggling operation overseen by an Israeli intelligence officer.** So the Israeli connection to Texas was a lot more intimate than many today ever realized.

• **Thanks:** [JR Foley](#)

• **Replies:** [@Skeptikal](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

93. [Mark Gobell](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Phoenix](#)

Phoenix asks : "Are there any recommended books or thorough articles summarizing the JFK assassination witnesses and insiders who were murdered?"

See Richard Charnin's blog and excellent work on the deaths of JFK witnesses :

Executive Action: JFK Witness Deaths and the London Times Actuary

<https://richardcharnin.wordpress.com/2013/02/25/executive-action-jfk-witness-deaths-and-the-london-times-actuary/>

MG

• **Thanks:** [JR Foley](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

94. [Mark Gobell](#) says:Next New Comment

[@CelestiaQuesta](#)

Zimbabwe.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

95. [homopratis](#) says:Next New Comment

I would appreciate Dr. Guyenot addressing the claims of Mike Rothmiller concerning the alleged murder of Marilyn Monroe by RFK.

• **Replies:** [@Laurent Guyénot](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

96. [ThreeCranes](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Anonymous](#)

What a remarkable comment.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

97. [rabbit redux](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Thim](#)

Elections are phony to begin with. They are all stolen one way or another.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

98. [eah](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 12:49 am GMT • 10.5 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

>A Review of DiEugenio’s “Foreign Policy Coup” Theory

I’m not sure whether DiEugenio or someone else, perhaps even a group, should be credited with this ‘theory’ — Douglas Horne does a comprehensive review of this subject in the video below:

JFK’s War with the National Security Establishment

A number of related videos can be found here:

The National-Security State and the Kennedy Assassination

The national-security establishment’s assassination of President John F. Kennedy was one of the pivotal events in our lifetime, and it continues to have an adverse impact on American life today. This conference will be oriented toward people who are not well-versed in the assassination and who wish to gain a deeper understanding of it. The conference will present an easy-to-understand introduction to what happened and why.

• **Thanks:** [Laurent Guyénot](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

99. [emerging majority](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 1:08 am GMT • 10.2 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@Dutch Boy](#)

That infamous date: 11-22-63 has long struck me as the terminus of the Boomer generation(1945-1963). Generations are never precisely a particular number of years...rather they are marked by specific major turning points in human history. As a member of the WarBabies micro-generation which could be dated from 9-2-39 to Hiroshima on 8-6-45) I will stand for that pair of bookends as the distinguishing delineation for my rather exclusive grouping.

Thus, Gen Xers would commence with that infamous date in Dallas. Perhaps someone from that generation could pick an event, date for the termination of their set and the beginning data for Gen. Y. Their terminal date is obviously 9-11-01, that equally infamous day where the CIA and the Mossad cooperated to do their INSIDE JOB. Thus, Gen Z. (ultimate prior to...take your choice: 2-22-14 OR 2-24-21.

So that’s my thesis. Generations are determined by world-shaking events, usually to be reckoned by specific dates.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

100. [emerging majority](#) says:Next New Comment

[@UncommonGround](#)

With the full name of Walt Whitman Rostow, I never even realized that the man happened to be of the Talmudist-Agenda persuasion prior to reading this excellent article by Laurent Guyanot.

Merci, Monsieur.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

101. [emerging majority](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 1:22 am GMT • 10.0 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@UncommonGround](#)

Heinrich Ki\$inger has long been both THE minion for the Rottenfeller Crime Clan AND a mutual Gauleiter of the U\$\$A for the Rottenchild Crime Clan. He can be described as a genocidal mass-murderer for his masters.

It was also Herr Heinrich who arranged for Red China to officially join the gang when together with Chou En Lai; he set up the meeting between Mao and Nixon. That little confab resulted in a member of the SECURITY COUNCIL of the United Nations, the Republic of China's Taiwan redoubt, losing that seat and to be replaced by the People's Republic of China.

Over time more arrangements, based on that public, yet with secret codicils, ultimately has resulted in the PRC today having the world's largest economy...while it took over America's industrial base...all arranged by those nice folks in City of London and in New York, New York...that pair of ruling Crime Clans,.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

102. JWalters says:Next New Comment

January 24, 2023 at 1:39 am GMT • 9.7 hours ago • 400 Words ↑

@folkvangr

In Douglas Reed's big picture the Cold War conflicts were indeed set up to establish a steady stream of war profits. In addition, he argues that the bankers behind the Cold War had a Talmudist agenda of Jews ruling the world, as per ancient Jewish prophecy.

According to Reed's research the same bankers financed both the Bolshevik and Zionist movements. Both created major military conflicts, one in Europe and one in the Middle East. Both movements involved a large ethnic cleansing component to put Jews in charge. And the initial kibbutzes in Israel were somewhat communistic with religion added. Both Bolshevism and Zionism were Jewish supremacist movements, one for atheistic Jews and one for religious Jews. Both were aimed at subduing non-Jews.

The coordination today of the arms industry and the media implies a coordinating center. Given the financial structure of imperialism analyzed by John Hobson, the coordinating center is a few top financiers, including the Rothschild bank. Notably, the Rothschild bank got much of its fortune through war profiteering.

On the 100th anniversary of the Balfour Declaration, Jacob Rothschild said in a speech that his family financed the founding of Israel "for that sacred goal, the return of Israel to its ancestral home." This is a key element of the millennialist agenda of the Jewish supremacists.

That is, the Rothschilds financed the Zionist army that slaughtered village after village of innocent Palestinians, terrorizing the population into fleeing for their lives. Rothschild made no mention of the thousands of human sacrifices carried out for this "sacred" project of Israel.

Initially the Zionists wanted the US to ethnically cleanse the Palestinians, but that was a step too far for even the politically and financially indebted President Truman. Instead he merely recognized Israel immediately. Later American presidents, however, have sent American troops to the Middle East to serve as proxies in Israel's wars. The takeover of the UK and US, turning them into proxies of the Zionist financiers, is laid out in

War Profiteers and Israel's Bank

<https://warprofiteerstory.blogspot.com/p/war-profiteers-and-israels-bank.html>

The Jewish millennialist beliefs include Jews ruling the world from Jerusalem. In my view, this goal of Jewish global hegemony is the true source of America's alleged global hegemony goal. The goal of US global hegemony was declared in the Wolfowitz Doctrine, which I see as a Zionist document, imposed on its US proxy.

The current conflict in Ukraine, which so many analysts today see as irrational, makes much more sense if we see it as a Zionist Empire attack on Russia, in pursuit of Zionist global hegemony, with America merely the dumb proxy.

• **Agree:** [Skeptikal](#)

• **Replies:** [@folkvangr](#)

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

103. [Hibernian](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Trinity](#)

Carter/Ford was also questionable.

Reply/Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

104. [Hibernian](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Truth Vigilante](#)

The small town German Catholics of the Midwest saw through the Kennedys.

• **Replies:** [@emerging majority](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

105. [EliteCommInc.](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 2:12 am GMT • 9.1 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

I have to admit, I am not a big fan of Pres Kennedy. I am willing to grant that maybe he might have had a different approach to our success in Vietnam — maybe. but even Pres Johnson expressed his doubts openly. So that doesn't say much. Pres Kennedy was a cold war warrior. He and Attorney General Kennedy were intensely anti-communist. Though when it came to domestic policy, I think the Attorney General shifted the most toward a less intrusive policy.

Their youth certainly could have charted a different course, but we won't know. Their passing invited more radical minds and that is unfortunate, but in my view, given the hope that they embodied along with a small host of other leaders about a new future, the loss of so many via acts of violence were clearly a shock to the system.

I would hazard a guess that had their lives not been taken we would not be experiencing the kinds of radicalism and force exercised today.

But I am not convinced that their responses to the current issues Europe would be different.

Remember, the choice to blockade Cuba came from the WH. I have no doubt that Pres Kennedy say,

“I am a Ukrainian” or some similar sentiment. The question which the article does not address is whether the Kennedy Admin today would have been opened to the former Soviet Union members joining NATO

Note: Pres and Ag Kennedy were not choir boys, they were no less acute political animals than their contemporaries — even if outsiders to the old boys network.

• **Replies:** [@emerging majority](#)

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

106. [Shamu](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 2:17 am GMT • 9.1 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

[@P. Cleburne](#)

Yep — never once been a Skull and Bondsman descended from New England Puritans who wielded terrifying power without ever holding elected or appointed office.

You people really amaze me. It is hard to know which of you are that stupid as to believe that that only Jews are doing this and have such power, or if you all lie to play your roles in keeping people off the scent. The fact is that if you fail to see the whole picture, your bing right about the part you can see does you no good.

It is Anglo-Zionist Empire, and it has been that for centuries because of the original 17th century WASP leaders taking loans from Jews. From then on, Jews have been indispensable to WASP empire. And they always will be.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

107. [Skeptikal](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 2:26 am GMT](#) • 8.9 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

[@Iris](#)

Re economic profile of Dallas,

“Dallas’ key to growth came in 1873 with the construction of multiple rail lines through the city. As Dallas grew and technology developed, cotton became its boon and by 1900, Dallas was the largest inland cotton market in the world, becoming a leader in cotton gin machinery manufacturing. . . . By 1925, Texas churned out more than 1/3 of the nation’s cotton crop, with 31% of Texas cotton produced within a 100-mile (160 km) radius of Dallas.”

It wouldn’t be surprising if Jewish merchants, bankers, and traders in the Dallas hub of the gigantic international cotton industry were Jewish. Generally Jews were very active as merchants, financiers, traders, etc. in the international textile, especially cotton, industry, especially the exchanges, where deals were made. The Dallas Cotton Exchange Building was built in 1926.

I don’t have specific documentation on this, but I would expect Jewish interests to be very strongly represented in any international industry that rests on complicated credit relationships, futures trading, etc.

As for Ruby, I have read that he was part of the Meyer Lansky mob. Lansky was heavily involved with both gambling and other business activities in Cuba and in supporting the Zionist gangs such as the Stern Gang and the Irgun, whose leaders later became leaders of the State of Israel.

• **Replies:** [@Laurent Guyénot](#)

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

108. [folkvangr](#) says:Next New Comment

[@JWalters](#)

Thanks, I agree with most of what you wrote, except that *The goal of US global hegemony was declared in the Wolfowitz Doctrine*. Wasn’t it unofficially adopted a lot earlier, let’s say when general Funston reported the murder of 640,000 Filipinos in the US war with the Philippines (1899-1902)?

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

109. [emerging majority](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Hibernian](#)

As a Minnesotan, I recall this bit of lore from the most Catholic, specifically Barvarian Germans, county in the state: “When the Bishop in St. Cloud sneezes, half of Stearns County comes down with double-pneumonia”.

Reply Agree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

110. [emerging majority](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 5:17 am GMT](#) • 6.1 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

[@EliteCommInc.](#)

As usual, more bullshit. You happen to be an addict to mainscream sources of information and propaganda.

Kennedy may have used a bit of campaign rhetoric to win election. However, he was by no means a Cold War warrior. He vetoed the Joint Chiefs scheme (under Talmudist and bomber happy Lyman Lemnitzer) called “Operation Northwoods”. It was a false-flag scheme calculated to kill a planeload of American college students in an airliner (sounds familiar?) to be blamed on Cuba and serve as a casus belli.

He was an anti-colonialist. He also vetoed (effectually) George H.W. Bush’s (as a longtime CIA operative) mission for the Florida-based Cuban “refugees” to pull off the Bay of Pigs operation by refusing to allow the Agency to commandeer the Naval air-wing to provide air-support for the invasion.

The big thing which brought down our last American president was his refusal to allow the Talmudist entity in Occupied Palestine to get nuclear weaponry. The Crypto Jew LBJ, had no problem with that after his boys assassinated the president.

• **Agree:** [Truth Vigilante](#), [Laurent Guyénot](#)

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#) [This Thread](#) [Hide Thread](#)

111. [Alita99](#) says:Next New Comment

Thank you.

[Reply](#)[Agree](#)/[Disagree](#)/[Etc.](#) [This Commenter](#)

112. Anonymous[420] • Disclaimer says:Next New Comment
January 24, 2023 at 6:22 am GMT • 5.0 hours ago • 500 Words ↑
@Anonymous

Sorry, that post was a bit elliptical. Reading the footnotes would clarify most of it, but who reads footnotes?

Basic idea:

- a) The Progressive's use of "Agencies" that can, in effect, make law, resulted in a government of independent Agencies that, over a century later, hardly even bother to be civil to Congressional committees.
- 2) Use of independent Agencies to solve problems that Congress can't was routine until recently. H. Clinton's Health bill essentially would have created an Agency and gave it a vague mission statement and the power to make laws pursuant to that statement. That is why H. Clinton said that Congress would have to "pass the Bill and then see what was in it"; she meant that the new Agency would take charge and follow its own interests.
- 3) Obviously, Agencies can act on individual politicians. So can the IRS, and for that matter HEW (distribution of funds to favored areas influences re-election rates). Or, perhaps, the more muscular Agencies, (FBI, CIA, DOJ, etc.) can use direct action, as apparently happened to Nixon. The formal Federal command structure is not effective anymore, and hasn't been for some time.
- 4) The above has not led to a government by Agency. It has led to endless contention between Agencies and political coalitions (e.g. the Black coalition and the Jewish coalition).
- 5) The situation is much like that of Medieval Europe, AD 1200. Many organizations exist, they have geographically overlapping jurisdictions over different aspects of human life, and these overlaps lead to unresolvable conflict. Like "paper, rock, scissors", there is no universally winning strategy and the games can go on forever. In the example I gave, the Jewish money power was countered by CIA physical and secrecy power. In theory, the Jewish money power could get a politician/media coalition to pull the CIA off the Jewish representative, but in practice, maybe not. "Maybe", the uncertainty of outcome, being the important part.
- 6) Commenters/journalists who see one Agency or political coalitions as using a universally winning strategy, and thus as universally dominant, like people watching a horse race, but paying attention to only one horse. You get commenters/journalists like the singers in "fuge for tin horns":



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/BAIIVCStp3c>

7) As a final comment, I pointed out that the current US political system depends on deficit spending, which is made possible only by selling debt to nations under US influence (technically, "Suzerainty"). Further, that the US political system is becoming unstable, and its ability to borrow money is threatened by post 1990 US withdrawal from the world (by means of failed half-hearted military expeditions). US ejection from Europe will essentially end US influence in Eurasia, which will end US deficit spending, and thus end the current US dysfunctional political system. "Minerva's Owl flies at dusk", meaning that one only understands a system just before it ends.

8) I also pointed out that similar dysfunctional governments are fairly common in human history, and gave some examples.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

113. [TrueIrish](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 6:22 am GMT • 5.0 hours ago • 100 Words](#) ↑

Great article, horrible interviewer in Eloise, the content of that interview with Stone was good, but at the moment when she really needed to ask that follow up question, she moves onto forensics.

Two guys who are very informed on the JFK assassination tell you: (1) Kennedy was very serious about nuclear proliferation, (2) that he took on Ben Gurion and Israel on the issue, (3) that Israel stole the technology from the U.S., and (4) that Johnson tried to keep their attainment of nuclear weapons from the citizens – AND you have NO follow up?! People are so stupid.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

114. [Truth Vigilante](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 6:23 am GMT • 5.0 hours ago • 200 Words](#) ↑

[@Anonymous](#)

So if the Jews want to be big shots they got to suck CIA dick like everybody else.
Cause CIA killed JFK for insubordination and the whole world knows it except for abject US dupes.

So let me get this straight.

We have the ‘Jews’ (a euphemism you’re using for the Zionist Usury Banking Cartel), an entity that has perhaps ONE THOUSAND TIMES more financial wherewithal than the CIA.

In addition to that, this cartel of Zionist bankers have :

Control of the U.S Congress/the executive/every western politician of note in the western world, (and thus has the entire U.S military/NATO military resources at its disposal)

... yet somehow this unimaginably powerful cartel of bankers has to get down on bended knee and is subordinate to a bunch of government workers/bureaucrats in Langley Virginia ??

Mr Ignoronymous, you can slice and dice it any way you choose, but the UR readers can see you’re a Zio troll trying to deflect blame away from your Talmudic brethren for the JFK hit.

It’s not working. You’e making a fool of yourself again.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[Subscribe to New Columns](#)

115. [Truth Vigilante](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Theophrastus](#)

Thanks mate. I appreciate your thoughts.

As for Unz’s latest article, I’ll have plenty to say there as well, where I’ll be exposing the fraud of the pro-vaxx cultists movement.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

116. [Priss Factor](#) says: • [Website](#)Next New Comment

I don’t understand including Zapruder in the Zioniac conspiracy.

Didn’t the film demonstrate that the shots may have come from elsewhere?

The conspiracy, if such existed, would have done better without anyone filming it.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter

117. [Laurent Guyénot](#) says:Next New Comment

[January 24, 2023 at 8:21 am GMT](#) • 3.0 hours ago • 200 Words ↑

[@Skeptikal](#)

It wouldn't be surprising if Jewish merchants, bankers, and traders in the Dallas hub of the gigantic international cotton industry were Jewish.

Dallas was heavily Jewish. The Dallas Citizens Council that sponsored Kennedy's visit to Dallas was dominated by Jewish businessman, and headed by Julius Schepps, "a wholesale liquor distributor, member of every synagogue in town, and de facto leader of the Jewish community," as described by Bryan Edward Stone in *The Chosen Folks: Jews on the Frontiers of Texas*. (a good source to find the information you are looking for)

Another key figure was advertising executive Sam Bloom. According to former British intelligence officer Colonel John Hughes-Wilson (*JFK: An American Coup d'Etat*), it was Bloom who, after Oswald's arrest, suggested to the Police "that they move the alleged assassin from the Dallas police station to the Dallas County Jail in order to give the newsmen a good story and pictures."

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

118. [Theophrastus](#) says:Next New Comment

[@Anonymous](#)

Hoisted by your own petard, hasbara. But please do go on in recounting all of your deepest fantasies; perhaps these will serve as therapy for the corrupted ganglion that serves as your "brain".

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

119. [Laurent Guyénot](#) says:Next New Comment

[@homopratis](#)

I'll read it.

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

120. [Laurent Guyénot](#) says:

[@dimples](#)

<https://spartacus-educational.com/JFKmohrenschildtJ.htm> : "On 11th May, 1978, Jeanne de Mohrenschildt (wife) gave an interview to the Fort Worth Star-Telegram, where she said that she did not accept that her husband had committed suicide."

ReplyAgree/Disagree/Etc. This Commenter This Thread Hide Thread

[← Russia's Neo-Byzantinism](#)

Leave a Reply -

Zapamatovat si moje informace Proč?

Emailové odpovědi na můj komentář

[Přihlaste se k odběru tohoto vlákna komentářů přes RSS](#) [Přihlaste se k odběru všech komentářů Laurenta Guyénota prostřednictvím RSS](#)